Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

> Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

CFL Properties

DPDA, DCFL

Membership

CSL

Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

Announcements

- The slide is just a short summary
- Follow the discussion and the boardwork
- Solve problems (apart from those we dish out in class)

Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

> Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

CFL Properties

DPDA, DCFL

Membership

Table of Contents

- Context Free Grammar
- 2 Normal Forms
- 3 Derivations and Ambiguities
- 4 Pumping lemma for CFLs
- 5 PDA
- 6 Parsing
- 7 CFL Properties
- B DPDA, DCFL
- 9 Membership

10 CSL

Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

> Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

CFL Properties

DPDA, DCFL

Membership

CSI



For the string while $x \leq y$ do begin x = x + 1; y = y - 1 end The first few sentential forms in its derivation are (stmt) (while-stmt) while (bool-stmt) do (stmt)while $\langle \operatorname{arith-expr} \rangle \langle \operatorname{compare-op} \rangle \langle \operatorname{arith-expr} \rangle \operatorname{do} \langle \operatorname{stmt} \rangle$ while $\langle var \rangle \langle compare-op \rangle \langle arith-expr \rangle$ do $\langle stmt \rangle$ while $\langle var \rangle < \langle arith-expr \rangle$ do $\langle stmt \rangle$ while $\langle var \rangle < \langle var \rangle$ do $\langle stmt \rangle$ while $\langle x \rangle < \langle var \rangle$ do $\langle stmt \rangle$ while $\langle x \rangle < \langle y \rangle$ do $\langle \text{stmt} \rangle$ while $\langle x \rangle < \langle y \rangle$ do (begin-stmt)

Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

> Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

CFL Properties

DPDA, DCFL

Membership

Formally, a *context-free grammar* (CFG) is a quadruple $G = (N, \Sigma, P, S)$ where

- N is a finite set (the non-terminal symbols),
- Σ is a finite set (the *terminal symbols*) disjoint from *N*,
- P is a finite subset of N × (N ∪ Σ)* (the productions), and
- $S \in N$ (the start symbol)

Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

CFL Properties

DPDA, DCFL

Membership

- $\{a^n b^n \mid n \ge 0\}$ is a CFL with CFG $S \to aSb \mid \epsilon$
- Palindromes over $\{a, b\}$ is a CFL with CFG $S \rightarrow aSa \mid bSb \mid a \mid b \mid \epsilon$
- Balanced parentheses over $\{(,)\}$ is a CFL with CFG $S \rightarrow (S) \,|\, SS \,|\, \epsilon$

How do you prove that each grammar generates the corresponding language ??

Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

> Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

CFL Properties

DPDA, DCFL

Membership

Table of Contents

- Context Free Grammar
- 2 Normal Forms
- 3 Derivations and Ambiguities
- Pumping lemma for CFLs
- 5 PDA
- 6 Parsing
- 7 CFL Properties
- B DPDA, DCFL
- 9 Membership

10 CSL

Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

> Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

CFL Properties

DPDA, DCFL

Membership

CSI

It helps to restrict formal grammars to 'standard forms'. You can write algorithms that work on grammars assuming this standard

• A CFG is in *Chomsky Normal Form* (CNF) if all productions are of the form

1
$$A
ightarrow BC$$
 or

$$\mathbf{0} \ A \to a$$

where $A, B, C \in N$ and $a \in \Sigma$. Note that CNF form grammars cannot generate ϵ

• For any CFG G, there is a CFG G' in Chomsky Normal Form such that

$$L(G') = L(G) - \{\epsilon\}$$

Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

CFL Properties

DPDA, DCFL

Membership

Create grammar for ϵ free language

• For any CFG $G = (N, \Sigma, P, S)$, there is a CFG G' with no ϵ or unit productions $(A \rightarrow B)$ such that

 $L(G') = L(G) - \{\epsilon\}$

- Proof: Let P̂ be the smallest set of productions containing P and closed under the rules
 If A → αBβ and B → ε are in P̂; then A → αβ is in P̂
 If A → B and B → γ are in P̂, then A → γ is in P̂
- Point to note : $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in (N \cup \Sigma)^*$

Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

CFL Properties

DPDA, DCFL

Membership

Create grammar for ϵ free language

Note that for the language
$$\hat{G} = (N, \Sigma, \hat{P}, S)$$
, $L(G) = L(\hat{G})$

•
$$L(G) \subseteq L(\hat{G})$$
 since $P \subseteq \hat{P}$

 L(G) = L(Ĝ) : P̂ only contains those rules as extra over P which are simulatable in two steps by existing rules of P Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

CFL Properties

DPDA, DCFL

Membership

Create grammar for ϵ free language

Can prove that, for any $x \neq \epsilon$, \exists a derivation $S \underset{\hat{G}}{\Rightarrow} x$ without ϵ and *unit* productions

• Remove ϵ and *unit* productions from \hat{G} Create $G' = (N, \Sigma, P', S)$ where P' is same as \hat{P} but w/o ϵ and *unit* productions Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

CFL Properties

DPDA, DCFL

Membership

G' to CNF

- For each terminal a ∈ Σ introduce a new nonterminal A_a and production A_a → a and replace all occurrences of a on the right hand side of old productions (except productions of the form B → a) with A_a
- Now all productions are of the form

 $A \rightarrow a \text{ or}$ $A \rightarrow B_1 B_2 \cdots B_k, k > 2$

where the B_i are nonterminals.

Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

CFL Properties

DPDA, DCFL

Membership

G' to CNF

• For any production

$$A \rightarrow B_1 B_2 \cdots B_k$$

with $k \ge 3$, introduce a new nonterminal C and replace this production with the two productions

$$A \to B_1C \text{ and }$$

$$D \quad C \to B_2 B_3 \cdots B_k$$

until all right hand sides are of length at most 2.

Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

> Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

CFL Properties

DPDA, DCFL

Membership

• Derive a CNF grammar for

$$\{a^n b^n | n \ge 0\} - \{\epsilon\} = \{a^n b^n | n \ge 1\}$$

• Starting with the grammar

$$S \rightarrow aSb|\epsilon$$

- **()** Remove ϵ productions to get $S \rightarrow aSB|ab$
- **(2)** Add non terminals A,B and replace the productions with $S \rightarrow ASB|AB, A \rightarrow a, B \rightarrow b$.
- **(a)** Add a nonterminal *C* and replace $S \rightarrow ASB$ with $S \rightarrow AC$ and $C \rightarrow SB$.
- The final grammar in CNF is $S \rightarrow AB | AC, C \rightarrow SB, A \rightarrow a, B \rightarrow b.$

Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

> Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

CFL Properties

DPDA, DCFL

Membership

- Derive a CNF grammar for the set of non-null strings of balanced parenthesis []
- Starting with the grammar

$$S \rightarrow [S]|SS|\epsilon$$

- **()** Remove ϵ productions to get $S \rightarrow [S]|SS|[]$
- Q Add non terminals A,B and replace the productions with S → SS|ASB|AB, A → [, B →].
- 3 Add a nonterminal C and replace $S \rightarrow ASB$ with $S \rightarrow AC$ and $C \rightarrow SB$.
- The final grammar in CNF is $S \rightarrow SS|AB|AC, C \rightarrow SB, A \rightarrow [, B \rightarrow].$

Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

CFL Properties

DPDA, DCFL

Membership

Table of Contents

- Context Free Gramman
- 2 Normal Forms
- Oerivations and Ambiguities
- 4 Pumping lemma for CFLs
- 5 PDA
- 6 Parsing
- O CFL Properties
- B DPDA, DCFL
- 9 Membership



Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

> Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

CFL Properties

DPDA, DCFL

Membership

CSI

• Consider the grammar

 $G = (\{S, A, B, C\}, \{a, b, c\}, S, P) \text{ where} \\ P = \{S \rightarrow ABC, A \rightarrow aA, A \rightarrow \epsilon, B \rightarrow bB, B \rightarrow \epsilon, \\ C \rightarrow cC, C \rightarrow \epsilon\}.$

- With this grammar, there is a choice of variables to expand. If we always expanded the leftmost variable first, we would have a *leftmost derivation*:
 S → ABC → aABC → aBC → abBC → abbBC → abbC → abbcC → abbc
- Conversely, if we always expanded the rightmost variable first, we would have a *rightmost derivation*:
 S → ABC → ABcC → ABc → AbBc → AbbBc → Abbc → aAbbc → abbc

Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

CFL Properties

DPDA, DCFL

Membership

• A grammar G is ambiguous if $\exists w \in L(G)$ for which

- there are two or more distinct derivation/parse trees, or
- there are two or more distinct leftmost derivations, or
- there are two or more distinct rightmost derivations.
- Ambiguity is a property of a grammar, and it is usually (but not always) possible to find an equivalent unambiguous grammar.
- An *inherently ambiguous language* is a language for which no unambiguous grammar exists.

Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

CFL Properties

DPDA, DCFL

Membership

• Consider $S \rightarrow AS|\epsilon, A \rightarrow A1|0A1|01$. The string 00111 has the following two leftmost derivations from S:

- Intuitively, we can use A → A1 first or second to generate the extra 1. The language of our example grammar is not inherently ambiguous, even though the grammar is ambiguous.
- Change the grammar to force the extra 1's to be generated last. $S \rightarrow AS|\epsilon, A \rightarrow 0A1|B, B \rightarrow B1|01$
- $\{a^i b^j c^k | i = j \text{ or } j = k\}$ is an inherently ambiguous language

Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

CFL Properties

DPDA, DCFL

Membership

Table of Contents

- Context Free Grammar
- 2 Normal Forms
- 3 Derivations and Ambiguities
- Pumping lemma for CFLs
- 5 PDA
- 6 Parsing
- 7 CFL Properties
- B DPDA, DCFL
- 9 Membership



Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

> Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

CFL Properties

DPDA, DCFL

Membership

For every CFL A, $\exists k \ge 0$ such that $\forall z \in A$ with $|z| \ge k$ $\exists u, v, w, x, y$ such that

- z = uvwxy
- $vx \neq \epsilon$
- $|vwx| \leq k$
- $\forall i \geq 0, uv^i wx^i y \in A$

Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

> Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

CFL Properties

DPDA, DCFL

Membership

Intuitive (informal) idea :

- The set of a regular language grows in *one direction* per production : standard form is strictly right/left linear
- A Context Free language grows in *two directions* per production : Consider as standard form the CNF representation
- For sufficiently long strings, non terminals will repeat
- The subtree under the higher nonterminal instance can be copied for the lower instance
- This creates two separate possibilities of pumping

Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

CFL Properties

DPDA, DCFL

Membership

CNF grammar for $\{a^n b^n | n \ge 1\}$: $S \rightarrow AB | AC, C \rightarrow SB, A \rightarrow a, B \rightarrow b.$



Figure: derivation tree for a^4b^4 :

Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

> Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

CFL Properties

DPDA, DCFL

Membership

Map the tree to uniform depth in all branches



Figure: derivation tree for a^4b^4 :

Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

> Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

CFL Properties

DPDA, DCFL

Membership

CSL

Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

Consider a path with a repeating non-terminal (S here)



Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

> Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

CFL Properties

DPDA, DCFL

Membership

Note the decomposition of the string



Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

> Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PD/

Parsing

CFL Properties

DPDA, DCFL

Membership

Check the subtree with two occurrences of S



Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

> Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

CFL Properties

DPDA, DCFL

Membership

Pumping up



Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PD/

Parsing

CFL Properties

DPDA, DCFL

Membership

Pumping down



Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

Soumyajit Dey CSE. IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

CFL Properties

DPDA, DCFL

Membership

Proof

- Let G be a grammar for A in CNF.
- $k = 2^{n+1}$, where *n* is the number of nonterminals of *G*
- Suppose $z \in A$ and $|z| \ge k$
- Any parse tree in G for z must be of depth n+1
- The longest path in the tree is of length at least n+1
- It must contain at least *n* + 1 occurrences of nonterminals.
- By pigeonhole principle, some nonterminal must occur more than once

Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

CFL Properties

DPDA, DCFL

Membership

- Say X is the nonterminal that appears more than once
- Break z up into substrings uvwxy such that
- w is the string generated by the lower occurrence of X
 vwx is the string generated by the upper occurrence
- Let *T* and *t* be the subtree rooted at the upper and lower ocurrence of *X* respectively
- Replacing t with T once we get a valid subtree of uv²wx²y
- Repeat it to produce $uv^i wx^i y, i \ge 1$
- Replace T with t to get uv^0wx^0y

Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

CFL Properties

DPDA, DCFL

Membership

- Note that $vx \neq \epsilon$; otherwise T = t
- Also |vwx| ≤ k as we chose the first repeated occurrence of a nonterminal from the bottom.
- In the longest path, the depth of the subtree under the upper occurrence of X is at most n + 1
- So it cannot have more than $2^{n+1} = k$ terminals.

Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

> Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

CFL Properties

DPDA, DCFL

Membership

$A = \{a^n b^n a^n | n \ge 0\}$ is not context free

- Adversary picks k in step 1
- You pick $z = a^k b^k a^k$ such that $z \in A$ and $|z| = 3k \ge k$
- Adversary picks z = uvwxy such that $vx \neq \epsilon$, and $|vwx| \leq k$
- You pick *i* = 2 and in all cases you can ensure uv²wx²y ∉ A
 - either v or x contain at least one a and at least one b
 - 2 v and x contains only a's or only b's
 - One of v or x contains only a's and the other contains only b's

Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

CFL Properties

DPDA, DCFL

Membership

 $A = \{ww | w \in \{a, b\}^*\}$ is not context free

• As CFLs are closed under intersection with regular sets, it suffices to show that

$$A' = A \cap L(a^*b^*a^*b^*) = \{a^n b^m a^n b^m | m, n \ge 0\}$$

is not context-free

- Adversary picks k in step 1
- You pick $z = a^k b^k a^k b^k$ such that $z \in A'$ and $|z| \ge k$.
- call each of the four substrings of the form a^k or b^k as blocks
- Adversary picks z = uvwxy such that $vx \neq \epsilon$, and $|vwx| \leq k$
- You pick i = 2 and in all cases you can win

Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

CFL Properties DPDA, DCFL Membership

- If one of v or x contains both a's and b's then $uv^2wx^2y \notin A'$
- If v and x are from the same block, then uv²wx²y has one block longer than the other three
- If v and x are on different blocks, then the blocks must be adjacent; otherwise |vwx| would be greater than k. Thus one of the blocks containing v or x must be a block of a's and the other a block of b's. Then uv²wx²y either has two blocks of a's of different size (if vx contains an a) or two blocks of b's of different size (if vx contains a b) or both. Either way uv²wx²y ∉ A'.

Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

CFL Properties

DPDA, DCFL

Membership
Table of Contents

- Context Free Grammar
- 2 Normal Forms
- 3 Derivations and Ambiguities
- Pumping lemma for CFLs
- 5 PDA
- 6 Parsing
- 7 CFL Properties
- B DPDA, DCFL
- 9 Membership

🔟 CSL

Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

> Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

CFL Properties

DPDA, DCFL

Membership

CSI



Formal Language

A non deterministic PDA is a 7 tuple $M = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, s, \bot, F),$

- Q is a finite set (the states),
- Σ is a finite set (the input alphabet),
- Γ is a finite set (the stack alphabets),
- $s \in Q$ (the start state),
- $\perp \in \Gamma$ (the initial stack symbol), and
- $F \subseteq Q$ (the final or accept states),
- δ ⊆ (Q × (Σ ∪ ε) × Γ) × (Q × Γ*), δ : NPDA has ε transitions (can move w/o input)

 $((p, a, A), (q, B_1 \cdots B_k)) \in \delta$: from a state p while reading some input symbol a with some stack top element A, the PDA moves to another state q, pops A, pushes $B_1 \cdots B_k$ $(B_k \text{ first})$ Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

