

1.

Additive identity: $(0, 0)$. Multiplicative identity: $(0, 1)$.

Suppose that λ is not a perfect square, and $(a, b) \odot (c, d) = (0, 0)$, that is, $ad + bc = 0$ and $bd + \lambda ac = 0$. But then, $a(bd + \lambda ac) - b(ad + bc) = 0$, that is, $(\lambda a^2 - b^2)c = 0$. Since λ is not a perfect square, we cannot have $\lambda a^2 - b^2 = 0$ or $\lambda = (b/a)^2$. Therefore we must have $c = 0$. This in turn implies $ad = 0$ and $bd = 0$. If $d = 0$, we have $c = d = 0$, whereas if $d \neq 0$, we have $a = b = 0$. That is, A does not contain non-zero zero divisors.

Conversely, let $\lambda = \alpha^2$. As derived above, we see that $\lambda a^2 - b^2 = 0$ is a necessary condition for the existence of non-zero zero divisors. We need to show that this condition is also sufficient. Taking $a = 1$ and $b = \alpha$ satisfies the condition. We should also have $ad + bc = 0$, that is, $\frac{a}{b} = -\frac{c}{d}$, that is, we can take $c = 1$ and $d = -\alpha$. But then, $bd + \lambda ac = -\alpha^2 + \lambda = 0$. Since $(1, \alpha)$ and $(1, -\alpha)$ are non-zero elements of A , and $(1, \alpha) \odot (1, -\alpha) = (0, 0)$, A is not an integral domain.

2. Let $a, b \in S$, such that $a \in T1$ and $a \notin T2$, $b \in T2$ and $b \notin T1$. Since $a, b \in S$, $a + b \in S$. Since $S \subseteq T1 \cup T2$, $a + b \in T1 \cup T2$, which means $a+b$ must be either in $T1$ or $T2$ or both.

Let $a + b \in T1$. Then, since $T1$ is a subring, $(a+b) - a = (b+a) - a = b \in T1$, contradiction.

Let $a + b \in T2$. Then, since $T2$ is a subring, $(a+b) - b = a \in T2$, contradiction.

Thus, $S \subseteq T1$ or $S \subseteq T2$.

3.

Proof. Fix $a, b \in G$. Then $(ab)(ab)(ab)(ab)(ab) = (ab)^5 = a^5b^5$ and cancelation of the end-terms, or multiplication by inverses, implies that $(ba)^4 = b(ab)(ab)(ab)a = a^4b^4$. Likewise, $(ab)(ab)(ab) = (ab)^3 = a^3b^3$ which implies that

$$(1) \quad (ba)^2 = a^2b^2$$

again by cancelation. But $(ba)^4 = (ba)^2(ba)^2 = a^2b^2a^2b^2$ so that $a^4b^4 = a^2b^2a^2b^2$. Cancelation again implies $a^2b^2 = b^2a^2$ which is certainly getting us closer. Now, using Equation 1, but switching the roles of a and b , we have $(ab)^2 = b^2a^2$, so that $a^2b^2 = b^2a^2 = (ab)^2 = (ab)(ab)$. Cancelation of the end-terms one last time yields $ab = ba$ which proves that G is Abelian. \square

4.

[If] Let $h, h_1, h_2 \in H$ and $k, k_1, k_2 \in K$. We have $(h_1k_1)(h_2k_2) = h_1(k_1h_2)k_2$. Since $KH = HK$, $k_1h_2 = h_3k_3$ for some $h_3 \in H$ and $k_3 \in K$. Therefore $(h_1k_1)(h_2k_2) = h_1(h_3k_3)k_2 = (h_1h_3)(k_3k_2) \in HK$. Next, consider $(hk)^{-1} = k^{-1}h^{-1}$. Since $KH = HK$, we have $k^{-1}h^{-1} = h_4k_4$ for some $h_4 \in H$ and $k_4 \in K$, so $(hk)^{-1} = h_4k_4 \in HK$.

[Only if] Take $hk \in HK$. Since HK is a subgroup, we have $(hk)^{-1} \in HK$, that is, there exist $h_1 \in H$ and $k_1 \in K$ such that $(hk)^{-1} = h_1k_1$. But then, $hk = (h_1k_1)^{-1} = k_1^{-1}h_1^{-1} \in KH$. That is, $HK \subseteq KH$.

