

1

Non-terminal as a Function

- In a top-down parser a non-terminal may be viewed as a generator of a substring of the input.
- We view a non-terminal as a function that generates the substring.
- In our expression grammar G with the E-productions $E \to E + T$, $E \to E - T$, $E \to T$, the function looks as follows:



- Let the input be $ic \cdots$. The parser chooses the production rule $E \to E + T^a$.
- As there is no change in the input and the leftmost non-terminal is still *E*. It may be expanded by the same rule again and again.
- A left recursive grammar may lead to non-termination.

^aBut how to choose, each rule of E produces a string starting with ic.

- The parser chooses the following sequence of rules: $E \to T, T \to F$ and $F \to ic$.
- The first symbol of the input matches, but the choice may be incorrect if the next input symbol is '+', as there is no rule with right hand side $F + \cdots$.
- It may be necessary to backtrack on the choice of production rules.



6

- A parser, only on this information, cannot decide whether to use the first or the second rule of S.
- But if it is allowed to look-ahead one more symbol, the correct choice can be made.
- If the input is $aa \cdots$, it selects the rule $S \rightarrow aSa$. But if it is $ab \cdots$, the choice is $S \rightarrow aTba$.

Note

- In case of the expression grammar G, no fixed amount of look-ahead can help.
- The parser may have 5-look-ahead and the input is ic+ic+ic....







- The parser cannot recognize 'ab'.
- The problem is, A can produce a as the first symbol. But A also produces ε , and a can come after A.
- The parser cannot decide whether to use $A \to a \text{ or } A \to \varepsilon$.





An unambiguous context-free grammar without left recursion is called an LL(k) grammar^a, if a predictive parser for its language can be constructed with a look-ahead of at most kinput symbols. Often for a compiler construction we consider k = 1.

^aThe parser scans the input from left-to-right and uses the leftmost derivation.



In an LL(k) grammar

- For every non-terminal A, the right-hand side of each production rule must produce l distinct terminal symbols as prefix (first symbols), for some l ≤ k.
- If $A \to \varepsilon$ is a rule, then l terminal symbols that can appear behind A (follow) in a sentential form should be different from the prefixes of other rules of A, for some $l \leq k$.

Note

- If A is a non-terminal in a LL(k) grammar and $A \to \alpha_1 \mid \cdots \mid \alpha_n \mid \varepsilon$,
 - Then for some $l \leq k$, $\operatorname{First}_{l}(\alpha_{i}) \cap$ $\operatorname{First}_{l}(\alpha_{j}) = \emptyset$, for $1 \leq i < j \leq k$.
 - And for some $m \leq k$, $\operatorname{First}_m(\alpha_i) \cap$ Follow_m(A) = \emptyset , for $1 \leq i \leq k$.

First_l(α_i) is the set of first *l* symbols produced by α_i . Follow_m(A) is the set terminals of length m that can follow A in a sentential form.

Note

Let $A \to \alpha_1 \mid \cdots \mid \alpha_n$, and α_i is nullable i.e. α_i produces ε . Then not only for some $l \leq k$, $\operatorname{First}_l(\alpha_i) \cap$ $\operatorname{First}_l(\alpha_j) = \emptyset$, for $1 \leq i < j \leq k$, but also $\operatorname{First}_m(\alpha_i)$ should be disjoint from $\operatorname{Follow}_m(A)$ for some $m \leq k$, for $1 \leq i \leq k$. But two such α 's cannot be nullable.



• We restrict our attention to k = 1.

^aIt may also help to transform the grammar to LL(k) if possible.

$\operatorname{FIRST}(X)$

Informally the FIRST(X) is a set, where X is a terminal, a non-terminal, a string over terminals and non-terminals, or even a production rule. The set is a collection of all terminal symbols (also ε) that may appear as the first (leftmost) symbol of X in the given grammar.



