Contents



Introduction to graphs





Breadth first traversal

Shortest paths from a given source



All shortest paths (Floyd-Warshall)



Minimum cost spanning tree



1/69

Section outline

Introduction to graphs

- Simple graphs
- Directed graphs
- Degree and neighbourhood

- of a vertex
- Subgraph of a graph
- Graph components
- Simple properties of graphs
- Graph representation



2/69

Simple graphs

Definition (Graph)

A (simple, undirected) graph, G = (V, E), consists of a non-empty set V of vertices (or nodes), and a set $E \subseteq V \times V$ of (undirected) edges (or arcs).

Every edge $\langle u, v \rangle \in E$ has two distinct vertices u and v ($u \neq v$) as endpoints and are said to be adjacent in G;

For an undirected graph, $\langle u, v \rangle \in E \Rightarrow \langle v, u \rangle \in E$.



Directed graphs

Definition (Digraph)

A directed graph (digraph), G = (V, E), consists of a non-empty set V of vertices (or nodes), and a set $E \subseteq V \times V$ of directed edges (or arcs).

Every edge $\langle u, v \rangle \in E$ has a start (tail) vertex *u* and an end (head) vertex *v*.

- A graph G = (V, E) is a set V together with a binary symmetric relation E on V.
- A directed graph G = (V, E) is a set V together with a binary relation E on V.
- A multigraph is permitted to have multiple edges between pairs of vertices.

・ロト ・ 一 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

э

Degree and neighbourhood of a vertex

Definition (Degree)

The degree of a vertex v in a undirected graph is the number of edges incident with it. The degree of the vertex v is denoted by deg(v).

Definition (Nbd)

The neighborhood (neighbor set) of a vertex v in a undirected graph, denoted N(v) is the set of vertices adjacent to v.

Definition (In-degree/Out-degree)

The in-degree of a vertex v, denoted deg⁻(v), is the number of edges directed into v. The out-degree of v, denoted deg⁺(v), is the number of edges directed out of v.

Note that a self loop at a vertex contributes 1 to both in-degree and out-degree.



CM and PB (IIT Kharagpur)

Subgraph of a graph

Definition (Subgraph)

A subgraph of a graph G(V, E) is a graph H(W, F), where $W \subseteq V$ and $F \subseteq E$. A subgraph H of G is a proper subgraph of G if $H \neq G$.

Definition (Induced subgraph)

Let G(V, E) be a graph. The subgraph induced by a subset W of the vertex set V is the graph H(W, F), whose edge set F contains an edge in E if and only if both endpoints are in W.



6/69

・ロッ ・ 一 ・ ・ ー ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・

Graph components

Definition (Component of an undirected graph)

A connected component (or just component) of an undirected graph is a subgraph in which any two vertices are connected to each other by paths, and which is connected to no additional vertices in the supergraph.

- Components partition a simple undirected graph and induce equivalence classes of nodes that are reachable from each other
- Components in a simple undirected graph are easily identified through depth first traversal
- This definition is not of much use in a digraph; there the notion of strongly connected components is more relevant

Definition (Strongly connected component of a digraph)

A subgraph of a digraph is said to be strongly connected if every vertex is reachable from every other vertex.

7/69

Simple properties of graphs

- (Handshaking Lemma): If G = (V, E) is a undirected graph with m edges, then: ∑_{V∈V} deg(v) = 2m
- An undirected graph has an even number of vertices of odd degree.

