Algorithmic Game Theory Practice Problems: Mechanism Design, Stable Matching

Palash Dey Indian Institute of Technology, Kharagpur

November 3, 2022

- 1. (Inspired by an exercise from [Nar14]) Consider a scenario with a set [5] five sellers selling identical items with valuations $v_1 = 23$, $v_2 = 15$, $v_3 = 11$, $v_4 = 8$, $v_5 = 2$ and one buyer. Compute VCG payments in each of the following cases.
 - (i) The buyer wishes to buy 3 items and each seller can supply at most one items.
 - (ii) The buyer wishes to buy 3 items and each seller can sell at most 2 items.
 - (iii) The buyer wishes to buy 6 items and each seller can sell at most 2 items.
- 2. Prove that in a selfish load balancing game with 3 tasks and 2 identical machines, the PoA with respect to PSNE is 1.
- 3. Consider a stable matching instance with a set \mathcal{A} of n men and another set \mathcal{B} of n women. For each woman $w \in \mathcal{B}$, we define h(w) to be the least preferred man $m \in \mathcal{A}$ by the woman w with whom she can be matched in some stable matching. A matching is called women-pessimal if every woman $w \in \mathcal{B}$ is matched with h(w). Prove that the stable matching output by the men-proposal deferred acceptance algorithm is women-pessimal.
- 4. In a stable matching instance with sets A and B of n men and women, suppose M₁ and M₂ be two stable matchings. Define M₃ = {(a, b) ∈ A × B : M₁(a) = M₂(a) = b or b = M₁(a) ≻_a M₂(a) or b = M₂(a) ≻_a M₁(a)}; that is, in M₃, every man a ∈ A gets his better partner between M₁(a) and M₂(a). Prove that M₃ is also a stable matching.

References

[Nar14] Y. Narahari. *Game Theory and Mechanism Design*. World Scientific Publishing Company Pte. Limited, 2014.