## Open Problems: Recent Trends in Algorithms 2024

## July 1-3, 2024

The following open problems were discussed in Recent Trends in Algorithms in July 1-3, 2024.

1. Suppose f is a univariate polynomial of degree d with complex coefficients that has exactly s non-zero monomials (i.e. has sparsity s). What can we say about the number of non-zero monomials in f <sup>2</sup> ?

Clearly, this number is at most  $O(s^2)$ , and this bound is essentially tight. But what is the best lower bound on the sparsity of  $f^2$ , or in general any power of f.

A classical result of A. Schinzel () proved a bound of  $\Omega(\log \log s)$  for this, but as far as I know, the current state of art is still far from well understood.

Throughout this problem, we should think of s as being much much smaller than d.

2. Suppose  $t, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $t - \ell > 2$  and  $S \subseteq \{0, 1\}^n$  such that  $|S| > 1$ . What is the minimum degree of a polynomial  $P \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  such that  $\forall u \in S$ , P has a zero of multiplicity exactly  $\ell$  at  $u$  and  $\forall v \in \{0,1\}^n \setminus S$ , P has a zero of multiplicity at least  $\ell$  at  $\nu$ ?

For  $|S| = 1$ , see [\[SW20\]](#page-3-0).

3. A set S ⊂ N is called *dissociated* if any equality of the form

$$
\sum_{s\in\mathcal{S}}\epsilon_s\cdot s=0
$$

where  $\varepsilon_s \in \{-1, 0, +1\}$  implies that all the  $\varepsilon_s$ 's are 0. In other words, all subset sums are distinct.

It was proven by Richard Guy that for a dissociated set S, we have

$$
|\mathcal{S}(n)|\leqslant \log_2 n + \frac{1}{2}\log_2\log_2 n + 1.3
$$

where  $\mathcal{S}(n) = \mathcal{S} \cap [1, n]$  [\[Guy82\]](#page-2-0). It was conjectured by Erdos that the right hand side can be improved to  $\log_2 n + c$  for some constant c. Check [here](http://garden.irmacs.sfu.ca/op/sets_with_distinct_subset_sums) for more.

Now, consider the greedy algorithm for Dissociated sets. It starts with two given integers  $a > 0$  and  $b > a$ , and another integer n. It sets  $\gamma_1 = a$ ,  $\gamma_2 = b$ and in the r-th step it chooses  $\gamma_r$  to be the smallest integer greater than  $\gamma_{r-1}$ such that the sequence  $\{\gamma_k\}_{k=1}^r$  is dissociated. The algorithm halts at the n-th step.

**Conjecture**: For given a, b, let  $\Gamma_{a,b} = {\gamma_1 = a, \gamma_2 = b, \gamma_3, \dots}$  be the dissociated sequence that the greedy algorithm produces. Then, there is an  $n_0 = n_0(a, b)$  such that

$$
{\gamma}_\mathfrak{n}=2\cdot{\gamma}_{\mathfrak{n}-1}
$$

for all  $n \geq n_0$ .

Moreover, there is an A such that if  $a, b > A$ , then there is an  $n_0 = n_0(a, b)$ such that  $\gamma_n < 2 \cdot \gamma_{n-1}$  for  $n < n_0$ ;  $\gamma_{n_0} > 2 \cdot \gamma_{n_0-1}$  and  $\gamma_n = 2 \cdot \gamma_{n-1}$  for all  $n > n_0$ .

- 4. For a graph family  $H$ , the  $H$  CONTRACTION problem we are given a graph G and an integer k, and the goal is to check if we can contract at most k edges in G to obtain a graph from  $H$ . For hereditary families  $H$ , if  $(G, k)$  is a yesinstance of  $\mathcal H$  CONTRACTION then there exists  $X \subset V(G)$  of size at most 2k, such that  $G - X$  is in  $H$ . A generic step one may consider when designing FPT algorithms for the parameter k for a hereditary bipartite family  $H$  is to iterate over the above stated deletion set  $X$  gets partitioned into two sets, making the runtime already at least to 4<sup>k</sup>. Consider the very simple case when  $H$  is the family of all complete bipartite graphs; the corresponding  $H$  CONTRACTION is called BICLIQUE CONTRACTION. Can you design an algorithm for BICLIQUE CONTRACTION running in time  $2^k \cdot |V(G)|^{O(1)}$ ?
- 5. Consider the following setup: we are given an  $x \in \{-1,1\}^N$  via an oracle which, for any  $i \in [N]$ , returns the value of the bit  $x_i$ . We also have a real multilinear polynomial p of degree d and N variables with the guarantee that the absolute value of p is bounded (by some constant) for inputs in  $\{-1, 1\}^N$ . The task is to obtain a good estimate of this polynomial making minimal queries to  $x$ . The queries can be adaptive or non-adaptive.

