
CS 60050 Machine Learning

Naïve Bayes Classifier

Some slides taken from course materials of Tan, Steinbach, Kumar

Bayes Classifier

- A probabilistic framework for solving classification problems
- Approach for modeling **probabilistic relationships** between the attribute set and the class variable
 - May not be possible to certainly predict class label of a test record even if it has identical attributes to some training records
 - Reason: noisy data or presence of certain factors that are not included in the analysis

Probability Basics

- $P(A = a, C = c)$: joint probability that random variables A and C will take values a and c respectively
- $P(A = a \mid C = c)$: conditional probability that A will take the value a , given that C has taken value c

$$P(C \mid A) = \frac{P(A, C)}{P(A)}$$

$$P(A \mid C) = \frac{P(A, C)}{P(C)}$$

Bayes Theorem

- Bayes theorem:

$$P(C | A) = \frac{P(A | C)P(C)}{P(A)}$$

- $P(C)$ known as the prior probability
- $P(C | A)$ known as the posterior probability

Example of Bayes Theorem

- Given:

- A doctor knows that meningitis causes stiff neck 50% of the time
- Prior probability of any patient having meningitis is 1/50,000
- Prior probability of any patient having stiff neck is 1/20

- If a patient has stiff neck, what's the probability he/she has meningitis?

$$P(M | S) = \frac{P(S | M)P(M)}{P(S)} = \frac{0.5 \times 1/50000}{1/20} = 0.0002$$

Bayesian Classifiers

- Consider each attribute and class label as random variables
- Given a record with attributes (A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n)
 - Goal is to predict class C
 - Specifically, we want to find the value of C that maximizes $P(C | A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n)$
- Can we estimate $P(C | A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n)$ directly from data?

Bayesian Classifiers

- Approach:
 - compute the posterior probability $P(C | A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n)$ for all values of C using the Bayes theorem

$$P(C | A_1 A_2 \dots A_n) = \frac{P(A_1 A_2 \dots A_n | C) P(C)}{P(A_1 A_2 \dots A_n)}$$

Bayesian Classifiers

- Approach:
 - compute the posterior probability $P(C | A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n)$ for all values of C using the Bayes theorem

Class-conditional probability **Prior probability**

$$P(C | A_1 A_2 \dots A_n) = \frac{P(A_1 A_2 \dots A_n | C) P(C)}{P(A_1 A_2 \dots A_n)}$$

Posterior probability **Evidence**

Bayesian Classifiers

- Approach:

- compute the posterior probability $P(C | A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n)$ for all values of C using the Bayes theorem

$$P(C | A_1 A_2 \dots A_n) = \frac{P(A_1 A_2 \dots A_n | C) P(C)}{P(A_1 A_2 \dots A_n)}$$

- Choose value of C that maximizes $P(C | A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n)$
- Equivalent to choosing value of C that maximizes $P(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n | C) P(C)$

- How to estimate $P(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n | C)$?

Naïve Bayes Classifier

- Assumes all attributes A_i are conditionally independent, when class C is given:
 - $P(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n | C) = P(A_1 | C) P(A_2 | C) \dots P(A_n | C)$
 - Can estimate $P(A_i | C_j)$ for all A_i and C_j .
 - New point is classified to C_j if $P(C_j) \prod P(A_i | C_j)$ is maximal.

Conditional independence: basics

- Let X , Y , Z denote three sets of random variables
- The variables in X are said to be conditionally independent of variables in Y , given Z if
- $P(X | Y, Z) = P(X | Z)$

- An example
 - Level of reading skills of people tends to increase with length of the arm
 - Explanation: both increase with age of a person
 - If age is given, arm length and reading skills are (conditionally) independent

Conditional independence: basics

- If X and Y are conditionally independent, given Z

$$\begin{aligned}P(X, Y | Z) &= P(X, Y, Z) / P(Z) \\ &= P(X, Y, Z) / P(Y, Z) * P(Y, Z) / P(Z) \\ &= P(X | Y, Z) * P(Y | Z) \\ &= P(X | Z) * P(Y | Z)\end{aligned}$$

$$P(X, Y | Z) = P(X | Z) * P(Y | Z)$$

NB assumption:

$$P(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n | C) = P(A_1 | C) P(A_2 | C) \dots P(A_n | C)$$

How to Estimate Probabilities from Data?