If $X \in \Sigma \cup N \cup \{\varepsilon\}$, then $\operatorname{FIRST}(X) \subseteq \Sigma \cup \{\varepsilon\}$ is defined inductively as follows:

- FIRST(X) = {X}, if $X \in \Sigma \cup {\varepsilon}$,
- FIRST(X) is $\bigcup_{X \to \alpha \in P} \text{FIRST}(\alpha), X \in N$,
- $\varepsilon \in \text{FIRST}(X)$, if X is nullable,
- FIRST $(A \rightarrow \alpha)$ is the FIRST (α) .



Consider the classic expression grammar:

- $\operatorname{FIRST}(E) = \operatorname{FIRST}(T) = \operatorname{FIRST}(F) =$ {ic,(}.
- There are two production rules for both Eand T with identical FIRST() sets: $E \to E + T, \ E \to T \text{ and } T \to T * F, \ T \to F$
- This makes the choice of rule impossible with finite look-ahead.

Consider the grammar obtained after removing the left-recursion from G:

E	\rightarrow	TE'
E'	\rightarrow	$+TE' \mid \varepsilon$
T	\rightarrow	FT'
T'	\rightarrow	$*FT' \mid \varepsilon$
F	\rightarrow	$(E) \mid \texttt{ic}$



FIRST(E) =FIRST(T) =FIRST(F) = {ic, (}, FIRST(E') = {+, ε }, and FIRST(T') = {*, ε }. No non-terminal has more than one production rule with the identical FIRST() set.





- Let $A \to \alpha$ and $A \to \beta$ be two production rules. A top-down parser can choose one of them with one look-ahead if $\text{FIRST}(\alpha) \cap$ $\text{FIRST}(\beta) = \emptyset$ and none of them contains ε .
- But what happens if one of α or β is nullable?

24

$\operatorname{FOLLOW}(X)$

- For every non-terminal X, the FOLLOW(X) is the collection of all terminals that can follow Xin a sentential form. The set can be defined inductively as follows.
 - The special symbol eof or \$ is in FOLLOW(S), where S is the start symbol.
 - If $A \to \alpha B\beta$ be a production rule, FIRST $(\beta) \setminus \{\varepsilon\} \subseteq FOLLOW(B)$.

$\operatorname{FOLLOW}(X)$

• If $A \to \alpha B\beta$, where $\beta = \varepsilon$ or $\beta \to \varepsilon$, then FOLLOW $(A) \subseteq$ FOLLOW(B).

The reason is simple: $S \rightarrow uAv \rightarrow u\alpha B\beta v \rightarrow u\alpha Bv$, naturally FIRST $(v) \subseteq$ FOLLOW(A), FOLLOW(B).









- Let $A \to \alpha$ and $A \to \varepsilon$ be two production rules. A top-down parser can choose a rule if $\operatorname{FIRST}(\alpha) \cap \operatorname{FOLLOW}(A) = \emptyset.$
- The first rule is chosen if the next symbol is from the $FIRST(\alpha)$.
- The second rule is chosen if the next symbol is from the FOLLOW(A).

30

Note

- Let $A \to \alpha$ and $A \to \beta$ be two production rules such that β is nullable. A top-down parser can still choose a rule if $\text{FIRST}(\alpha) \cap$ $(\text{FIRST}(\beta) \cup \text{FOLLOW}(A)) = \emptyset.$
- The first rule is chosen if the next symbol is from the $FIRST(\alpha)$.
- The second rule is chosen if the next symbol is from the $\operatorname{FIRST}(\beta) \cup \operatorname{FOLLOW}(A)$.

LL(1) Grammar

- A context-free grammar G is LL(1) iff for any pair of distinct productions $A \to \alpha$, $A \to \beta$, the following conditions are satisfied.
 - FIRST(α) \cap FIRST(β) = \emptyset i.e. no $a \in \Sigma \cup \{\varepsilon\}$ can belong to both^a.
 - If $\alpha \to \varepsilon$ or $\alpha = \varepsilon$, then FIRST $(\beta) \cap (FOLLOW(A) \cup FIRST(\alpha)) = \emptyset$.

^aBoth cannot be nullable.