CFL Properties

DPDA, DCFL

Membership

- ((p, a, A), (q, B₁ · · · B_k)) ∈ δ: from a state p while reading some input symbol a with some stack top element A, the PDA moves to another state q, pops A, pushes B₁ · · · B_k (B_k first)
- ((p, ε, A), (q, B₁ ··· B_k)) ∈ δ: from a state p with some stack top element A, the PDA moves to another state q, pops A, pushes B₁ ··· B_k (B_k first) w/o reading any input symbol

Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

CFL Properties

DPDA, DCFL

Membership



Config : $(p, baaabba, ABAC \perp)$: (state, unread tape, stack content)

- The start configuration on input x is (s, x, ⊥). That is, the machine always starts in its start state s with its read head pointing to the leftmost input symbol and the stack containing only the symbol ⊥.
- The next configuration relation $\frac{1}{M}$ describes how the machine can move from one configuration to another in one step.
- If ((p, a, A), (q, γ)) ∈ δ, then for any y ∈ Σ* and β ∈ Γ*, (p, ay, Aβ) 1/M (q, y, γβ);
 and if ((p, ε, A), (q, γ)) ∈ δ, then for any y ∈ Σ* and
- and if $((p, \varepsilon, A), (q, \gamma)) \in \delta$, then for any $y \in \Sigma^*$ and $\beta \in \Gamma^*, (p, y, A\beta) \xrightarrow{1}_M (q, y, \gamma\beta)$

Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

CFL Properties

DPDA, DCFL

Membership

Acceptance

- by final state : $(s, x, \bot) \xrightarrow[M]{*} (q, \epsilon, \gamma)$: get to some $q \in F$ when string exhausted
- by empty stack : (s,x,⊥) ^{*}/_M (q, ε, ε) : pop stack bottom element when string exhausted

Both kinds of M/Cs can be converted to an equivalent NPDA M that has a single final state t and M can empty its stack after it enters state t.

Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

CFL Properties

DPDA, DCFL

Membership

PDA for balanced parenthesis

- $Q = \{q\},$
- $\Sigma = \{[,]\},$
- $\Gamma = \{\perp, [\},$
- start state = q
- initial stack symbol $= \perp$,
- and let δ consist of the following transitions:

$$((q, [, \bot), (q, [\bot)); ((q, [, [), (q, [[)); ((q,], [), (q, \varepsilon)); ((q, \varepsilon, \bot), (q, \varepsilon)).$$

Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

CFL Properties

DPDA, DCFL

Membership



CFG to NPDA

All productions of $G = (\Sigma, N, P, S)$ are of the form: $A \rightarrow cB_1B_2....B_k$, Where $c \in \Sigma \cup \{\epsilon\}$ and $k \ge 0$. An equivalent NPDA M with only one state that accepts by empty stack is $M = (\{q\}, \Sigma, N, \delta, q, S, \emptyset)$ where

- q is the only state.
- Σ is the input alphabet of M
- N is the set of stack alphabet of M
- δ is the transition relation
- q is the start state
- *S*, is the start symbol of G, is the initial stack symbol of *M*
- Ø, the null set, is the set of final states

Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

CFL Properties

DPDA, DCFL

Membership

Conversion Rule

- For each production $A \rightarrow cB_1B_2....B_k$ in P
- The transition relation δ is defined as ((q, c, A), (q, B₁B₂....B_k))
 - ${f 0}~\delta$ has one transition of each production of G
 - When in state q scanning input symbol c with A on top of the stack, pop A off the stack, push B₁B₂....B_k onto the stack (B_k first) and enter in q state
 - **③** For $c = \epsilon$, when in state q with A on top of the stack, without scanning an input symbol, pop A off the stack, push $B_1B_2....B_k$ onto the stack (B_k first) and enter in q state

Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

CFL Properties

DPDA, DCFL

Membership

Example Conversion

Consider the set of nonnull balanced strings of parentheses []. The list of production rules of the grammar and corresponding transition of NPDA for this set are as follows:

- $I S \to [BS$
- $I S \to [B]$
- $\bigcirc S \rightarrow [SBS$
- $[\bullet B \rightarrow]$

((q, [, S), (q, BS)))((q, [, S), (q, B))((q, [, S), (q, SB))((q, [, S), (q, SBS))) $((q,], B), (q, \epsilon))$

Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

CFL Properties

DPDA, DCFL

Membership

Example Derivation for the i/p 'x = [[[]] []]'

Rule applied	<i>Sentential forms</i> <i>in a leftmost</i> derivation of x in G	Configuration of M in an accepting computation of M on input x		
 (3) (4) (2) (5) (5) (2) (5) (5) 	S [SB [[SBSB [[[BBSB [[[]]SB [[[]] [BB [[[]] []B [[[]]]]	(q, (q, (q, (q, (q, (q, (q, (q, (q, (q,	$\begin{bmatrix} [[]] []], \\ [[]] []], \\ []] []], \\ []] []], \\]] []], \\] []], \\ []], \\ []], \\], \\$	S) SB) SBSB) BBSB) BSB) SB) BB) B) ϵ)

Formal Language

and Automata Theory (CS21004) Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar Normal Forms Derivations and Ambiguities Pumping lemma for CFLs PDA Parsing