Conversely, take $kh \in KH$. We have $h^{-1} \in H$ and $k^{-1} \in K$, so $h^{-1}k^{-1} \in HK$. Since HK is a subgroup, we have $(h^{-1}k^{-1})^{-1} = kh \in HK$. Therefore $KH \subseteq HK$.

Practice Problems

5. Proof: To show that H is a subgroup, it is sufficient to show closure property and the existence of inverse of all elements.

Closure: Let $p \in H, q \in H$. Then $p \circ g = g \circ p, q \circ g = g \circ q$ for all g in G . Then $(p \circ q) \circ g = p \circ (q \circ g) = p \circ (g \circ q) = (p \circ g) \circ q = (g \circ p) \circ q = g \circ (p \circ q)$, which shows that $p \circ q \in H$.

Inverse: Let $p \in H$. Then $p \circ g = g \circ p$ for all g in G .

$$\Rightarrow p^{-1} \circ (p \circ g) \circ p^{-1} = p^{-1} \circ (g \circ p) \circ p^{-1}$$

$$\Rightarrow g \circ p^{-1} = p^{-1} \circ g \text{ for all } g \text{ in } G.$$

Thus, $p^{-1} \in H$.

Hence, H is a subgroup.

6.

Reflexivity Let $x \in G$; we want to show that $x R x$, that is, $xx^{-1} \in H$. This is true, because $xx^{-1} = 1 \in H$.

Simmetry Let $x, y \in G$ and suppose $x R y$. We want to show that $y R x$, that is, $yx^{-1} \in H$. By hypothesis, $xy^{-1} \in H$, so, by the properties of a subgroup, $(xy^{-1})^{-1} \in H$; but, by general rule, $(xy^{-1})^{-1} = yx^{-1}$, so we are done.

Transitivity Let $x, y, z \in G$ and suppose $x R y$ and $y R z$. We want to show that $x R z$, that is $xz^{-1} \in H$. We know that $xy^{-1} \in H$ and $yz^{-1} \in H$, so $(xy^{-1})(yz^{-1}) \in H$. But $(xy^{-1})(yz^{-1}) = xz^{-1}$, so we are done.

7. **Associativity:** Let $(g_1, h_1), (g_2, h_2)$ and $(g_3, h_3) \in G \times H$. Then $((g_1, h_1) \cdot (g_2, h_2)) \cdot (g_3, h_3) =$

$$(g_1 \circ g_2, h_1 * h_2) \cdot (g_3, h_3) = ((g_1 \circ g_2) \circ g_3, (h_1 * h_2) * h_3) = (g_1 \circ (g_2 \circ g_3), h_1 * (h_2 * h_3)).$$

$$(g_1, h_1) \cdot ((g_2, h_2) \cdot (g_3, h_3)) = (g_1, h_1) \cdot (g_2 \circ g_3, h_2 * h_3) = (g_1 \circ (g_2 \circ g_3), h_1 * (h_2 * h_3)).$$

Hence, $(G \times H, \cdot)$ is associative.

Identity: Let e_G be the identity element of G and e_H be the identity element of H . Then, $(g, h) \cdot (e_G, e_H) = (g \circ e_G, h * e_H) = (g, h)$ and $(e_G, e_H) \cdot (g, h) = (e_G \circ g, e_H * h) = (g, h)$ for all $(g, h) \in G \times H$. Therefore, (e_G, e_H) is the identity element of $(G \times H, \cdot)$.

Inverse: Let g^{-1} be the inverse of g in G and h^{-1} be the inverse of h in H . Then,

$$(g, h) \cdot (g^{-1}, h^{-1}) = (g \circ g^{-1}, h * h^{-1}) = (e_G, e_H) \text{ and } (g^{-1}, h^{-1}) \cdot (g, h) = (g^{-1} \circ g, h^{-1} * h) = (e_G, e_H).$$

Therefore, (g^{-1}, h^{-1}) is the inverse of (g, h) in $(G \times H, \cdot)$.

8. For R to be a ring, distributive property of \cdot over $+$ must hold. We show that it does not hold.

Consider $f(n) = n + 1, g(n) = 1, h(n) = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $(f \cdot (g + h))(n) = f(g(n) + h(n)) = f(1+1) = f(2) = 3$.

$$f(g(n)) + f(h(n)) = f(1) + f(1) = 2+2 = 4.$$

Thus, R is not a ring.