• For a directed graph
$$G = (V, E)$$
,
 $|E| = \sum_{v \in V} \deg^{-}(v) = \sum_{v \in V} \deg^{+}(v)$

In every graph there are two vertices of the same degree

8/69

• • • • • • • • •

Graph representation

Adjacency matrix

- A[i, j] = A[j, i] = 1 if and only if there is an edge between v_i and v_j
- A is symmetric for (simple undirected) graphs
- Not symmetric for digraphs
- $|V| \times \frac{|V|}{2}$ enough to store adjacency information
- Entries of A may also indicate weights, absence of edge may be indicated by ∞
- Uneconomic for sparse graphs

Adjacency list

- A vector L of V linked lists indicate the adjacencies of each vertex
- If v_x is adjacent to {v₁, v₂,..., v_k}, the linked list for L[v_x] points to the linked list with entries {v₁, v₂,..., v_k}
- Uneconomic for dense graphs



э

Section outline



Depth first traversal of a graph

- Simple DFTr using a stack
- DFTr with stack for digraphs and components
- Cycle detection in a graph
- Recursive DFTr with

pre/postorder labelling

- Digraph edge classification
- Properties of previst and postvisit numbers
- Topological sorting
- Cycle detection and topological sorting
- Forming circuit equations using fundamental cycles



Algorithms

Simple DFTr using a stack

Starting at v of G(V, E); using stack (or list) S all nodes of G are initially marked unvisited initialise $d \leftarrow 1$; initialise tree T to empty mark v as in-stack; push v into S pre-number v as d; incr dwhile (S is not empty) do $v \leftarrow top(S)$ 7 if v has an unvisited neighbour u 8 mark u as in-stack 9 push *u* into S 10 add edge $\langle v, u \rangle$ to T 1 pre-number u as d; incr d12 else 13 mark v as visited; pop S 14 post-number v as d; incr d 15 done

CM and PB (IIT Kharagpur)



Starting at v of G(V, E); using stack (or list) S all nodes of G are initially marked unvisited initialise $d \leftarrow 1$; initialise tree T to empty mark v as in-stack; push v into S pre-number v as d; incr dwhile (S is not empty) do $v \leftarrow top(S)$ 7 if v has an unvisited neighbour u 8 mark u as in-stack 9 push *u* into S 10 add edge $\langle v, u \rangle$ to T 1 pre-number u as d; incr d12 else 13 mark v as visited; pop S 14 post-number v as d; incr d 15 done

Algorithms



CM and PB (IIT Kharagpur)

Starting at v of G(V, E); using stack (or list) S all nodes of G are initially marked unvisited initialise $d \leftarrow 1$; initialise tree T to empty mark v as in-stack; push v into S pre-number v as d; incr dwhile (S is not empty) do $v \leftarrow top(S)$ if v has an unvisited neighbour u 8 mark u as in-stack 9 push *u* into S 10 add edge $\langle v, u \rangle$ to T 1 pre-number u as d; incr d12 else 13 mark v as visited; pop S post-number v as d; incr d done

Algorithms



7

14

15

Starting at v of G(V, E); using stack (or list) S all nodes of G are initially marked unvisited initialise $d \leftarrow 1$; initialise tree T to empty mark v as in-stack; push v into S pre-number v as d; incr dwhile (S is not empty) do $v \leftarrow top(S)$ if v has an unvisited neighbour u mark u as in-stack ш push *u* into S O add edge $\langle v, u \rangle$ to T ∢ pre-number u as d; incr dö else mark v as visited; pop S post-number v as d; incr d done Algorithms



7

8

9

10

1

12

13

14

15

7

8

9

10

1

12

13

14

15



7

8

9

10

1

12

13

14

15



Starting at v of G(V, E); using stack (or list) S all nodes of G are initially marked unvisited initialise $d \leftarrow 1$; initialise tree T to empty mark v as in-stack; push v into S pre-number v as d; incr dwhile (S is not empty) do $v \leftarrow top(S)$ т if v has an unvisited neighbour u വ mark u as in-stack ш push *u* into S υ add edge $\langle v, u \rangle$ to T ∢ pre-number u as d; incr dö else mark v as visited; pop S post-number v as d; incr d done



February 2, 2023

11/69

6

7

8

9

10

1

12

13

14

15

Algorithms

Starting at v of G(V, E); using stack (or list) S all nodes of G are initially marked unvisited initialise $d \leftarrow 1$; initialise tree T to empty mark v as in-stack; push v into S pre-number v as d; incr dwhile (S is not empty) do $v \leftarrow top(S)$ if v has an unvisited neighbour u mark u as in-stack push *u* into S add edge $\langle v, u \rangle$ to T pre-number u as d; incr delse mark v as visited; pop S post-number v as d; incr d done