DPDA, DCFL Membership

Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

> Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

CFL Properties

DPDA, DCFL

Membership

Lemma: For any
$$z, y \in \Sigma^*, \gamma \in N^*$$
, and $A \in N, A \stackrel{n}{\rightarrow}_G z\gamma$ via
a leftmost derivation iff $(q, zy, A) \stackrel{n}{\rightarrow}_M (q, y, \gamma)$

- For example, in the fourth row of the table above, we would have z = [[[, y =]] []], γ = BBSB, A = S and n=3
- Proof: Try Yourself

CFG G converted to NPDA M

Language Equivalence : L(M) = L(G)*Proof:*

 $\begin{array}{l} x \in L(G) \\ \Leftrightarrow S \xrightarrow[]{e}{} x \text{ by a leftmost derivation. [definition of L(G)]} \\ \Leftrightarrow (q, x, S) \xrightarrow[]{e}{} (q, \epsilon, \epsilon) \text{ using the last Lemma} \\ \Leftrightarrow x \in L(M) \text{ definition of L(M)} \end{array}$

Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

CFL Properties

DPDA, DCFL

Membership

NPDA to CFG

- Every NPDA can be simulated by an NPDA with one state.
- Every NPDA with one state has an equivalent CFG

Conclusion : With NPDA \rightarrow CFG and CFG \rightarrow NPDA we have established that 'NPDAs and CFGs are equivalent in expressive power'

Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

> Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

CFL Properties

DPDA, DCFL

Membership

Single state NPDA to CFG

- Consider a NPDA M with one state that accepts by empty stack M = ({q}, Σ, Γ, δ, q, ⊥, Ø)
- The equivalent CFG be G = (Γ, Σ, P, ⊥), where P contains a production A → cB₁B₂...B_k for every transition ((q, c, A), (q, B₁B₂...B_k)) ∈ δ

(the conversion we discussed is invertible).

Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

CFL Properties

DPDA, DCFL

Membership

Arbitrary NPDA to single state NPDA

Consider a NPDA $M = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, s, \bot, \{t\})$

- *M* has a single final state *t* and *M* can empty its stack after it enters state *t*.
- Let $\Gamma' \stackrel{def}{=} Q \times \Gamma \times Q$. Elements of Γ' are written $\langle pAq \rangle$, where $p, q \in Q$ and $A \in \Gamma$

Equivalent NPDA $M' = (\{*\}, \Sigma, \Gamma', \delta', *, \langle s \perp t \rangle, \emptyset)$

• M' has one state * and accepts by empty stack.

•
$$(*, x, pAq) \xrightarrow{*}_{M'} (*, \epsilon, \epsilon)$$
 iff $(p, x, A) \xrightarrow{*}_{M} (q, \epsilon, \epsilon)$

Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

CFL Properties

DPDA, DCFL

Membership

For each transition $((p, c, A), (q_0, B_1B_2...B_k)) \in \delta$, where $c \in \Sigma \cup \{\epsilon\}$

• $((*, c, \langle pAq_k \rangle), (*, \langle q_0B_1q_1 \rangle \langle q_1B_2q_2 \rangle \langle q_{k-1}B_kq_k \rangle)) \in \delta'$. For all possible choice of $q_1, q_2,, q_k$.

• For k=0,
$$((*, c, \langle pAq_0 \rangle), (*, \epsilon)) \in \delta'$$
 iff $((p, c, A), (q_0, \epsilon)) \in \delta$

M' nondeterministically guesses a computation sequence, saves the guessed sequence in stack verifies later in case of an actual run.

Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

CFL Properties

DPDA, DCFL

Membership

NPDA to CFG - another option

Consider a PDA $P = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, \bot, \{q_F\})$ with the restriction that every transition either pushes a symbol or pops a symbol from the stack, i.e. $\delta(q, a, X)$ contains either $(q', \gamma X)$, $\gamma \in \Gamma$ or (q', ϵ) . Consider the equivalent grammar G_P = (V, T, P, S) such that $V = \{A_{p,q} : p, q \in Q\}, T = \Sigma$, $S = A_{a_0, a_F}$ and P has transitions of the following form: • $\forall q \in Q \quad (A_{q,q} \to \epsilon) \in P$ • $\forall p, q, r \in Q$ $(A_{p,q} \rightarrow A_{p,r}A_{r,q}) \in P$ • $(A_{p,q} \rightarrow aA_{r,s}b) \in P$ if $\delta(p, a, \epsilon)$ contains (r, X) and $\delta(s, b, X)$ contains (q, ϵ)

Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

CFL Properties

DPDA, DCFL

Membership

NPDA to CFG

Theorem: If $A_{p,q} \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} x$ then x can bring the PDA P from state p on empty stack to state q on empty stack.

Proof:

We can prove this theorem by induction on the number of steps in the derivation of x from $A_{p,q}$.

Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

> Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

CFL Properties

DPDA, DCFL

Membership

NPDA to CFG

<u>Base case</u>: If $A_{p,q} \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} x$ in one step, then the only rule to generate a terminal string in one step is $A_{p,p} \rightarrow \epsilon$ <u>Inductive step</u>: If $A_{p,q} \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} x$ in n + 1 steps. The first step in the derivation must be $A_{p,q} \rightarrow A_{p,r}A_{r,q}$ or $A_{p,q} \rightarrow aA_{r,s}b$.

