

## Tutorial 5: Hash Functions and MACs

**Submission Guidelines** All problems must be solved in class today. Searching on the internet for solutions is strictly discouraged.

- Let  $F$  be a PRF. Show that the following constructions of MAC are insecure. Let  $\mathcal{K} = \{0, 1\}^n$  and  $m = m_1 \| \dots \| m_\ell$  with  $m_i \in \{0, 1\}^n$  for  $i \in [1, \ell]$ .

- Send  $t = F_k(m_1) \oplus \dots \oplus F_k(m_\ell)$ .

**A:** If  $t$  is the tag for  $m_1 \| m_2 \| \dots \| m_\ell$ ,  $t$  would be a valid forgery for  $m_2 \| m_1 \| m_3 \| \dots \| m_\ell$  since changing the order of message blocks does not change the value of the tag given by  $F_k(m_1) \oplus \dots \oplus F_k(m_\ell)$ .

- Pick  $r \xleftarrow{U} \{0, 1\}^n$ , compute  $t = F_k(r) \oplus F_k(m_1) \oplus \dots \oplus F_k(m_\ell)$  and send  $(r, t)$ .

**A:** Same attack (as in the previous part) works here.  $(r, t)$  remains a valid tag for any permutation of  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_\ell$ .

- If a message  $m$  is authenticated by sending  $t = F_k(m)$  along with  $m$ , the security is implied if  $F$  is a PRF. Does security hold when  $F$  is a weak PRF?

**A:** Security may not hold when  $F$  is a weak PRF. The proof does not go through, since an adversary would have no control over the points on which  $F_k$  is evaluated. In the CMA game, Mac queries are allowed and the adversary must be able to query on messages of its choice.

Intuitively, if  $m, m'$  are two 'related' messages, then  $F_k(m), F_k(m')$  are not guaranteed to be pseudorandom. Only when  $m, m'$  are independent and uniformly distributed is the distribution of  $F_k(m), F_k(m')$  computationally indistinguishable from random.

- Let  $H_1, H_2 : \{0, 1\}^m \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^n$  be two hash functions. Define a hash function  $H : \{0, 1\}^m \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{2n}$  as  $H(x) = H_1(x) \| H_2(x)$ . Prove that if at least one of  $H_1, H_2$  is collision resistant, then  $H$  is collision resistant.

**A:** If  $x, x'$  is a collision for  $H$ , then  $H(x) = H(x')$  i.e.,  $H_1(x) \| H_2(x) = H_1(x') \| H_2(x')$  i.e.,  $H_1(x) = H_1(x')$  and  $H_2(x) = H_2(x')$ . That means  $x, x'$  is a collision for both  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ . So, if atleast of  $H_1, H_2$  is collision resistant, then so is  $H$ .

- Show that for a hash function, collision resistance implies second pre-image resistance and second pre-image resistance implies pre-image resistance.

**A:** Let  $H : X \rightarrow Y$  be a hash function. Denote by CR, SPR, PR collision resistance, second pre-image resistance and pre-image resistance (and the corresponding games) respectively.

We first show  $(\varepsilon, t)$ -CR implies  $(\varepsilon', t')$ -SPR. Suppose  $\mathcal{A}'$  is an adversary that finds second-preimages. Then we can build a collision finding adversary  $\mathcal{A}$ .  $\mathcal{A}$  gets the description of  $H$ . It then picks  $x \xleftarrow{U} X$  and provides  $H, x$  to  $\mathcal{A}'$ .  $\mathcal{A}'$  returns  $x \in X$ . Now,  $\mathcal{A}$  returns  $x, x'$  to its challenger. If  $x \neq x'$  and  $H(x) = H(x')$ , then  $\mathcal{A}'$  wins and so does  $\mathcal{A}$ . So the probability of  $\mathcal{A}$  winning is equal to that of  $\mathcal{A}'$  winning. Consequently,  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon'$  and clearly,  $t = t'$ .

Now we show that  $(\varepsilon, t)$ -SPR implies  $(\varepsilon', t')$ -PR with  $\varepsilon' \leq 2\varepsilon + \frac{|Y|}{|X|}$  and  $t = t' + O(1)$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{A}'$  is a PR-adversary. We build an SPR-adversary  $\mathcal{A}$  that does the following: receives  $H$  and  $x \in X$  chosen uniformly at random from its challenger. It sends  $H, H(x)$  to  $\mathcal{A}'$  which in turn outputs  $x' \in X$  and halts.  $\mathcal{A}$  just sends the same  $x'$  to its challenger. It remains to analyse the probability of  $\mathcal{A}$  winning.

Let  $Y_1 \subseteq Y$  contain points  $y \in Y$  having exactly one pre-image under  $H$ . That is,

$$Y_1 = \{y \in Y : |H^{-1}(y)| = 1\}.$$

Note that  $Y_1$  is fixed given  $H$ . Clearly  $|Y_1| \leq |Y|$ . For a subset  $Z \subset Y$ , define  $|h^{-1}(Z)| = \{x \in X : h(x) = Z\}$ . Since  $|Y_1| \leq |Y|$ , we have

$$\Pr[x \in H^{-1}(Y_1) : x \xleftarrow{U} X] \leq \frac{|Y|}{|X|}.$$

We now have

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr[\mathcal{A} \text{ wins}] &= \Pr[(x \neq x') \wedge (H(x) = H(x'))] \\ &= \Pr[(x \neq x') \wedge (H(x) = H(x')) \wedge (x \notin H^{-1}(Y_1))] + \Pr[(x \neq x') \wedge (H(x) = H(x')) \wedge (x \in H^{-1}(Y_1))] \\ &= \Pr[(x \neq x') \wedge (H(x) = H(x')) \wedge (x \notin H^{-1}(Y_1))] \quad (\text{since } x = x' \text{ if } x \in H^{-1}(Y_1)) \\ &= \Pr[(x \neq x') | (H(x) = H(x')) \wedge (x \notin H^{-1}(Y_1))] \cdot \Pr[(H(x) = H(x')) \wedge (x \notin H^{-1}(Y_1))] \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{|H^{-1}(H(x))|}\right) \cdot \Pr[(H(x) = H(x')) \wedge (x \notin H^{-1}(Y_1))] \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \Pr[(H(x) = H(x')) \wedge (x \notin H^{-1}(Y_1))] \quad (\text{since } |H^{-1}(H(x))| \geq 2 \text{ when } x \notin H^{-1}(Y_1)) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} (\Pr[(H(x) = H(x'))] - \Pr[(x \in H^{-1}(Y_1))]) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \left( \Pr[\mathcal{A}' \text{ wins}] - \frac{|Y|}{|X|} \right). \end{aligned}$$