Algorithms



6

7

8

9

10

1

12

13

14

15









Starting at v of G(V, E); using stack (or list) S all nodes of G are initially marked unvisited initialise $d \leftarrow 1$; initialise tree T to empty mark v as in-stack; push v into S pre-number v as d; incr dwhile (S is not empty) do 6 $v \leftarrow top(S)$ 7 if v has an unvisited neighbour u 8 mark u as in-stack 9 push *u* into S 10 add edge $\langle v, u \rangle$ to T 1 pre-number u as d; incr d12 else 13 mark v as visited; pop S 14 post-number v as d; incr d 15 done



Algorithms



Starting at v of G(V, E); using stack (or list) S all nodes of G are initially marked unvisited initialise $d \leftarrow 1$; initialise tree T to empty mark v as in-stack; push v into S pre-number v as d; incr dwhile (S is not empty) do $v \leftarrow top(S)$ 7 if v has an unvisited neighbour u 8 mark u as in-stack 9 push *u* into S 10 add edge $\langle v, u \rangle$ to T 1 pre-number u as d; incr d12 else 13 mark v as visited; pop S post-number v as d; incr d done



6

14

15

Algorithms

Starting at v of G(V, E); using stack (or list) S all nodes of G are initially marked unvisited initialise $d \leftarrow 1$; initialise tree T to empty mark v as in-stack; push v into S pre-number v as d; incr dwhile (S is not empty) do $v \leftarrow top(S)$ if v has an unvisited neighbour u 8 mark u as in-stack 9 push *u* into S 10 add edge $\langle v, u \rangle$ to T 1 pre-number u as d; incr d12 else 13 mark v as visited; pop S post-number v as d; incr d done

Algorithms



6

7

14

15

Starting at v of G(V, E); using stack (or list) S all nodes of G are initially marked unvisited initialise $d \leftarrow 1$; initialise tree T to empty mark v as in-stack; push v into S pre-number v as d; incr dwhile (S is not empty) do $v \leftarrow top(S)$ if v has an unvisited neighbour u mark u as in-stack push *u* into S add edge $\langle v, u \rangle$ to T pre-number u as d; incr delse mark v as visited; pop S post-number v as d; incr d done



6

7

8

9

10

1

12

13

14

15

Algorithms

Starting at v of G(V, E); using stack (or list) S all nodes of G are initially marked unvisited initialise $d \leftarrow 1$; initialise tree T to empty mark v as in-stack; push v into S pre-number v as d; incr dwhile (S is not empty) do $v \leftarrow top(S)$ if v has an unvisited neighbour u mark u as in-stack push *u* into S add edge $\langle v, u \rangle$ to T pre-number u as d; incr delse mark v as visited; pop S post-number v as d; incr d done

Algorithms



6

7

8

9

10

1

12

13

14

15

6

7

14

15

CM and PB (IIT Kharagpur)

Starting at v of G(V, E); using stack (or list) S all nodes of G are initially marked unvisited initialise $d \leftarrow 1$; initialise tree T to empty mark v as in-stack; push v into S pre-number v as d; incr dwhile (S is not empty) do $v \leftarrow top(S)$ if v has an unvisited neighbour u 8 mark u as in-stack 9 push *u* into S 10 add edge $\langle v, u \rangle$ to T 1 pre-number u as d; incr d12 else 13 mark v as visited; pop S post-number v as d; incr d done

Algorithms



Algorithms

Simple DFTr using a stack







Algorithms

Algorithms

Simple DFTr using a stack



Starting at v of G(V, E); using stack (or list) S all nodes of G are initially marked unvisited initialise $d \leftarrow 1$; initialise tree T to empty mark v as in-stack; push v into S pre-number v as d; incr d while (S is not empty) do $v \leftarrow top(S)$ 7 if v has an unvisited neighbour u 8 mark u as in-stack 9 push *u* into S 10 add edge $\langle v, u \rangle$ to T Ũ pre-number u as d; incr d12 else 13 mark v as visited; pop S 14 post-number v as d; incr d done

