## Tutorial 3

## Recursive Function Theory

Quick Recap of Notation: $f_{i}(\cdot)$ denotes the function computed by the TM with encoding $i \in \mathbb{N}$. For a $\operatorname{TM} \mathcal{M},\langle\mathcal{M}\rangle$ denotes its encoding in $\mathbb{N}$.

1. Prove that there exists $x_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $y$,

$$
f_{x_{0}}(y)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
y^{2} & \text { if } y \text { is even } \\
f_{x_{0}+1}(y) & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Solution: There exists a partial recursive function $g$ in two variables such that

$$
g(x, y)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
y^{2} & \text { if } y \text { is even } \\
f_{x+1}(y) & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a TM that does the following on input $x, y$ : check if $y$ is even; if so write $y^{2}$ on the tape and halt; otherwise simulate the TM with index $x+1$ on input $y$. Clearly $\mathcal{M}$ computes $g(x, y)$. By Kleene's recursion theorem, there exists $x_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
f_{x_{0}}(y)=g\left(x_{0}, y\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
y^{2} & \text { if } y \text { is even } \\
f_{x_{0}+1}(y) & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

for all $y$.
2. Define any fixed point for the total recursive function $\sigma: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ defined as follows: for $x \in \mathbb{N}$, the TM with description $\sigma(x)$ computes the function

$$
f_{\sigma(x)}(y)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
1 & \text { if } y=0 \\
f_{x}(y+1) & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Describe a fixed point for $\sigma$.
Solution: Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a $T M$ that on input $y \in \mathbb{N}$ outputs 1 if $y=0$ and outputs a constant $a \in \mathbb{N}$ otherwise. Then $\hat{x}=\langle\mathcal{M}\rangle$ is a fixed point for $\sigma$, as justified below.
For $y=0$, we have

$$
f_{\hat{x}}(y)=1=f_{\sigma(\hat{x})}(y)
$$

and otherwise, we have

$$
f_{\hat{x}}(y)=a=f_{\hat{x}}(y+1)=f_{\sigma(\hat{x})}(y) .
$$

3. A Turing machine $\mathcal{M}$ is minimal if it has the fewest states among all TMs that accept $L(\mathcal{M})$. Prove that there does not exist an infinite r.e. set of minimal TMs.
Hint: Roger's fixed point theorem.

Solution: Suppose that $A$ of minimal Turing machines over a fixed alphabet (say, $\{0,1\}$ ) is an infinite r.e. set. (Let us restrict ourselves to TMs over input alphabet $\{0,1\}$ and stack alphabet $\Gamma=\Sigma \cup\{\vdash, \iota\}$.) Then there exists a machine $\mathcal{N}$ that enumerates $A$. For a TM $\mathcal{M}$, denote by $s(\mathcal{M})$ the number of states of $\mathcal{M}$. Let $\mathcal{K}$ be a machine that computes a map $\sigma: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ defined as: $\sigma(x)$ is the first machine $\mathcal{J}$ enumerated by $\mathcal{N}$ such that $s(\mathcal{J})>s\left(\mathcal{M}_{x}\right)$ (here, $\mathcal{M}_{x}$ denotes the TM with description $i$. More precisely, on input $x, \mathcal{K}$ does the following.

- Construct $\mathcal{M}_{x}$ from $x$.
- Use $\mathcal{N}$ to enumerate TMs in $A$.
- Stop when a TM $\mathcal{J}$ with $s(\mathcal{J})>s\left(\mathcal{M}_{x}\right)$ is enumerated. This event will occur eventually, as there are only finitely many TMs with fewer states than $\mathcal{M}_{x}$ and $A$ is infinite.
- Output the description/encoding of $\mathcal{J}$.
$\mathcal{K}$ is a total TM and hence $\sigma$ is a total recursive function. By recursion theorem, there exists a fixed point $x_{0}$ such that $L\left(\mathcal{M}_{x_{0}}\right)=L\left(\mathcal{M}_{\sigma\left(x_{0}\right)}\right)$. But $\mathcal{M}_{\sigma\left(x_{0}\right)} \in A$ is a minimal TM for $L\left(\mathcal{M}_{x_{0}}\right)$ and yet $s\left(\mathcal{M}_{x_{0}}\right)<s\left(\mathcal{M}_{\sigma\left(x_{0}\right)}\right)$. This contradicts the minimality of $\mathcal{M}_{\sigma\left(x_{0}\right)}$ and our assumption that $A$ is an infinite r.e. set.

