

Answer any four questions. State all assumptions you make. Keep your answers concise.

1. Give formal definitions of one-way function and hardcore predicate. For the statements below, answer True or False with proper justifications.

- (a) A 1-1 (i.e., bijective) function with a hard-core predicate is not a one-way function.
- (b) A one-way permutation f along with a hard-core predicate hc for f together imply a pseudorandom generator with expansion $\ell(n) = n + 10$.

4+5+6 = 15

2. Recall the group generation algorithm GGen discussed in class for a security parameter $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$. Let us define $s = (\mathbb{G}, q, g, h)$, where $(\mathbb{G}, q, g) \leftarrow \text{GGen}(1^\lambda)$ for $q = \Theta(2^\lambda)$, $q \in \mathbb{P}$ and $h \leftarrow \mathbb{G}$ is sampled u.a.r. Consider the following function $H_s : \mathbb{Z}_q \times \mathbb{Z}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ defined as $H_s(x_1, x_2) := g^{x_1} h^{x_2} \in \mathbb{G}$.

- (a) Justify why H_s can be viewed as a compressing function.
- (b) Argue with a formal reduction that H_s is a (fixed-length) collision-resistant hash function, if the discrete logarithm problem is intractable relative to GGen.
- (c) Consider a generalization of H_s now. Define $\hat{s} = (\mathbb{G}, q, (g_1, g_2, \dots, g_t))$, where $(\mathbb{G}, q, g_1) \leftarrow \text{GGen}(1^\lambda)$ for $q = \Theta(2^\lambda)$, $q \in \mathbb{P}$ and $\forall i \in [2, t], g_i \leftarrow \mathbb{G}$ is sampled u.a.r. Further, consider the function $H_{\hat{s}} : (\mathbb{Z}_q)^t \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ such that $H_{\hat{s}}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t) := \prod_{i=1}^t g_i^{x_i} \in \mathbb{G}$. Prove that for any $t = \text{poly}(\lambda)$, $H_{\hat{s}}$ is a collision-resistant hash function if the discrete-logarithm problem is hard relative to GGen.

3+5+7 = 15

3. Recall the Diffie-Hellman problems discussed in class w.r.t. the algorithm GGen as in question 1.

- (a) Give formal definitions of the decisional and computational Diffie-Hellman (respectively, DDH and CDH) *assumptions* relative to GGen.
- (b) Construct a candidate PRG (pseudorandom generator) from the DDH problem. Show a formal security reduction to argue why your PRG construction is secure if the DDH problem is hard relative to GGen.
- (c) The Decision Linear (DLIN) problem relative to GGen is as follows. Assume $(\mathbb{G}, q, g) \leftarrow \text{GGen}(1^\lambda)$ for $q = \Theta(2^\lambda)$, $q \in \mathbb{P}$. Denote $1_{\mathbb{G}}$ as the identity of \mathbb{G} . For $u, v, h \leftarrow \mathbb{G} \setminus \{1_{\mathbb{G}}\}$ and $a, b \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_q$, given a tuple (u, v, h, u^a, v^b, h^r) , decide whether $r = a + b$ or not. Formally, we define the advantage of a PPT algorithm \mathcal{A} in deciding the DLIN problem in \mathbb{G} relative to GGen as:

$$\text{Adv}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{DLIN}} := \left| \Pr \left[\mathcal{A} \left(1^\lambda, u, v, h, u^a, v^b, h^{a+b} \right) = 1 : u, v, h \leftarrow \mathbb{G}, a, b \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_q, (\mathbb{G}, q, g) \leftarrow \text{GGen}(1^\lambda) \right] - \Pr \left[\mathcal{A} \left(1^\lambda, u, v, h, u^a, v^b, h^r \right) = 1 : u, v, h \leftarrow \mathbb{G}, a, b, r \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_q, (\mathbb{G}, q, g) \leftarrow \text{GGen}(1^\lambda) \right] \right|,$$

where, the probability is taken over the uniform random choice of the parameters input to \mathcal{A} , and over the random coin tosses possibly made by \mathcal{A} . Show that DLIN is hard to solve in \mathbb{G} , if it is so for DDH. **[Hint:** How can a reduction set a DLIN tuple (u, v, h, u^a, v^b, z) , given a DDH challenge (g, g^a, g^b, t) ?

4+4+7 = 15

4. Consider an ElGamal-like public-key encryption scheme Π that is built upon the RSA problem. Let $M = r \cdot t$ (for $r, t \in \mathbb{P}$) be an RSA modulus and $(pk, sk) = ((u, M), (v, M))$ be public-secret key pair (i.e., formally $(M, u, v) \leftarrow \text{GenRSA}(1^\lambda)$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$). To encrypt any message $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}_M$ with pk , sample $w \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_M$ u.a.r. and output the ciphertext as (α, β) , where

$$\alpha = w^u \bmod M \quad , \quad \beta = \mu \cdot (w + 1)^u \bmod M.$$

- (a) Recall that in class, we (informally) discussed the notions of malleable (and homomorphic) encryption. Is Π malleable and/or homomorphic? Justify your answers.
- (b) For $a, z \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_M$, the decisional dependent-RSA problem (DDRSA) is to decide, given (M, u) and $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{Z}_M^2$, whether

$$(\alpha, \beta) = (a^u \bmod M, (a + 1)^u \bmod M) \quad \text{or} \quad (\alpha, \beta) = (a^u \bmod M, z^u \bmod M).$$

Prove that Π is IND-CPA secure if the DDRSA problem in \mathbb{Z}_M is intractable.

- (c) Modify Π to build a digital signature scheme Π' . In particular, you should describe *all* the algorithms of Π' explicitly, together with a proof of its *correctness*. Prove that Π' is *not* a secure digital signature. (That is, show a forgery against Π' by any PPT adversary \mathcal{A} .)

4+6+5 = 15

5. Recall the concept of one-time signatures (OTS) discussed in class with Lamport's OTS construction. A *strong* OTS scheme informally satisfies the following: given a valid message-signature pair (m', σ') , it is infeasible to output $(m, \sigma) \neq (m', \sigma')$ for which σ is a valid signature on m .

- (a) Give a formal security definition of strong OTS.
- (b) Recall that Lamport's OTS scheme uses a one-way function. Show a candidate one-way function for which Lamport's OTS is *not* a strong OTS scheme.
- (c) Is the textbook RSA signature a secure OTS? Is it a strong OTS? Justify your answers.

5+6+4 = 15