Algorithms



6

15





Starting at v of G(V, E); using stack (or list) S all nodes of G are initially marked unvisited initialise $d \leftarrow 1$; initialise tree T to empty mark v as in-stack; push v into S pre-number v as d; incr d while (S is not empty) do 6 $v \leftarrow top(S)$ 7 if v has an unvisited neighbour u 8 mark u as in-stack 9 push *u* into S 10 add edge $\langle v, u \rangle$ to T Ũ pre-number u as d; incr d12 else 13 mark v as visited; pop S 14 post-number v as d; incr d 15 done

Algorithms


Simple DFTr using a stack

Starting at v of G(V, E); using stack (or list) S all nodes of G are initially marked unvisited initialise $d \leftarrow 1$; initialise tree T to empty mark v as in-stack; push v into S pre-number v as d; incr dwhile (S is not empty) do 6 $v \leftarrow top(S)$ 7 if v has an unvisited neighbour u 8 mark u as in-stack 9 push *u* into S 10 add edge $\langle v, u \rangle$ to T Ũ pre-number u as d; incr d12 else 13 mark v as visited; pop S 14 post-number v as d; incr d 15 done

Algorithms



Simple DFTr using a stack

Starting at v of G(V, E); using stack (or list) S all nodes of G are initially marked unvisited initialise $d \leftarrow 1$; initialise tree T to empty mark v as in-stack; push v into S pre-number v as d; incr dwhile (S is not empty) do 6 $v \leftarrow top(S)$ 7 if v has an unvisited neighbour u 8 mark u as in-stack 9 push *u* into S 10 add edge $\langle v, u \rangle$ to T Ũ pre-number u as d; incr d12 else 13 mark v as visited; pop S 14 post-number v as d; incr d 15 done



Algorithms

Simple DFTr using a stack

Starting at v of G(V, E); using stack (or list) S all nodes of G are initially marked unvisited initialise $d \leftarrow 1$; initialise tree T to empty mark v as in-stack; push v into S pre-number v as d; incr dwhile (S is not empty) do 6 $v \leftarrow top(S)$ 7 if v has an unvisited neighbour u 8 mark u as in-stack 9 push *u* into S 10 add edge $\langle v, u \rangle$ to T Ũ pre-number u as d; incr d12 else 13 mark v as visited; pop S 14 post-number v as d; incr d 15 done



DFTr with stack for digraphs and components

Starting at v of G(V, E) (any node for a (simple undirected) graph); stack S (or a list S) is used

```
all nodes of G are initially marked unvisited
    initialise c \leftarrow 0, d \leftarrow 1; initialise tree T to empty
    repeat
4
      mark v as in-stack; push v into S
5
      pre-number v as d; incr d
6
      while (S is not empty) do
7
         v \leftarrow top(S)
8
9
        if v has an unvisited neighbour u
           mark u as in-stack; push u into S
0
           add edge \langle v, u \rangle to T
           pre-number u as d; incr d
12
        else
13
           mark v as visited; pop S; post-number v as d; incr d
14
      done
15
      incr(c); choose v as any unvisited vertex, if any
16
    until (no vertex is unvisited)
```



12/69

э

3

BAR 4 BA

DFTr with stack for digraphs and components

Starting at v of G(V, E) (any node for a (simple undirected) graph); stack S (or a list S) is used

```
all nodes of G are initially marked unvisited
    initialise c \leftarrow 0, d \leftarrow 1; initialise tree T to empty
    repeat
       mark v as in-stack; push v into S
      pre-number v as d; incr d
      while (S is not empty) do
         v \leftarrow top(S)
         if v has an unvisited neighbour u
           mark u as in-stack; push u into S
           add edge \langle v, u \rangle to T
           pre-number u as d; incr d
12
         else
           mark v as visited; pop S; post-number v as d; incr d
14
      done
      incr(c); choose v as any unvisited vertex, if any
```

```
until (no vertex is unvisited)
16
```

