## Myhill-Nerode Theorem

Recall: An equivalence relation  $\equiv$  on  $\Sigma^*$  is called a MNT relation for R if 1. Right congruence:  $x \equiv y \Rightarrow x \alpha \equiv y \alpha \quad \forall \alpha \in \Sigma$   $x \equiv y \Rightarrow x Z \equiv y Z \quad \forall Z \in \Sigma^* \quad [induction on |Z|]$ 

2.  $\equiv \text{ refiner } R : \chi \equiv \gamma \Rightarrow (\chi \in R \iff \gamma \in R)$ 

3. = has finite index.

An equivalence relation  $\equiv$  on  $\Sigma^{+}$  is called a MN relation for R if  $\equiv$  natisfies 1 and 2.

Recall (a)  $M \mapsto \equiv M$  (b)  $\equiv \mapsto M \equiv$ inverses of one another  $\equiv \text{ on } \Sigma \xrightarrow{} \text{ a machine (DFA) involved}$ 

Def: Let R C E \* (not necessarily regular). Define = R an:  $\chi \equiv_{R} \gamma \Leftrightarrow \forall \forall \forall \in \Sigma^{*} (\chi \forall \in R),$ Lemma: = R in an MN relation. Proof: 1. (Right congruence) Z = aw $X \equiv_{R} Y \implies \forall \alpha \in \Sigma \ \forall \omega \in \Sigma^{*} \left( \text{ raw } \in \mathcal{R} \iff \text{ yaw } \in \mathcal{R} \right)$ 2. Er refiner R Take Z = E. Def: =, and = 2 are two equivalence relations. = 1 refiner = 2  $if = 1 \subseteq = 2$ . Example: cong mod 6 refiner cong mod 3 [equiv rel on 72]

Lemma: If = in an MN relation for R, then = refiner = R. Proof:  $\chi \equiv y \Rightarrow \forall z (\chi z \equiv yz) \Rightarrow \forall z (\chi z \in R \Leftrightarrow yz \in R)$ => 2 = R y. = R in the coarsest MN relation for R. =1, =2 -> Coarsent MN relations for R  $\equiv_1 \subseteq \Xi_2$  and  $\Xi_2 \subseteq \Xi_1 \implies \Xi_1 = \Xi_2$ .

Myhill-Nerode Theorem: For a language RCE\*, the following are equivalent: An if and only if

condition

Both regularity

and non-regularity (a) R is regular (b) R has an MN relation (c) = R hers finite index. Proof: [(a) =) (b) DFA M for R. = M u a MN relation for R. [(b) =) (c)] = in a MN relation for R  $\Rightarrow$   $\equiv$  refiner  $\equiv$  R finite index also of finite index [(c) => (a)] = R in of finite index  $\equiv_R \longrightarrow M \equiv_R \sim DFA.$ 

Application 1 Let  $R \subseteq \Sigma^*$  be regular. Let M be a collapsed DFA for R. Then  $\equiv M = \equiv R$ . Then  $\equiv_{M} = \equiv_{R}$ . Proof:  $| \uparrow \approx 9 \Leftrightarrow \forall z (\hat{\delta}(\uparrow, z) \in F \Leftrightarrow$  $\delta(9,7) \in F$  $\mathcal{R} \equiv \mathcal{R} \mathcal{J}$  $\Rightarrow \forall z \in \Sigma^{+} \left( zz \in R \Leftrightarrow \forall z \in R \right) \Rightarrow \uparrow = 7.$  $\Leftrightarrow$   $\forall z \in \Xi^* \left( \delta(x, \chi z) \in F \Leftrightarrow \delta(x, \gamma z) \in F \right)$  $(=) \forall z \in \Sigma^* \left(\widehat{S}(\widehat{S}(s,x),z) \in F \Leftrightarrow \widehat{S}(\widehat{S}(s,y),z) \in F \right)$  $\Leftrightarrow \delta(8,x) \approx \delta(8,y)$  $\Leftrightarrow \delta(8,x) \approx \delta(8,y)$   $\Leftrightarrow \delta(8,x) = \delta(8,y) \Leftrightarrow x = My.$ Is unique.

Application 2 What alont  $A = \{a^n b^n \mid n > 0\}$  in not regular. "minimi zation of NFA"? Proof: = A in not of finite index. - See Kozen.  $[a^k] \neq [a^l] \quad k \neq l$  $Z = b^k$   $a^k b^k \in A$  but  $a^k b^l \notin A$ 

There are at least these many equivalence classer:

[a], [a], [a], [a], [a], ...

= a is not of finite index.

A is not regular.