Tid	Refund	Marital Status	Taxable Income	Evade
1	Yes	Single	125K	No
2	No	Married	100K	No
3	No	Single	70K	No
4	Yes	Married	120K	No
5	No	Divorced	95K	Yes
6	No	Married	60K	No
7	Yes	Divorced	220K	No
8	No	Single	85K	Yes
9	No	Married	75K	No
10	No	Single	90K	Yes

- Class: $P(C) = N_c/N$

- e.g., $P(\text{No}) = 7/10$,
 $P(\text{Yes}) = 3/10$

- For discrete attributes:

$$P(A_i | C_k) = |A_{ik}| / N_{C_k}$$

- where $|A_{ik}|$ is number of instances having attribute A_i and belongs to class C_k

- Examples:

$$P(\text{Status}=\text{Married}|\text{No}) = 4/7$$
$$P(\text{Refund}=\text{Yes}|\text{Yes})=0$$

How to Estimate Probabilities from Data?

- For continuous attributes, two options:
 - **Discretize** the range into bins
 - ◆ one ordinal attribute per bin
 - **Probability density estimation:**
 - ◆ Assume attribute follows a Gaussian / normal distribution
 - ◆ Use data to estimate parameters of distribution (e.g., mean and standard deviation)
 - ◆ Once probability distribution is known, can use it to estimate the conditional probability $P(A_i|c)$

How to Estimate Probabilities from Data?

Tid	Refund	Marital Status	Taxable Income	Evade
1	Yes	Single	125K	No
2	No	Married	100K	No
3	No	Single	70K	No
4	Yes	Married	120K	No
5	No	Divorced	95K	Yes
6	No	Married	60K	No
7	Yes	Divorced	220K	No
8	No	Single	85K	Yes
9	No	Married	75K	No
10	No	Single	90K	Yes

- Normal distribution:

$$P(A_i | c_j) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_{ij}^2}} e^{-\frac{(A_i - \mu_{ij})^2}{2\sigma_{ij}^2}}$$

- One for each (A_i, c_j) pair

- For (Income, Class=No):

- If Class=No

- ◆ sample mean = 110
- ◆ sample variance = 2975

$$P(\text{Income} = 120 | \text{No}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(54.54)}} e^{-\frac{(120-110)^2}{2(2975)}} = 0.0072$$



A complete example

Example of Naïve Bayes Classifier

Given a Test Record:

$$X = (\text{Refund} = \text{No}, \text{Married}, \text{Income} = 120\text{K})$$

Training data:

Tid	Refund	Marital Status	Taxable Income	Evade
1	Yes	Single	125K	No
2	No	Married	100K	No
3	No	Single	70K	No
4	Yes	Married	120K	No
5	No	Divorced	95K	Yes
6	No	Married	60K	No
7	Yes	Divorced	220K	No
8	No	Single	85K	Yes
9	No	Married	75K	No
10	No	Single	90K	Yes

naive Bayes Classifier:

$$P(\text{Refund}=\text{Yes}|\text{No}) = 3/7$$

$$P(\text{Refund}=\text{No}|\text{No}) = 4/7$$

$$P(\text{Refund}=\text{Yes}|\text{Yes}) = 0$$

$$P(\text{Refund}=\text{No}|\text{Yes}) = 1$$

$$P(\text{Marital Status}=\text{Single}|\text{No}) = 2/7$$

$$P(\text{Marital Status}=\text{Divorced}|\text{No}) = 1/7$$

$$P(\text{Marital Status}=\text{Married}|\text{No}) = 4/7$$

$$P(\text{Marital Status}=\text{Single}|\text{Yes}) = 2/7$$

$$P(\text{Marital Status}=\text{Divorced}|\text{Yes}) = 1/7$$

$$P(\text{Marital Status}=\text{Married}|\text{Yes}) = 0$$

For taxable income:

If class=No: sample mean=110

sample variance=2975

If class=Yes: sample mean=90

sample variance=25

Example of Naïve Bayes Classifier

Given a Test Record:

$$X = (\text{Refund} = \text{No}, \text{Married}, \text{Income} = 120\text{K})$$

naive Bayes Classifier:

$P(\text{Refund}=\text{Yes}|\text{No}) = 3/7$
 $P(\text{Refund}=\text{No}|\text{No}) = 4/7$
 $P(\text{Refund}=\text{Yes}|\text{Yes}) = 0$
 $P(\text{Refund}=\text{No}|\text{Yes}) = 1$
 $P(\text{Marital Status}=\text{Single}|\text{No}) = 2/7$
 $P(\text{Marital Status}=\text{Divorced}|\text{No})=1/7$
 $P(\text{Marital Status}=\text{Married}|\text{No}) = 4/7$
 $P(\text{Marital Status}=\text{Single}|\text{Yes}) = 2/7$
 $P(\text{Marital Status}=\text{Divorced}|\text{Yes})=1/7$
 $P(\text{Marital Status}=\text{Married}|\text{Yes}) = 0$

