

---

## Tutorial 8

### Time Complexity Classes

---

1. Prove that the following languages (defined over graphs) are in  $\mathbf{P}$ .
  - (a) **BIPARTITE** – the set of all bipartite graphs. That is,  $G = (V, E) \in \mathbf{BIPARTITE}$  if  $V$  can be partitioned into two sets  $V_1, V_2$  such that every edge in  $E$  is adjacent to a vertex in  $V_1$  and a vertex in  $V_2$ .
  - (b) **TRIANGLE-FREE** – the set of all graphs that do not contain a triangle (where triangle is a set of three distinct vertices that are mutually connected).
  
2. Normally, we assume that numbers are represented as strings using the binary basis. That is, a number  $n$  is represented by the sequence  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{\log n}$  such that  $n = \sum_{i=0}^{\log n} x_i 2^i$ . However, we could have used other encoding schemes. If  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $b \geq 2$ , then the representation of  $n$  in base  $b$ , denoted by  $\llcorner n \lrcorner_b$  is obtained as follows: first represent  $n$  as a sequence of digits in  $\{0, \dots, b-1\}$ , and then replace each digit by a sequence of zeroes and ones. The unary representation of  $n$ , denoted by  $\llcorner n \lrcorner_1$  is the string  $1^n$  (i.e., a sequence of  $n$  ones).
  - (a) Show that choosing a different base of representation (other than unary) will make no difference to the class  $\mathbf{P}$ . That is, show that for every subset  $S$  of the natural numbers, if we define  $L_S^b = \{\llcorner n \lrcorner_b : n \in S\}$  then for every  $b \geq 2$ ,  $L_S^b \in \mathbf{P}$  iff  $L_S^2 \in \mathbf{P}$ .
  - (b) Show that choosing the unary representation makes a difference by showing that the following language is in  $\mathbf{P}$ .

$$\mathbf{UNARYFACTORING} = \{\langle \llcorner n \lrcorner_1, \llcorner k \lrcorner_1 \rangle : \text{there is a } j \leq k \text{ dividing } n\}.$$

3. Prove that  $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{coP}$  and  $\mathbf{P} \subseteq \mathbf{NP} \cap \mathbf{coNP}$ .
4. Assuming  $\mathbf{NP} \neq \mathbf{coNP}$ , show that no  $\mathbf{NP}$ -complete problem can be in  $\mathbf{coNP}$ .
5. Show that the halting problem is  $\mathbf{NP}$ -hard.
6. Let

$$\mathbf{DOUBLESAT} = \{\langle \phi \rangle : \phi \text{ is a CNF formula having at least two satisfying assignments}\}.$$

Show that  $\mathbf{DOUBLESAT}$  is  $\mathbf{NP}$ -complete.

7. (a) A *vertex cover* in a graph  $G = (V, E)$  is a set of vertices  $S \subseteq V$  such that every edge of  $G$  is incident on at least one vertex in  $S$ . Show that the language

$$\mathbf{VERTEXCOVER} = \{(G, k) \mid \text{graph } G \text{ has a vertex cover of size } \leq k\}$$

is  $\mathbf{NP}$ -complete.

- (b) Let  $S$  be a set and let  $C = \{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$  be a collection of  $n$  subsets of  $S$  (for each  $i \in [1, n]$ ,  $X_i \subseteq S$ ). A set  $S'$ , with  $S' \subseteq S$ , is called a hitting set for  $C$  if every subset in  $C$  contains at least one element in  $S'$ , i.e.,  $|X_i \cap S'| \geq 1$  for each  $i \in [1, n]$ . Let HITSET be the language  $\{\langle C, k \rangle : C \text{ has a hitting set of size } k\}$ . Prove that HITSET is NP-complete.

**Example**  $S = \{a, b, c, d, e, f, g\}$ ,  $C = \{\{a, b, c\}, \{d, a\}, \{d, e, f\}, \{g\}\}$

- $k = 2$ , no hitting sets exist.
- $k = 3$ ,  $S' = \{a, d, g\}$  (other choices exist).

**Hint:** Try reducing from VERTEXCOVER.

8. (Scaling resource bounds.) Let  $\mathbf{CL}_1, \mathbf{CL}_2$  denote some time/space complexity classes. Show that if  $\mathbf{CL}_1(f(n)) \subseteq \mathbf{CL}_2(g(n))$ , then  $\mathbf{CL}_1(f(n^c)) \subseteq \mathbf{CL}_2(g(n^c))$ .
9. The following two classes are exponential time analogues of  $\mathbf{P}$  and  $\mathbf{NP}$ .

$$\mathbf{EXP} = \cup_{c \geq 1} \mathbf{DTIME}(2^{n^c})$$

$$\mathbf{NEXP} = \cup_{c \geq 1} \mathbf{NTIME}(2^{n^c})$$

Clearly,  $\mathbf{P} \subseteq \mathbf{NP} \subseteq \mathbf{EXP} \subseteq \mathbf{NEXP}$ . Show that if  $\mathbf{EXP} \neq \mathbf{NEXP}$ , then  $\mathbf{P} \neq \mathbf{NP}$ .

**Hint:** Consider padding strings in  $\mathbf{EXP}/\mathbf{NEXP}$  languages with exponentially sized strings in order to “scale down” to  $\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{NP}$ .