- If it is $A_{p,q} \rightarrow A_{p,r}A_{r,q}$, then the string x can be broken into two parts x_1x_2 such that $A_{p,r} \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} x_1$ and $A_{r,q} \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} x_2$ in at most n steps. The theorem easily follows in this case.
- If it is A_{p,q} → aA_{r,s}b, then the string x can be broken as ayb such that A_{r,s} ^{*}⇒ y in n steps. Notice that from state p, on reading a the PDA pushes a symbol X to stack, while it pops X in state s on reading b and goes to state q.

Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

Table of Contents

- Context Free Grammar
- 2 Normal Forms
- 3 Derivations and Ambiguities
- Pumping lemma for CFLs
- 5 PDA
- 6 Parsing
- O CFL Properties
- B DPDA, DCFL
- 9 Membership

10 CSL

Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

> Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

CFL Properties DPDA, DCFL

Membership

CSI

The following grammar generates the well-parenthesized propositional expressions

$$E \implies (EBE)|(UE)|C|V,$$

$$B \implies \forall | \land | \rightarrow | \leftrightarrow,$$

$$U \implies \neg,$$

$$C \implies \top | \bot,$$

$$V \implies P|Q|R| \cdots.$$

The words well-parenthesized means that there must be parentheses around any compound expression. E.g.

 $(((P \lor Q) \land R) \lor (Q \land (\neg P)))$

Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

A parser

Start with the initial stack symbol \perp and scan the expression from left to right.

- If the symbol is a (, push it in the stack.
- If the symbol is an operator, push it in the stack.
- If the symbol is a constant, push it in the stack.
- If the symbol is a variable, push it in the stack.
- If the symbol is a), do a reduce
 - Create a new node.
 - Pop the top. It should be a constant, variable or another node.
 - Pop the top. It should be an operator.
 - O Pop the top. It should be a constant, variable or another node.
 - O Pop the top. It should be a (.
 - O Push the node in the stack.

Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

CFL Properties DPDA, DCFL Membership

Parsing Example

$(((P \lor Q) \land R) \lor (Q \land (\neg P)))$

- Push the 3 ('s in the stack.(i)
- Push P in the stack.(iv)
- Push \lor in the stack.(ii)
- Push Q in the stack.(iv)



Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

$$((P \lor Q) \land R) \lor (Q \land (\neg P)))$$

- Scan) and reduce.
- Pop the stack until (and create a new node.



Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

> Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

CFL Properties DPDA, DCFL Membership CSL

$$(((P \lor Q) \land R) \lor (Q \land (\neg P)))$$

• Push \land and R in the stack



Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

> Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

CFL Properties DPDA, DCFL Membership CSL



Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

> Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

CFL Properties DPDA, DCFL Membership CSL

 $(((P \lor Q) \land R) \lor (Q \land (\neg P)))$





Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

> Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

$$(((P \lor Q) \land R) \lor (Q \land (\neg P)))$$

• Scan the first of the final 3) and reduce.



Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

> Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

$(((P \lor Q) \land R) \lor (Q \land (\neg P)))$

• Scan the next) and reduce.



Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

> Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

CFL Properties DPDA, DCFL Membership CSL



Formal Language

and Automata Theory (CS21004) Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT

Operator Precedence

Consider the grammar for arithmetic expressions.

$$\begin{split} E &\to E + E|E - E|E \cdot E|E/E| - E|C|V|(E), \\ C &\to 0|1, \\ V &\to a|b|c. \end{split}$$

This grammar is ambiguous. The equivalent unambiguous grammar is

$$E \rightarrow E + F|E - F|F,$$

$$F \rightarrow F \cdot G|F/G|G,$$

$$G \rightarrow -G|H,$$

$$H \rightarrow C|V|(E),$$

$$C \rightarrow 0|1,$$

$$V \rightarrow a|b|c.$$

Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

> Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

Parsing Example

Given a precedence relation,on the operators, we modify the parsing rules as follows. When we scan a binary operator B

- One Check if top of the stack is an operand
- 2 Look at the stack symbol A immediately below
 - If A has lower precedence than B push B
 - Otherwise, reduce

Consider the following example

$$a + b.c + d$$

- Start with the stack containing ⊥
- Scan and push *a* in the stack



Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

- Scan +. Check that \perp has lower precedence so push +.
- Scan and push b



Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

> Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing
- Scan · . Check that + has lower precedence so push ..
- Scan and push c



Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

> Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

CFL Properties DPDA, DCFL Membership CSL

Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

 Scan the second +. Check that · has higher precedence so reduce.



• Check that + has equal precedence so reduce.



Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

- Check that ⊥ has lower precedence so push +.
- Scan and push d



• End of expression so reduce.



Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

> Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

Why reduce in case of equal precedence ?

- Eventually you have to reduce everything
- No point keeping things in stack when it can be reduced
- Unnecessary Push n Pop operations in that case

Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

Table of Contents

- Context Free Grammar
- 2 Normal Forms
- 3 Derivations and Ambiguities
- 4 Pumping lemma for CFLs
- 5 PDA
- 6 Parsing
- OFL Properties
- B DPDA, DCFL
- 9 Membership

🔟 CSL

Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

> Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

Closure Properties of CFL

Context-free languages are closed under the following operations:

- Union
- Oncatenation
- 6 Kleene closure
- 4 Homomorphism
- Substitution
- Inverse-homomorphism
- Ø Reverse

Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

Closure Properties of CFLs

- CFL are closed under intersection with regular sets.
- CFL are not closed under intersection.

Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

> Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

Closure Properties of CFL

Context-free languages are **not closed** *under* <u>intersection</u> *and complementation.*

Proof:

Consider the languages $L_1 = \{0^n 1^n 2^m : n, m \ge 0\}$ and $L_2 = \{0^m 1^n 2^n : n, m \ge 0\}$

Both languages are CFLs.

What is $L_1 \bigcap L_2$?

Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

Closure Properties of CFL

Proof: contd...

 $L = L_1 \bigcap L_2 = \{0^n 1^n 2^n : n \ge 0\}$ and it is not a CFL.

Hence CFLs are not closed under intersection.

Use Demorgans law to prove non-closure under **complemen-tation**.

Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

> Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

Linear Grammars n languages

A linear grammar is a CFG that has at most one nonterminal in the R.H.S. of each of its productions.

- Consider $\{a^i b^i \mid i \ge 0\}$
- $P = \{S \rightarrow aSb, S \rightarrow \epsilon\}$

We call such languages as linear languages. Recall, the special cases

- left-linear grammars : a type of linear grammar with R.H.S. nonterminals strictly at the left ends;
- **right-linear grammars** : a type of linear grammar with R.H.S. nonterminals strictly at the right ends;

Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

Linear Grammars n languages

- All linear languages are context-free
- There are CFLs that are non-linear : balanced parenthesis (the famous "Dyck language") $S \rightarrow (S)|SS|\epsilon$

Thus

- regular languages are a proper subset of linear languages
- linear languages are a proper subset of CFLs

Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

Linear Grammars n languages

- Linear languages that are regular are deterministic (DPDA acceptable naturally)
- there exist linear languages that are nondeterministic
- Ex : the language of even-length palindromes on $\{0,1\}$ has the linear grammar $S \rightarrow 0S0|1S1|\epsilon$. Require NPDA
 - linear languages are closed under intersection with regular sets
 - linear languages are closed under homomorphism and inverse homomorphism.

Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

Table of Contents

- Context Free Grammar
- 2 Normal Forms
- 3 Derivations and Ambiguities
- 4 Pumping lemma for CFLs
- 5 PDA
- 6 Parsing
- 7 CFL Properties
- B DPDA, DCFL
- Image: Membership

10 CSL

Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

> Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

CFL Properties

DPDA, DCFL

Membership

CSI

Deterministic Pushdown Automata

A PDA $P = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, \bot, F)$ is deterministic if

- $\delta(q, a, X)$ has at most one member for every $q \in Q$, $a \in \Sigma$ or $a = \epsilon$, and $X \in \Gamma$.
- If δ(q, a, X) is nonempty for some a ∈ Σ then δ(q, ε, X) must be empty.

Example: $L = \{0^n 1^n : n \ge 1\}.$

Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

CFL Properties

DPDA, DCFL

Membership

CSI

Deterministic Pushdown Automata

• Theorem:

Every regular language can be accepted by a deterministic pushdown automata that accepts by final states.

 Theorem (DPDA ≠ PDA): There are some CFLs, for instance {ww^R} that can not be accepted by a DPDA. Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

CFL Properties

DPDA, DCFL

Membership

Closure Properties of DCFLs

A deterministic context free language is a language accepted by a deterministic PDA(DPDA). Every DCFL is a CFL but not vice versa.

- DCFL are not closed under union
- DCFL are not closed under reversal
- DCFL are closed under complementation

Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

CFL Properties

DPDA, DCFL

Membership

CSI

Table of Contents

- Context Free Grammar
- 2 Normal Forms
- 3 Derivations and Ambiguities
- 4 Pumping lemma for CFLs
- 5 PDA
- 6 Parsing
- 7 CFL Properties
- 8 DPDA, DCFL
- Ø Membership

🕕 CSL

Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

> Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

CFL Properties

DPDA, DCFL

Membership

CYK Algorithm

Cubic-time Algorithm to check if a string x belongs to a grammar G in CNF.

Consider the following grammar for the set of all non-null strings with equal number of a's and b's.

 $S \rightarrow AB|BA|SS|AC|BD,$ $A \rightarrow a,$ $B \rightarrow b,$ $C \rightarrow SB,$ $D \rightarrow SA.$ Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

CFL Properties

DPDA, DCFL

Membership

CYK Algorithm contd.

We'll run the algorithm on the string a a b b a b 1 2 3 4 0 For $0 \le i < j \le n$, x_{ij} denote the substring of x between lines i and j. Build a table T with $\binom{n}{2}$ entries, one for each pair *i*, *j*. 0 1 - 3 $T_{i,i}$ refers to substring $x_{i,i}$. - - - - - 5 6

Formal Language

and Automata Theory (CS21004) Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT

Kharagpur

Context Free

Normal Forms

Ambiguities

PDA

Parsing CFL Properties

DPDA, DCFL Membership

Pumping lemma for CFLs

Grammar

-A5

B 6

We will fill each entry $T_{i,j} \in T$ with the set of nonterminals of *G* that produce substring $x_{i,j}$. We start with substring of length 1. For each substring $c = x_{i,i+1}$, if there is a production $X \rightarrow c \in G$, we write X in $T_{i,j}$. 0 A 1 - A 2 - - B 3 - - - B 4 Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