- T is the depth first spanning tree of G. if G is simple and undirected
- If G is a digraph, T may have multiple roots
- ٠ For simple undirected graphs, the numbering of nodes so obtained is the depth first pre/post numbering
- The repeat-until loop is needed for digraphs and (simple undirected) graphs with multiple components whose, count is c. on termination

12/69

```
CM and PB (IIT Kharagpur)
```

3

4

6

6

0

8

9

10

1

13

15

Algorithms

February 2, 2023

Cycle detection in a graph

- The depth first traversal of a graph can be used to identify presence of possible cycles in graph
- Augment line 13/13 of the algorithm, with:
 - if v has an in-stack neighbour u, mark edge $\langle v, u \rangle$ as a back edge
- Every fundamental cycle in a graph is associated with a back edge
- Any spanning tree of a (connected undirected) graph of |V| vertices will have |V| - 1 edges
- A (connected undirected) graph with |V| vertices and |E| edges will have |E| - |V| + 1 fundamental cycles
- A set of fundamental cycles in a (connected undirected) graph is detected by way of depth first traversal in O(|E|) time
- Fundamental cycles may be composed to obtain other cycles which are not directly identified by the traversal



Recursive DFTr with pre/postorder labelling

Recursive DFTr with pre/postorder labelling

Recursive DF traversal		DF traversal for a directed graph			
DFTrav(v)		DFTravAll(v)			
• mark v		 initCount 			
PreVisit(v)		Iforall vertices v			
I foreach vertex w adjacent to v		3 unmark v			
• if <i>w</i> is unmarked		I forall vertices v			
introduce edge $\langle v, w \rangle$ in T		if <i>v</i> is unmarked			
DFTrav(w)		DFTrav(v)			
postVisit(w)			. ,		
Labelling functions					
preVisit(v) v.pre \leftarrow count	postVisit(v) v .post \leftarrow count		$\underbrace{ \text{initCount}}_{\textbf{0} count} \leftarrow 0$	_	
$\bigcirc COUTIL \leftarrow COUTL + 1$					
CM and PB (III Knaragpur)	Algor	unms	repruary 2, 2023	14/69	

G

Example (DF traversal of a digraph with pre and post numbering)

- Start DF traversal at vertex A
- Continue traversal from vertex D
- Previst and postvisit labels shown
- Traversal is not unique





15/69

D

B

Example (DF traversal of a digraph with pre and post numbering)



Tree edges those edges present in the DF traversal forest



Example (DF traversal of a digraph with pre and post numbering)



Tree edges those edges present in the DF traversal forest **Forward edges** $\langle u, v \rangle$ where v is a proper descendent of u in the tree



15/69

★ ∃ > < ∃ >

Image: A math

Example (DF traversal of a digraph with pre and post numbering)



Tree edges those edges present in the DF traversal forest **Forward edges** $\langle u, v \rangle$ where *v* is a proper descendent of *u* in the tree **Back edges** $\langle u, v \rangle$ where *v* is an ancestor of *u* in the tree



15/69

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Example (DF traversal of a digraph with pre and post numbering)



Tree edges those edges present in the DF traversal forest **Forward edges** $\langle u, v \rangle$ where v is a proper descendent of u in the tree **Back edges** $\langle u, v \rangle$ where v is an ancestor of u in the tree **Cross edges** $\langle u, v \rangle$ where u, v are neither ancestors nor descendent of one another ★ ∃ → < ∃</p> 15/69

CM and PB (IIT Kharagpur)

Algorithms

February 2, 2023

Properties of pre/postvisit numbers

Theorem (Parenthesis structure of previsit and postvisit numbers)

Let G(V, E) be a digraph, F any of its DF traversal forest and $u, v \in V$ with previsit and postvisit numbers as u.r, u.s, v.r, v.s respectively:

u is a descendent of v in F if and only if [u.r, u.s] is a subinterval of [v.r, v.s], so that v.r < u.r < u.s < v.s

Example (DF traversal of a digraph with pre and post numbering)



12/13

Properties of pre/postvisit numbers (contd.)