Let us illustrate this for  $d = 1$ . Below, we will build an unbiased estimator for p which, on expectation makes *one* (!) oracle query and has a small constant variance.

Let  $p(x_1,...,x_N) = \sum_i a_i x_i$ . Without loss of generality assume that all the real  $a_i$ s are non-zero. Also, there exists a constant  $c > 0$  such that for all  $\widehat{\mathbf{x}} \in \{-1, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}} |p(\widehat{\mathbf{x}})| \leqslant c.$ 

For each  $i \in [N]$ , define a Bernoulli random variable  $X_i = 1$  with probability  $|\mathfrak{a_i}|/\sum_i |\mathfrak{a_i}|$  and takes a value 0 otherwise.

Consider an estimator

$$
\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} |a_i| \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} sign(a_i) x_i \mathbf{X}_i \right)
$$

In simple terms, this estimator decides to query a variable  $x_i$  with probability proportional to its coefficient.

It can be argued (using linearity of expectation) that for any  $x \in \{-1,1\}^N$ ,  $\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{x})] = \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{x})$ . Moreover, using an application of [Khinchine inequality,](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Khintchine_inequality) it can be shown that for all  $x \in \{-1,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , its variance  $\text{Var}(\textbf{P}) = O(1)$ .

Moreover on expectation, the number of variables queried is  $\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_i X_i\right] =$  $\sum_i \mathbb{E}[X_i] = 1.$ 

The question is: can we design similar unbiased estimators for p with low number of queries and low variance for values of  $d \ge 2$  and understand the number of queries versus variance trade-offs in the adaptive and non-adaptive query setting. This problem has applications in distribution distinguishing problem and questions on simulating quantum algorithms classically.

A good starting point on connections to quantum algorithms is a seminal result due to Aaronson and Ambainis [\[AA18\]](#page-2-1).

For an arbitrary d, if the polynomial corresponds to success probability of a  $d/2$  query quantum algorithm (with the string x thought as the oracle), then unbiased estimators are known due to Bravyi *et al.*[\[BGGS21\]](#page-2-2).

6. What is the **deterministic** query complexity of reconstructing rooted trees with maximum degree  $\leq d$  using ancestor queries?

There is a hidden rooted tree with maximum degree  $\le d$ . You get oracle access to the underlying ancestor-descendant relation in the tree, that is, you can query for any pair  $(a, b)$  if a is an ancestor of b. The problem is to reconstruct the tree, minimizing the number of queries.  $d = 2$  is a special case of this problem, which corresponds to sorting in the comparison model. [\[JS13\]](#page-2-3) presents a deterministic algorithm with query complexity  $O(dn^{1.5} \log n)$ . The best known lower bound is  $Ω(dn log<sub>d</sub> n)$ , which also holds for randomized algorithms [\[BG23\]](#page-2-4), and a randomized algorithm with a matching query and time complexity was presented in [\[RY23\]](#page-3-1). In case of deterministic algorithms however, even for rooted binary trees, there is a huge open gap of  $\Omega(n \log n)$ vs.  $O(n^{1.5} \log n)$ .

## **References**

- <span id="page-2-1"></span>[AA18] Scott Aaronson and Andris Ambainis. Forrelation: A problem that optimally separates quantum from classical computing. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 47(3):982–1038, 2018.
- <span id="page-2-4"></span>[BG23] Paul Bastide and Carla Groenland. Optimal distance query reconstruction for graphs without long induced cycles, 2023.
- <span id="page-2-2"></span>[BGGS21] Sergey Bravyi, David Gosset, Daniel Grier, and Luke Schaeffer. Classical algorithms for forrelation, 2021.
- <span id="page-2-0"></span>[Guy82] Richard K. Guy. Sets of integers whose subsets have distinct sums. *Annals of Discrete Mathematics*, 12:141–154, 1982.
- <span id="page-2-3"></span>[JS13] M. Jagadish and Anindya Sen. Learning a bounded-degree tree using separator queries. In Sanjay Jain, Rémi Munos, Frank Stephan, and Thomas

Zeugmann, editors, *Algorithmic Learning Theory*, pages 188–202, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2013. Springer Berlin Heidelberg.

- <span id="page-3-1"></span>[RY23] Jishnu Roychoudhury and Jatin Yadav. An Optimal Algorithm for Sorting in Trees. In Patricia Bouyer and Srikanth Srinivasan, editors, *43rd IARCS Annual Conference on Foundations of Software Technology and Theoretical Computer Science (FSTTCS 2023)*, volume 284 of *Leibniz International Proceedings in Informatics (LIPIcs)*, pages 7:1–7:14, Dagstuhl, Germany, 2023. Schloss Dagstuhl – Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik.
- <span id="page-3-0"></span>[SW20] Lisa Sauermann and Yuval Wigderson. Polynomials that vanish to high order on most of the hypercube. *arXiv preprint*, 2020.