## The Structure of 2-Factors in a Graph Ajit A. Diwan Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology Bombay. aad@cse.iitb.ac.in

The study of perfect matchings in a graph is a classical topic in graph theory, with many fundamental results, structural as well as algorithmic. One of the earliest results in graph theory is Petersen's theorem that every 3-regular bridgeless graph has a perfect matching. Tutte gave a necessary and sufficient condition for a graph to have a perfect matching, and Edmonds gave the first polynomial-time algorithm to check this condition. These can be found in any standard text in graph theory.

A perfect matching is a particular case of an f-factor in a graph. Given a function f from the vertex set of a graph to non-negative integers, an f-factor is a spanning subgraph in which the degree of a vertex v is f(v). A perfect matching is the case when f(v) is 1 for all vertices v, and is also called a 1-factor. Tutte also gave a necessary and sufficient condition for a graph to have an f-factor, for any specified function f.

Unlike perfect matchings, there can be many non-isomorphic f-factors in a graph. While Tutte's theorem gives a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of an f-factor, it says nothing about the structure of the ffactor. In this talk, we look at some results that say more about the structure of the f-factor, and consider some of the many unanswered questions. We concentrate on the case of 2-factors, that is, f(v) = 2 for all vertices v.

A 2-factor in a graph is just a collection of cycles in a graph such that every vertex is in exactly one cycle. The most well-studied case of this problem is when we require the 2-factor to be connected. This is just the Hamilton cycle problem. We will consider other variations here.

It follows from Petersen's theorem that every 3-regular bridgeless graph has a 2-factor. However, deciding whether it has a connected 2-factor, that is, a Hamilton cycle, is an NP-complete problem. What happens if we want a disconnected 2-factor, that is, a 2-factor that is *not* a Hamilton cycle? This is unknown in general, but it was shown in [3] that  $K_4$  is the only planar 3-regular bridgeless graph that does not have a disconnected 2-factor. It is conjectured in [5] that all 3-regular bipartite graphs with this property can be obtained from  $K_{3,3}$  and the Heawood graph using a simple operation. It is also known that there are no k-regular bipartite graphs with this property, for  $k \geq 4$ . Some of these results can be extended for other restrictions on the 2-factor, such as on the parity of the number of components [1].

Another question is to see whether conditions that imply the existence of a Hamilton cycle also give other 2-factors. It was observed in [6] that the visibility graph of a point set has a Hamilton cycle iff not all points are collinear. In [4], this was generalized to show that the visibility graph of a set of n points contains a specified 2-factor with k cycles iff there do not exist n - k + 1 collinear points, except for one special case in which each component of the 2-factor is a triangle, and the point set has a particular structure.

We will also consider generalizations of other conditions for Hamiltonicity, such as minimum degree conditions [2], to the case of general 2-factors.

## References

- M. Abreu, A. Diwan, B. Jackson, D. Labbate, J. Sheehan, Pseudo 2factor isomorphic regular bipartite graphs, J. Combin Theory Ser B 98(2) (2008) 432–442.
- [2] S. Brandt, G. Chen, R. Faudree, R. Gould, L. Lesniak, Degree conditions for 2-factors, J. Graph Theory 24(2) (1997) 165–173.
- [3] A. Diwan, Disconnected 2-factors in planar cubic bridgeless graphs, J. Comb. Theory Ser B 84(2) (2002), 249–259.
- [4] A. Diwan, B. Roy, Partitions of planar point sets into polygons, Proceedings of CCCG 2016, Vancouver (2016), 147–154.
- [5] M. Funk, B. Jackson, D. Labbate and J. Sheehan, 2-Factor hamiltonian graphs, J. Comb. Theory Ser B, 87(1) (2003), 138–144.
- [6] S. K. Ghosh, B. Roy, Some results on point visibility graphs, Theoret. Comput. Science 575(2015) 17–32.