Theorem (Parenthesis structure of previsit and postvisit numbers)

- u is unrelated to v in F if and only if [u.r, u.s] and [v.r, v.s] are disjoint intervals, so that u.s < v.r or v.s < u.r
- *u*.*r* < *v*.*r* < *u*.*s* < *v*.*s* and *v*.*r* < *u*.*r* < *v*.*s* < *u*.*s* are not possible





CM and PB (IIT Kharagpur)

Algorithms

G

Properties of pre/postvisit numbers (contd.)

Theorem (Parenthesis structure of previsit and postvisit numbers)

- Tree edges, forward edges, and cross edges all go from a vertex of higher postvisit number to a vertex of lower postvisit number
- Back edges go from a vertex of lower postvisit number to a vertex of higher postvisit number

Example (DF traversal of a digraph with pre and post numbering)





CM and PB (IIT Kharagpur)

Algorithms

February 2, 2023

Topological sorting

Definition (Topological sorting)

A topological sort or topological ordering of a directed graph is a linear ordering of its vertices such that for every directed edge $\langle u, v \rangle$ from vertex *u* to vertex *v*, *u* comes before *v* in the ordering.

Example (A graph and its topological sort)



D, E, A, B, F, G, C D, A, B, E, F, G, C

Cycle detection and topological sorting

Theorem (Parenthesis structure of previsit and postvisit numbers)

- A digraph is acyclic if and only if it is free of back edges
- Vertices ordered by their postvisit numbers form a reverse topological sort





CM and PB (IIT Kharagpur)

Forming circuit equations using fundamental cycles

Equations from circuit

- Develop a suitable data structure to represent such a circuit having only resistances and voltage sources.
- How can you identify the fundamental cycles to form the circuit equations using branch currents?
- Illustrate the working of your scheme on this circuit.



Forming circuit equations using fundamental cycles (contd.)

Equations from circuit

- Develop a suitable data structure to represent such a circuit having only resistances and voltage sources.
- How can you identify the fundamental cycles to form the circuit equations using branch currents?
- Illustrate the working of your scheme on this circuit.



Section outline



Breadth first traversal

Breadth first traversal of a

graph

• Breadth first traversal with numbering



23/69

CM and PB (IIT Kharagpur)

B b





February 2, 2023





CM and PB (IIT Kharagpur)















Start at any $v \in G(V, E)$ Imark all v ∈ V as unvisited Initialise tree T to empty 🗿 mark v as visited enqueue v into S while (S is not empty) do 6 $u \leftarrow \text{dequeue}(S)$ 7 foreach unvisited v st 8 $\langle u, v \rangle \in E$ S: 9 mark v as visited

- enqueue v into S

Depth of a node in the BFS tree is independent of the order in which children of nodes are enqueued



CM and PB (IIT Kharagpur)

done

Algorithms

Algorithms

Breadth first traversal with numbering

```
Start at any v \in V; queue S (or a list S) is used
      mark all nodes of G as unvisited
      initialise | j \leftarrow 1, b_j \leftarrow 1 |; initialise tree T to empty
  3 repeat
  4
         mark v as visited; enqueue v into S // at the end
  6
         number v as b_i; incr b_i
  6
         while (S is not empty) do
 7
           u \leftarrow \text{dequeue}(S) // \text{ remove } u \text{ from front of } S
 8
           foreach unvisited neighbour v of u
  9
              mark v as visited; engueue v into S
                // insert v at end of S
  10
              add edge \langle u, v \rangle to T
  1
              number v as b_i; incr b_i
  12
         done
  13
          incr(j); b_i \leftarrow 1; choose unvisited vertex v, if any
      until (no vertex is unvisited)
```

CM and PB (IIT Kharagpur)



25/69

February 2, 2023

Breadth first traversal with numbering



- *T* is the breadth first spanning tree of *G*, if *G* is simple and undirected
- If *G* is a digraph, *T* may have multiple roots
- For simple undirected graphs, the numbering of nodes so obtained is the breadth first numbering
- The repeat-until loop is needed for graphs with multiple components
 - works trivially for single component undirected graphs

Section outline

	-	~
×.		