Consider the following grammar with the set of terminals, $\Sigma = \{ \text{id} ; := \text{int float main do else end} \}$ if print scan then while} $\cup \{ E BE \}^{a};$ the set of non-terminals, $N = \{ P DL D VL T SL S ES IS WS IOS \};$ the start symbol is P and the set of production rules are:

 ^{a}E and BE, corresponds to expression and boolean expressions, are actually non-terminals. But here we treat them as terminals.

Production Rules



- $2 \text{ DL} \rightarrow \text{ D} \text{ DL} \mid \text{ D}$
- 4 D \rightarrow T VL ;
- 5~VL \rightarrow id VL | id
- 7 T \rightarrow int | float
- 9 SL \rightarrow S SL | ε
- 11 S \rightarrow ES | IS | WS | IOS



35



There is no production rule with left-recursion. But the rules 2,3, 5,6, and 16,17 needs left-factoring as the FIRST() sets are not disjoint. The transformed grammar after factoring is:


$$1 P \rightarrow \text{main DL SL end}$$

- $2 \text{ DL} \rightarrow \text{D} \text{ DO}$
- 3 DO \rightarrow DL | ε
- 4 D \rightarrow T VL ;
- 5 VL ightarrow id VO
- $6 \text{ VO } \rightarrow \text{ VL } \mid \varepsilon$
- 7 T \rightarrow int | float

Production Rules





The next step is to calculate the FIRST() sets of different rules.

NT/Rule	FIRST()
P(1)	main
DL (2)	int float
DO (3)	int float
DO $(3a)$	${\mathcal E}$
D(4)	int float

|--|

NT/Rule	FIRST()
VL (5)	
VO (6)	id
VO (6a)	arepsilon
T (7)	int
T (8)	float
SL (9)	id if while scan print









Three rules have ε -productions. Their applications in a predictive parser depends on what can follow the corresponding non-terminals. So it is necessary to compute the FOLLOW() sets corresponding to these non-terminals. The rules are: DO $\rightarrow \varepsilon(3a)$, VO $\rightarrow \varepsilon(6a)$, SL $\rightarrow \varepsilon(10)$.





FOLLOW(DO) = FOLLOW(DL) (rule 2). The FOLLOW(DL) = FIRST(SL) $\{\varepsilon\} \cup$ FOLLOW(P) (rule 1) as SL is nullable (rule 10). Now FOLLOW(P) = {end}.

Note

It is clear from the previous computation that no two production rules of the form $A \to \alpha_1 \mid \alpha_2$ have common elements in their FIRST() sets. There is also no common element in the FIRST() set of the production rule $A \to \alpha$ and the FOLLOW() set of A in cases $A \to \varepsilon$. So the grammar is LL(1) and a predictive parser can be constructed.

Recursive-Descent Parser

We write a function (may be recursive) for every non-terminal. The function corresponding to a non-terminal A returns ACCEPT if the corresponding portion of the input can be generated by A. Otherwise it returns a REJECT with proper error message.



```
int P()
int P(){
   if(token == MAIN){ // MAIN for "main"
      getNextToken();
      if(DL() == ACCEPT)
         if(SL() == ACCEPT) {
            if(token == END) { // END is the token
               getNextToken(); // for "end"
               return ACCEPT;
            }
            else {
                 printf("end missing (1)\n");
                 return REJECT;
```



Com	nilor	Г	logian
Com	pher	L	esign





The global variable token stores the next token. The function getNextToken() is called once the token is consumed. The stack of the PDA is the stack of the recursive call. The body of the function corresponding to a non-terminal corresponds to all its production rules.



We now consider a non-terminal with ε -production.

$$DO \rightarrow DL \mid \varepsilon$$

The members of FIRST(DL) are {int float} and the elements of FOLLOW(DO) are {id if while scan print end}.



```
if(token == IDNTIFIER ||
      token == IF ||
      token == WHILE ||
      token == SCAN ||
      token == PRINT ||
      token == END) // token not consumed
      return ACCEPT;
    else {
      printf("DO follow mismatch (3)\n");
      return REJECT;
}
```

}

Table Driven Predictive Parser

A non-recursive predictive parser can be constructed that maintains a stack (explicitly) and a table to select the appropriate production rule.

