

**RICE'S  
THEOREMS**

**Abhijit Das**

Department of Computer Science and Engineering  
Indian Institute of Technology Kharagpur

March 14, 2021

# Properties of RE Languages

- Class of all r.e. languages:  $\text{RE} = \{ \mathcal{L}(M) \mid M \text{ is a Turing machine} \}$ .
  - Each member of RE is specified by a Turing machine.
  - Unrestricted grammars can also be used to specify r.e. languages.
- 

• A **property** of r.e. sets is a map  $P : \text{RE} \rightarrow \{T, F\}$ .

• Example: Emptiness is a property defined as  $P_{EMP}(L) = \begin{cases} T & \text{if } L = \emptyset, \\ F & \text{if } L \neq \emptyset. \end{cases}$

• Properties too are specified by Turing machines.

• Example: The emptiness property is specified by *any member* of

$$P_{EMP} = \{ M \mid \mathcal{L}(M) = \emptyset \}.$$

# Examples of Properties

- Finiteness property: Any member of  $\{M \mid \mathcal{L}(M) \text{ is finite}\}$ .
  - Regularity property: Any member of  $\{M \mid \mathcal{L}(M) \text{ is regular}\}$ .
  - Context-free property: Any member of  $\{M \mid \mathcal{L}(M) \text{ is context free}\}$ .
  - Acceptance of a string: Any member of  $\{M \mid 01011000 \in \mathcal{L}(M)\}$ .
  - Full-ness property: Any member of  $\{M \mid \mathcal{L}(M) = \Sigma^*\}$ .
- 
- We specify a property by a *single Turing machine*, the language of which has that property.
  - Properties are properties of *r.e. sets*, *not* of Turing machines.
  - A property must be independent of the representative machine.

# Non-Examples

- Any member of  $\{M \mid M \text{ has at least 2021 states}\}$ .
  - We can design two TMs  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  both accepting  $\emptyset$ .
  - $M_1$  has less than 2021 states.
  - $M_2$  has 2021 or more states.
  - If  $\emptyset$  is represented by  $M_1$ , the property is false for  $\emptyset$ .
  - If  $\emptyset$  is represented by  $M_2$ , the property is true for  $\emptyset$ .
- Any member of  $\{M \mid M \text{ is a total TM}\}$ .
- Any member of  $\{M \mid M \text{ rejects } 01011000 \text{ and halts}\}$ .
- Any member of  $\{M \mid M \text{ ever goes to the right of the input}\}$ .
- Any member of  $\{M \mid M \text{ has the least number of states among all machines accepting } \mathcal{L}(M)\}$ .

# Types of Properties

- **Trivial properties**

- The constant map  $\text{RE} \rightarrow \{T, F\}$  taking all  $L \in \text{RE}$  to  $T$ .
  - The constant map  $\text{RE} \rightarrow \{T, F\}$  taking all  $L \in \text{RE}$  to  $F$ .
  - Any other property is called **non-trivial**.
  - Example of trivial property:  $\mathcal{L}(M)$  is recursively enumerable.
  - Example of non-trivial property:  $\mathcal{L}(M)$  is recursive.
- 

- **Monotone properties**

- Assume  $F \leq T$ .
- Whenever  $A \subseteq B$ , we have  $P(A) \leq P(B)$ .
- Examples of monotone properties:  $\mathcal{L}(M)$  is infinite,  $\mathcal{L}(M) = \Sigma^*$ .
- Examples of non-monotone properties:  $\mathcal{L}(M)$  is finite,  $\mathcal{L}(M) = \emptyset$ .

# Rice's Theorem (Part 1)

## Theorem

Any *non-trivial* property  $P$  of r.e. languages is undecidable. In other words, the set

$$\Pi = \left\{ N \mid P(\mathcal{L}(N)) = T \right\}$$

is not recursive.

## Proof

- Let  $P$  be a non-trivial property of r.e. languages.
- Suppose  $P(\emptyset) = F$ .
- Since  $P$  is non-trivial, there exist  $L \in \text{RE}$ ,  $L \neq \emptyset$ , such that  $P(L) = T$ .
- Let  $K$  be a Turing machine with  $\mathcal{L}(K) = L$ .
- We make a reduction from HP to  $\Pi$ .
- If  $P(\emptyset) = T$ , we take  $K$  with  $\mathcal{L}(K) = L \neq \emptyset$  and  $P(L) = F$ . This establishes  $\overline{\text{HP}} \leq_m \Pi$ .

# Rice's Theorem: The Reduction $HP \leq_m \Pi$

- **Input:**  $M \# w$  (an instance of HP)
  - **Output:** A Turing machine  $N$  such that  $P(\mathcal{L}(N)) = T$  if and only if  $M$  halts on  $w$ .
  - Behavior of  $N$  on input  $v$ :
    - Copy  $v$  to a separate tape.
    - Write  $w$  to the first tape, and simulate  $M$  on  $w$ .
    - If the simulation halts:
      - Simulate  $K$  on  $v$ .
      - Accept if and only if  $K$  accepts  $v$ .
- 
- If  $M$  halts on  $w$ ,  $\mathcal{L}(N) = \mathcal{L}(K) = L$ .
  - If  $M$  does not halt on  $w$ ,  $\mathcal{L}(N) = \emptyset$ .
  - $P(L) = T$  and  $P(\emptyset) = F$ .