For taxable income:

If class=No: sample mean=110
 sample variance=2975
If class=Yes: sample mean=90
 sample variance=25

- $P(X|\text{Class}=\text{No}) = P(\text{Refund}=\text{No}|\text{Class}=\text{No})$
 $\times P(\text{Married}|\text{Class}=\text{No})$
 $\times P(\text{Income}=120\text{K}|\text{Class}=\text{No})$
 $= 4/7 \times 4/7 \times 0.0072 = 0.0024$
- $P(X|\text{Class}=\text{Yes}) = P(\text{Refund}=\text{No}|\text{Class}=\text{Yes})$
 $\times P(\text{Married}|\text{Class}=\text{Yes})$
 $\times P(\text{Income}=120\text{K}|\text{Class}=\text{Yes})$
 $= 1 \times 0 \times 1.2 \times 10^{-9} = 0$

Since $P(X|\text{No})P(\text{No}) > P(X|\text{Yes})P(\text{Yes})$

Therefore $P(\text{No}|X) > P(\text{Yes}|X)$

$\Rightarrow \text{Class} = \text{No}$

Naïve Bayes Classifier

- If one of the conditional probability is zero, then the entire expression becomes zero
- Probability estimation:

$$\text{Original : } P(A_i | C) = \frac{N_{ic}}{N_c}$$

$$\text{Laplace : } P(A_i | C) = \frac{N_{ic} + 1}{N_c + c}$$

$$\text{m - estimate : } P(A_i | C) = \frac{N_{ic} + mp}{N_c + m}$$

c: number of classes

p: prior probability

m: parameter

Naïve Bayes: Pros and Cons

- Robust to isolated noise points
- Can handle missing values by ignoring the instance during probability estimate calculations
- Robust to irrelevant attributes
- Independence assumption may not hold for some attributes
 - Presence of correlated attributes can degrade performance of NB classifier

Example with correlated attribute

- Two attributes A, B and class Y (all binary)
- Prior probabilities:
 - $P(Y=0) = P(Y=1) = 0.5$
- Class conditional probabilities of A:
 - $P(A=0 | Y=0) = 0.4$ $P(A=1 | Y=0) = 0.6$
 - $P(A=0 | Y=1) = 0.6$ $P(A=1 | Y=1) = 0.4$
- Class conditional probabilities of B are same as that of A
- B is perfectly correlated with A when $Y=0$, but is independent of A when $Y=1$

Example with correlated attribute

- Need to classify a record with $A=0, B=0$

- $P(Y=0 \mid A=0, B=0) = \frac{P(A=0, B=0 \mid Y=0) P(Y=0)}{P(A=0, B=0)}$
 $= \frac{P(A=0 \mid Y=0) P(B=0 \mid Y=0) P(Y=0)}{P(A=0, B=0)}$

$$= (0.16 * 0.5) / P(A=0, B=0)$$

- $P(Y=1 \mid A=0, B=0) = \frac{P(A=0, B=0 \mid Y=1) P(Y=1)}{P(A=0, B=0)}$
 $= \frac{P(A=0 \mid Y=1) P(B=0 \mid Y=1) P(Y=1)}{P(A=0, B=0)}$

$$= (0.36 * 0.5) / P(A=0, B=0)$$

- Hence prediction is $Y=1$

Example with correlated attribute

- Need to classify a record with $A=0$, $B=0$
- In reality, since B is perfectly correlated to A when $Y=0$

- $$\begin{aligned} P(Y=0 \mid A=0, B=0) &= \frac{P(A=0, B=0 \mid Y=0) P(Y=0)}{P(A=0, B=0)} \\ &= \frac{P(A=0 \mid Y=0) P(Y=0)}{P(A=0, B=0)} \\ &= (0.4 * 0.5) / P(A=0, B=0) \end{aligned}$$

- Hence prediction should have been $Y=0$

Other Bayesian classifiers

- If it is suspected that attributes may have correlations:
- Can use other techniques such as **Bayesian Belief Networks** (BBN)
- Uses a graphical model (network) to capture prior knowledge in a particular domain, and causal dependencies among variables