Shortest paths from a given source

- Shortest paths in graphs
- Dijkstra's algorithm

- Correctness proof of Dijkstra's algorithm
- Complexity of Dijkstra's algorithm
- Shortest distance between each pair of vertices



ヨトィヨト

Shortest paths in graphs

- A graph may have positive and negative weights associated with its edges
- The length of a walk is the sum of the weights of the edges as they appear in the walk
- Presence of a circuit of negative weight creates a problem for identifying shortest paths
- If all edges of *G*(*V*, *E*) have the same positive (unit) weight, the breadth first traversal, starting from some vertex *u* ∈ *V* yields the shortest paths from *u* to all other vertices
- Different algorithm can be devised to find shortest paths with edges having arbitrary weights, but free of circuits of negative weight



3
Dijkstra's algorithm











Start at v of G(V, E); priority queue Q_H (or a min-heap Q_H) is used; node markings: unvisited, visited, in-queue all nodes of G are initially marked unvisited set tree T to v; enqueue $\langle v, v, 0 \rangle$ into Q_H æ 3 while (Q_H is not empty) do ß 4 $\langle u, v, \ell \rangle \leftarrow \text{dequeue}(Q_H); \text{ mark } v \text{ as visited}$ 42 5 if $(u \neq v)$ add edge $\langle u, v \rangle$ to T; label v with ℓ 6 foreach neighbour x of v which is not visited 7 if (x is unvisited) g NS ³4 8 mark x as in-queue 9 enqueue $\langle v, x, \ell + w(v, x) \rangle$ into Q_{H} 10 else // $\langle ., x, q \rangle$ is in-queue 1 if $(q' [\leftarrow \ell + w(v, x)] < q) \langle x, q \rangle \leftarrow \langle v, x, q' \rangle$ 12 endfor 13 done 10 in-queue vertices are on shortest paths along some visited vertices Invariants: visited vertices are on shortest paths along some visited vertices CM and PB (IIT Kharagpur) Algorithms February 2, 2023 33/69

Start at v of G(V, E); priority queue Q_H (or a min-heap Q_H) is used; node markings: unvisited, visited, in-queue all nodes of G are initially marked unvisited set tree T to v; enqueue $\langle v, v, 0 \rangle$ into Q_H ω æ 3 while (Q_H is not empty) do ß 4 $\langle u, v, \ell \rangle \leftarrow \text{dequeue}(Q_H); \text{ mark } v \text{ as visited}$ 42 5 if $(u \neq v)$ add edge $\langle u, v \rangle$ to T; label v with ℓ 6 foreach neighbour x of v which is not visited 7 if (x is unvisited) g NS ³4 8 mark x as in-queue 9 enqueue $\langle v, x, \ell + w(v, x) \rangle$ into Q_{H} 10 else // $\langle ., x, q \rangle$ is in-queue 1 if $(q' [\leftarrow \ell + w(v, x)] < q) \langle x, q \rangle \leftarrow \langle v, x, q' \rangle$ 12 endfor 13 done 10 in-queue vertices are on shortest paths along some visited vertices Invariants: visited vertices are on shortest paths along some visited vertices CM and PB (IIT Kharagpur) Algorithms February 2, 2023 34/69