CFL Properties

DPDA, DCFL

Membership

For each substring $c = x_{i,i+2}$, we break the substring into two non-null substrings $x_{i,i+1}$ and $x_{i+1,i+2}$ and check the table entries

6

Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

> Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

CFL Properties

DPDA, DCFL

Membership

Now proceed to strings of length 3. For each substring $c = x_{i,i+3}$, we have two ways to proceed: we break the substring into two non-null substrings $x_{i,i+1}$ and $x_{i+1,i+3}$ or $x_{i,i+2}$ and $x_{i+2,i+3}$. For example, $x_{0,3} = x_{0,1}x_{1,3} = x_{0,2}x_{2,3}$. For the first, $A \in T_{0,1}$ and $S \in T_{1,3}$ but AS is not present in the right side of any production. Similarly nothing produces $T_{0,2}$. So $T_{0,3}$ is ϕ 0 A 1 ϕ A 2 ϕ S B 3 6

Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

CFL Properties

DPDA, DCFL

Membership

For $x_{1,4} = x_{1,2}x_{2,4} = x_{1,3}x_{3,4}$, $A \in T_{1,2}$ and $\phi \in T_{2,4}$. But $S \in T_{1,3}$ and $B \in T_{3,4}$ and $C \rightarrow SB \in G$. So $T_{1,4}$ is labeled with C. 0 A 1 ϕ A 2 ϕ S B 3 S R 6

Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004) Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and

Pumping lemma

Ambiguities

for CFLs

Parsing CFL Properties

DPDA, DCFL Membership Continue in this fashion for stings of length three, four etc. For strings of length four there are 3 ways to break them up and every one must be checked. the final result is

0 A 1 ϕ A 2 ϕ S B 3 S C ϕ B 4 D S ϕ S A 5 S C ϕ C S B 6 We see that $T_{0,6}$ is labeled with S so we conclude that x is generated by G. Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

CFL Properties

DPDA, DCFL

Membership

Algorithm 1: CYK Algorithm

for i := 0 to n - 1 do /* 1 length strings first */

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline T_{i,i+1} := \Phi & /* \text{ Initialize to } \Phi \ */ \\ \hline \text{for } A \rightarrow a \in G \text{ do} \\ & \text{if } a = x_{i,i+1} \text{ then} \\ & & | & T_{i,i+1} := T_{i,i+1} \cup \{A\} \\ \hline \text{for } m := 2 \text{ to } n \text{ do} & /* \text{ for each length } m \ge 2 \ */ \\ \hline \text{for } i := 0 \text{ to } n - m \text{ do} & /* \text{ for each substring } \ */ \\ \hline \text{for } i := 0 \text{ to } n - m \text{ do} & /* \text{ for each substring } \ */ \\ \hline \text{for } j := i + 1 \text{ to } i + m - 1 \text{ do} & /* \text{ for all ways} \\ & \text{to breakup the string } \ */ \\ \hline & \text{ for } A \rightarrow BC \in G \text{ do} \\ & & | & \text{if } B \in T_{i,j} \land C \in T_{j,i+m} \text{ then} \\ & & | & T_{i,i+m} := T_{i,i+m} \cup \{A\} \end{array}$$

Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

> Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

CFL Properties

DPDA, DCFL

Membership

Table of Contents

- Context Free Grammar
- 2 Normal Forms
- 3 Derivations and Ambiguities
- 4 Pumping lemma for CFLs
- 5 PDA
- 6 Parsing
- 7 CFL Properties
- 8 DPDA, DCFL
- 9 Membership



Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

> Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

CFL Properties

DPDA, DCFL

Membership

 An unrestricted grammar is a 4-tuple G = (V, Σ, S, P), where V and Σ are disjoint sets of variables and terminals, respectively. S is an element of V called the start symbol, and P is a set of productions of the form

$$\alpha \to \beta$$

where $\alpha, \beta \in (V \cup \Sigma)^*$ and α contains at least one variable.

- A context-sensitive grammar (CSG) is an unrestricted grammar in which no production is length-decreasing. In other words, every production is of the form α → β, where |β| ≥ |α|.
- A language is a context-sensitive language (CSL) if it can be generated by a context-sensitive grammar.

Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

CFL Properties

DPDA, DCFL

Membership

A CSG Generating $L = \{a^n b^n c^n | n \ge 1\}$

S ightarrow aSBC ABC
BA ightarrow AB
CA ightarrow AC
CB ightarrow BC
$\mathcal{A} ightarrow$ a
а $A ightarrow$ аа
aB o ab
bB ightarrow bb
bC ightarrow bc
cC ightarrow cc

Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

> Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

CFL Properties

DPDA, DCFL

Membership

The derivation for the string *aabbcc* is

 $S \rightarrow aSBC$ $\rightarrow aaBCBC$ $\rightarrow aabCBC$ $\rightarrow aabBCC$ $\rightarrow aabbCC$ $\rightarrow aabbcC$ $\rightarrow aabbcC$ $\rightarrow aabbcc$ Formal Language and Automata Theory (CS21004)

> Soumyajit Dey CSE, IIT Kharagpur

Context Free Grammar

Normal Forms

Derivations and Ambiguities

Pumping lemma for CFLs

PDA

Parsing

CFL Properties

DPDA, DCFL

Membership