

## Tutorial - 7

- Construct truth tables for the following. Also classify each as tautology, contingency and contradiction.
  - $(p \wedge \sim q) \vee (\sim p \wedge q)$
  - $\sim(p \wedge q) \vee (\sim p \wedge \sim q)$
- Write the contrapositive, converse and inverse of the following:  
“Indian team win whenever match is played in Kolkata, home town of Sourav Ganguly.”
- Determine the truth value of the following statements, taking the set of real numbers the universal set. Also, negate each of the statement.
  - $\forall x, |x| = x$
  - $\exists x, x^2 = x$
  - $\forall x, x + 1 > x$
  - $\exists x, x + 1 = x$
- Let  $\text{NAND}(p,q) = \sim(p \wedge q)$ ,  $\text{XOR}(p,q) = (p \wedge \sim q) \vee (\sim p \wedge q)$ .
  - Can we express XOR using only NAND.
  - Can we express NAND using only XOR.
- Find the DNF of the following forms:
  - $(p \rightarrow q) \wedge (\sim p \wedge q)$
  - $\sim(p \rightarrow (q \wedge r))$
- Find the CNF of the following forms:
  - $\sim(p \rightarrow r) \wedge (p \leftrightarrow q)$
  - $(p \wedge q) \vee (\sim p \wedge q \wedge r)$
- Prove that the following arguments are valid without using truth tables:
  - $p \rightarrow (q \vee r), (s \wedge t) \rightarrow q, (q \vee r) \rightarrow (s \wedge t)$  infer  $p \rightarrow q$
  - $p \vee (q \rightarrow p), \sim p \wedge r$  infer  $\sim q$
- Show that  $s$  is a valid conclusion from the premises:  $p \rightarrow q, p \rightarrow r, \sim(q \wedge r), s \vee p$
- Show that  $\sim p$  is a valid conclusion from the premises:  $(p \vee q) \rightarrow s, s \rightarrow r, \sim(r \vee q)$
- Use predicate calculus to express the following:
  - None of my friends are perfect.
  - Some real numbers are rational.
  - Tigers and lions attack if they are hungry or threatened.
  - Every teacher is liked by some student.