4. Let $\sigma: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be any total recursive function. Prove that $\sigma$ has infinitely many fixed points i.e., there are infinitely many $w \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f_{w}(y)=f_{\sigma(w)}(y)$ for all $y$.
Hint: Recursion theorem ensures there is atleast one fixed point for 'any' total recursive function. If the set of fixed points is finite, does it contradict recursion theorem?

Solution: Suppose there exists a total recursive function $\sigma$ with finitely many fixed points. Let the set of fixed points be denoted $\mathcal{F}$. Let $g$ be a partial recursive function such that the indices of all TMs computing $g$ are outside $\mathcal{F}$. That is for all TMs $\mathcal{M}$ computing $g,\langle\mathcal{M}\rangle \notin \mathcal{F}$. In other words, for all TMs $\mathcal{M}$ computing $g, f_{\langle\mathcal{M}\rangle} \neq f_{w}$ for every $w \in \mathcal{F}$.
Let $u$ be an index of some TM computing $g$. Now, define a function $\tau: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ implicitly so that

$$
\tau(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
u & \text { if } x \in \mathcal{F} \\
\sigma(x) & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Observe that $\tau$ is total recursive:

- For any $x \in \mathbb{N}$, check whether $x \in \mathcal{F}$. This can be done in finite time since $\mathcal{F}$ is a finite set.
- If $x \in \mathcal{F}$, then set $\tau(x)=u$; otherwise compute $\sigma(x)$ (which is total recursive) and assign the resulting value to $\tau(x)$.

We now argue that $\tau$ has no fixed point. If $x \in \mathcal{F}$, then $\tau(x)=u$ and since $f_{u} \neq f_{w}$ for every $w \in \mathcal{F}$, we have (in particular) $f_{\tau(x)} \neq f_{x}$. Now suppose $x \notin \mathcal{F}$. Then $f_{\tau(x)}=f_{\sigma(x)} \neq f_{x}$. Combining the two, we have $f_{\tau(x)} \neq f_{x}$ for every $x \in \mathbb{N}$ thus implying that $\tau$ has no fixed points. This contradicts the recursion theorem. Hence, any total recursive function must have inifinitely many fixed points.
5. Let $\mathcal{M}_{x}$ denote the Turing machine with index $x \in \mathbb{N}$. Here's a statement of the recursion theorem, specialised to language recognisers:
"Let $\sigma: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be any total recursive function. Then there exists $x_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $L\left(x_{0}\right)=L\left(\sigma\left(x_{0}\right)\right) . "$

Use it to provide an alternate proof of Rice's theorem (part I).
Solution: Let $P$ be any non-trivial property of $r$.e. sets. Then there exist encodings of TMs $u, v$ such that $P(L(u))=\top$ and $P(L(v))=\perp$. Assume, for the sake of contradiction, that $P$ is decidable. Define a function $\sigma: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ as follows:

$$
\sigma(x)= \begin{cases}u & \text { if } P(L(x))=\perp \\ v & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

By our assumption, $\sigma$ is a total recursive function. The recursion theorem implies that $\sigma$ has a fixed point $x_{0}$ with $L\left(x_{0}\right)=L\left(\sigma\left(x_{0}\right)\right)$. Now, if $P\left(L\left(x_{0}\right)\right)=\top$, we have

$$
\top=P\left(L\left(x_{0}\right)\right)=P\left(L\left(\sigma\left(x_{0}\right)\right)\right)=P(L(v))=\perp,
$$

thus contradicting our assumption that $P$ is decidable. Therefore, $P$ is undecidable.
Food for thought: Does a generalisation of Rice's theorem hold for partial recursive functions? That is, can you show that any non-trivial property of the set of partial recursive functions is undecidable?