Parsing Table

The rows of the predictive parser table are indexed by the non-terminals and the columns are indexed by the terminals including the end-of-input marker (\$). The content of the table are production rules or error situations. The table cannot have multiple entries corresponding to a (row, column).

Parsing Stack

The parsing stack can hold both terminals and non-terminals. At the beginning, the stack contains the end-of-stack marker (\$) and the start symbol on top of it.

Parsing Table Construction

- If $A \to \alpha$ is a production rule and $a \in$ FIRST(α), then $P[A][a] = A \rightarrow \alpha$.
- If $A \to \varepsilon$ is a production rule and $a \in$ FOLLOW(A), then $P[A][a] = A \rightarrow \varepsilon$.

Actions

- If the top-of-stack is a terminal symbol (token) and matches with input token, both are consumed. A mismatch is an error.
- If the top-of-stack is a non-terminal A, the input token is a, P[A][a] has the entry A → α, then A on the stack is replaced by α, with the head of α on the top of the stack.





Multiple entries in a table indicates that the grammar is not LL(1). But it is interesting to note that in some cases we can drop (with proper consideration) some of these entries and construct a parser.



Example $E \rightarrow (E)E' \mid icE'$ $E' \rightarrow +EE' \mid -EE' \mid *EE' \mid /EE' \mid \varepsilon$ We calculate $FIRST(E') = \{+ - * / \varepsilon \}$ and the FOLLOW(E') = FOLLOW(E) = $\{$ \$ $) + - * / \}.$



^aBut it does not work for all grammars. Consider $S \to aSa \mid bSb \mid \varepsilon$.



It seems that the removal of two ε -production disambiguates the grammar. The corresponding unambiguous grammar G_2 is as follows:

$$E \rightarrow (E)E' \mid icE' \mid (E) \mid ic$$
$$E' \rightarrow +E \mid -E \mid *E \mid /E \mid \varepsilon$$

We have $L(G_1) = L(G_2)$ and $FOLLOW(E') = \{\$, \}$, so there is no multiple entries in the table^a.

^aHow to maintain operator precedence?

Error Recovery

There are two possibilities.

- The token on the top of stack does not match with the token in the input stream.
- The entry in the parsing table corresponding to the non-terminal on the top of stack and the current input token is empty, i.e. there is no prediction for a production rule of the non-terminal.



An Example

- Consider an example where the non-terminal A is on the top of the stack, where its production rules are $A \rightarrow aA \mid bc$ (The non-terminal A produces a^*bc .), and
- 'c' is input look-ahead.
- No prediction is possible due to error.

An Example

- We cannot remove A from the stack. That changes a part of already constructed tree.
- Forcing a prediction A → aA by inserting an 'a' will lead to an infinite loop.
- Tokens may be discarded from the input to get a match. But how far can we skip.
- In this case of course inserting a 'b' may solve the problem.

Panic Mode

- Remove sequence of tokens from the input until a synchronizing token appears.
- The success of the algorithm depends on the set of synchronizing tokens.

Goutam Biswas



- For a non-terminal A, the Follow(A) may be the set of synchronizing tokens.
- Tokens are removed until an element of Follow(A) is found. Then pop A from the stack and try to continue with parsing.

Synchronizing Tokens

- An expression becomes a statement when followed by a semicolon.
- If a semicolon (';') is missing, the follow set of expression will not be of help as synchronizing symbol.
- We need to include possible first symbols of next statement or even higher level constructs.

References

[ASRJ] Compilers Principles, Techniques, and Tools, byA. V. Aho, Monica S. Lam, R. Sethi, & J. D. Ullman,2nd ed., ISBN 978-81317-2101-8, Pearson Ed., 2008.

[DKHJK] Modern Compiler Design, by Dick Grune, Kees van Reeuwijk, Henri E. Bal, Ceriel J. H. Jacobs, Koen Langendoen, 2nd ed., ISBN 978 1461 446989, Springer (2012).

[KL] Engineering a Compiler, by Keith D. Cooper & Linda Troczon, (2nd ed.), ISBN 978-93-80931-87-6, Morgan Kaufmann, Elsevier, 2012.