9. b. $[\Rightarrow]$ Take non-zero elements $a, b \in R$. Then a and b are non-zero (constant) polynomials. Since $R[x]$ is an integral domain, ab is not the zero polynomial. But ab is again a constant polynomial. It follows that $ab = 0$.

$[\Leftarrow]$ Suppose that there exist $A(x), B(x) \in R[x]$ such that $A(x)B(x) = 0$, $A(x) \neq 0$, and $B(x) \neq 0$. Write $A(x) = a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \cdots + a_dx^d$ with $a_d \neq 0$ and $d > 0$, and $B(x) = b_0 + b_1x + b_2x^2 + \cdots + b_ex^e$ with $b_e \neq 0$ and $e > 0$. Since $A(x)B(x) = 0$, we must have $b_e = 0$. This implies that R is not an integral domain.

10. a.

Since \mathbb{A} is a subset of the ring of 2×2 matrices with real entries, it suffices to show closure under addition, multiplication, and additive inverse in order to prove that \mathbb{A} is a ring.

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -b & a \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ -d & c \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (a+c) & (b+d) \\ -(b+d) & (a+c) \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -b & a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ -d & c \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (ac-bd) & (ad+bc) \\ -(ad+bc) & (ac-bd) \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$-\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -b & a \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (-a) & (-b) \\ -(-b) & (-a) \end{pmatrix}.$$

For commutativity, note that

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -b & a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ -d & c \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} (ac-bd) & (ad+bc) \\ -(ad+bc) & (ac-bd) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} (ca-db) & (da+cb) \\ -(da+cb) & (ca-db) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ -d & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -b & a \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, the 2×2 identity matrix is in \mathbb{A} .

b.

Define the map $f : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ as

$$f\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -b & a \end{pmatrix}\right) = a + ib.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} f\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -b & a \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ -d & c \end{pmatrix}\right) &= f\left(\begin{pmatrix} (a+c) & (b+d) \\ -(b+d) & (a+c) \end{pmatrix}\right) = (a+c) + i(b+d) \\ &= (a+ib) + (c+id) = f\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -b & a \end{pmatrix}\right) + f\left(\begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ -d & c \end{pmatrix}\right), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -b & a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ -d & c \end{pmatrix}\right) &= f\left(\begin{pmatrix} (ac-bd) & (ad+bc) \\ -(ad+bc) & (ac-bd) \end{pmatrix}\right) = (ac-bd) + i(ad+bc) \\ &= (a+ib)(c+id) = f\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -b & a \end{pmatrix}\right) f\left(\begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ -d & c \end{pmatrix}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore f is a ring homomorphism. Clearly, f is surjective. Finally,

$$f\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -b & a \end{pmatrix}\right) = f\left(\begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ -d & c \end{pmatrix}\right)$$

implies that $a + ib = c + id$, that is, $a = c$ and $b = d$, that is,

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -b & a \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ -d & c \end{pmatrix}.$$

So f is injective too.

11.

$(G, *)$ is a group, because it satisfies the following properties of a group.

Closure: For any $p, q \in G$, $p * q = p \circ c \circ q \in G$, since $c \in G$ and G is closed under the operation \circ .

Associativity: For any $p, q, r \in G$, since G is associative under the operation \circ , we get:

$$(p * q) * r = (p \circ c \circ q) \circ c \circ r = p \circ c \circ (q \circ c \circ r) = p * (q * r)$$

Identity: c^{-1} is the identity element. For any element $p \in G$, we get:

$$p * c^{-1} = p \circ c \circ c^{-1} = p \circ e_G = p \quad \text{and} \quad c^{-1} * p = c^{-1} \circ c \circ p = e_G \circ p = p$$

where, $e_G \in G$ is the identity element with respect to the group (G, \circ) .

Inverse: For any element $p \in G$, let $p' \in G$ be its inverse with respect to $*$. Now, by definition we should get $p * p' = c^{-1} = p' * p$.

$$\therefore p \circ c \circ p' = c^{-1} \quad \text{or} \quad p' \circ c \circ p = c^{-1} \quad \Rightarrow \quad p' = c^{-1} \circ p^{-1} \circ c^{-1}$$

where, p^{-1} is the inverse of p with respect to the operation \circ .