# Rice's Theorem: Part 2

## Theorem

No *non-monotone* property  $P$  of r.e. languages is semidecidable. In other words, the set

$$\Pi = \left\{ N \mid P(\mathcal{L}(N)) = T \right\}$$

is not recursively enumerable.

## Proof

- $P$  is non-monotone. So there exist r.e. languages  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  such that

$$L_1 \subseteq L_2, \quad P(L_1) = T, \quad P(L_2) = F.$$

- Take Turing machines  $K_1, K_2$  such that  $\mathcal{L}(K_1) = L_1$  and  $\mathcal{L}(K_2) = L_2$ .
- We supply a reduction from  $\overline{\text{HP}}$  to  $\Pi$ .
- The reduction algorithm embeds the information of  $M, w, K_1$ , and  $K_2$  in the finite control of  $N$ .



## Rice's Theorem: Part 2: The Reduction $\overline{\text{HP}} \leq_m \Pi$

- **Input:**  $M \# w$ .
  - **Output:** A Turing machine  $N$  such that  $P(\mathcal{L}(N)) = T$  if and only if  $M$  does *not* halt on  $w$ .
  - Behavior of  $N$  on input  $v$ :
    - Copy  $v$  from the first tape to the second tape, and  $w$  from the finite control to the third tape.
    - Run three simulations in parallel (one step of each in round-robin fashion)
      - $K_1$  on  $v$  on the first tape,
      - $K_2$  on  $v$  on the second tape,
      - $M$  on  $w$  on the third tape.
    - Accept if and only if one of the following conditions hold:
      - (1)  $K_1$  accepts  $v$ ,
      - (2)  $M$  halts on  $w$ , and  $K_2$  accepts  $v$ .
- 
- $M$  does not halt on  $w \Rightarrow N$  accepts by (1)  $\Rightarrow \mathcal{L}(N) = \mathcal{L}(K_1) = L_1$ .
  - If  $M$  halts on  $w$ ,  $N$  accepts if either  $K_1$  or  $K_2$  accepts  $v$ . In this case,  $\mathcal{L}(N) = \mathcal{L}(K_1) \cup \mathcal{L}(K_2) = L_1 \cup L_2 = L_2$  (since  $L_1 \subseteq L_2$ ).

# Tutorial Exercises

1. Prove/Disprove: No non-trivial property of r.e. languages is semidecidable.
2. Use Rice's theorems to prove that neither the following languages nor their complements are r.e.
  - (a)  $\text{REG} = \{M \mid \mathcal{L}(M) \text{ is regular}\}$ .
  - (b)  $\text{CFL} = \{M \mid \mathcal{L}(M) \text{ is context-free}\}$ .
  - (c)  $\text{REC} = \{M \mid \mathcal{L}(M) \text{ is recursive}\}$ .
3. [*Generalization of Rice's theorem for pairs of r.e. languages*] Consider the set of pairs of r.e. languages:  $\text{RE}^2 = \{(L_1, L_2) \mid L_1, L_2 \in \text{RE}\}$ .
  - (a) Define a property of pairs of r.e. languages.
  - (b) How do you specify a property of a pair of r.e. languages?
  - (c) Which properties of pairs of r.e. languages should be called non-trivial?
  - (d) Prove that every non-trivial property of pairs of r.e. languages is undecidable.

# Tutorial Exercises

4. Use the previous exercise to prove that the following problems about pairs of r.e. languages are undecidable.
- (a)  $\mathcal{L}(M) = \mathcal{L}(N)$ .
  - (b)  $\mathcal{L}(M) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(N)$ .
  - (c)  $\mathcal{L}(M) \cap \mathcal{L}(N) = \emptyset$ .
  - (d)  $\mathcal{L}(M) \cap \mathcal{L}(N)$  is finite.
  - (e)  $\mathcal{L}(M) \cap \mathcal{L}(N)$  is regular.
  - (f)  $\mathcal{L}(M) \cap \mathcal{L}(N)$  is context-free.
  - (g)  $\mathcal{L}(M) \cap \mathcal{L}(N)$  is recursive.
  - (h)  $\mathcal{L}(M) \cup \mathcal{L}(N) = \Sigma^*$ .
  - (i)  $\mathcal{L}(M) \cup \mathcal{L}(N) = \emptyset$ .
  - (j)  $\mathcal{L}(M) \cup \mathcal{L}(N)$  is finite.
  - (k)  $\mathcal{L}(M) \cup \mathcal{L}(N)$  is recursive.
5. Generalize Rice's theorem, Part 2, for pairs of RE sets.