Start at v of G(V, E); priority queue Q_H (or a min-heap Q_H) is used; node markings: unvisited, visited, in-queue all nodes of G are initially marked unvisited set tree T to v; enqueue $\langle v, v, 0 \rangle$ into Q_H ω æ 3 while (Q_H is not empty) do ß 4 $\langle u, v, \ell \rangle \leftarrow \text{dequeue}(Q_H); \text{ mark } v \text{ as visited}$ 42 5 if $(u \neq v)$ add edge $\langle u, v \rangle$ to T; label v with ℓ 6 foreach neighbour x of v which is not visited 7 if (x is unvisited) g NS ω 8 mark x as in-queue 9 enqueue $\langle v, x, \ell + w(v, x) \rangle$ into Q_H 10 else // $\langle ., x, q \rangle$ is in-queue 1 if $(q' [\leftarrow \ell + w(v, x)] < q) \langle x, q \rangle \leftarrow \langle v, x, q' \rangle$ 12 endfor 13 done in-queue vertices are on shortest paths along some visited vertices Invariants: visited vertices are on shortest paths along some visited vertices CM and PB (IIT Kharagpur) Algorithms February 2, 2023 35/69













Correctness proof of Dijkstra's algorithm

Dijkstra's algorithm satisfies the stated invariants.

- Invariants are satisfied after the first node v is picked
- Let claim hold for vertices $X = \{v = x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k\}$ picked up in L4
- The next vertex x_{k+1} picked up in L4 is the lowest cost in-queue vertex; so invariant-2 is not violated

Correctness proof of Dijkstra's algorithm

Dijkstra's algorithm satisfies the stated invariants.

- Invariants are satisfied after the first node v is picked
- Let claim hold for vertices $X = \{v = x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k\}$ picked up in L4
- The next vertex x_{k+1} picked up in L4 is the lowest cost in-queue vertex; so invariant-2 is not violated
- Let *z* be any vertex in Q_H after x_{k+1} is chosen; let *z* be at the end of the edges $\langle v_{z_1}, z \rangle$, $\langle v_{z_2}, z \rangle$, ..., $\langle v_{z_j}, z \rangle$ so that the vertices in $Y = \{v_{z_1}, v_{z_2}, \dots, v_{z_j}\} \subseteq X$ are all visited vertices; further, as the edge costs are always non-negative, the cost of any in-queue vertex is no less than the cost of any visited vertex
- The distance of z from v gets updated (relaxed) in L11
- \therefore Distance of any vertex z in Q_H is the shortest from v through a subset of the nodes in X; so invariant-1 is not violated

Correctness proof of Dijkstra's algorithm (contd.)

- Termination of the algorithm is evident as a vertex is dequeued from Q_H in each iteration and marked visited
- Note that such a vertex also gets added to the shortest path tree as a successor to the vertex along which the shortest path to it from v was identified

Exercise: Dijkstra's algorithm with negative edge weights

- Check how Dijkstra's algorithm works when cost of edge (B, F) is -100 instead of 36
- In particular, following the steps of the algorithm, check whether any of the invariants get violated
- Next, check whether, the restriction of non-negative edge weights solves this problem

YAY

```
Start at v of G(V, E); priority queue Q_H (or a min-heap Q_H) is
used; node markings: unvisited, visited, in-queue
  all nodes of G are initially marked unvisited
  2
       set tree T to v; enqueue \langle v, v, 0 \rangle into Q_H
  3
          while (Q_H is not empty) do
  4
             \langle u, v, \ell \rangle \leftarrow \text{dequeue}(Q_H); \text{ mark } v \text{ as visited}
  5
             if (u \neq v) add edge \langle u, v \rangle to T; label v with \ell
  6
             foreach neighbour x of v which is not visited
  0
                if (x is unvisited)
  8
                  mark x as in-queue
  9
                  enqueue \langle v, x, \ell + w(v, x) \rangle into Q_H
  10
                else // \langle ., x, q \rangle is in-queue
  1
                  if (q' [\leftarrow \ell + w(v, x)] < q) \langle x, q \rangle \leftarrow \langle v, x, q' \rangle
  12
             endfor
  13
          done
```

Start at v of G(V, E); priority queue Q_H (or a min-heap Q_H) is used; node markings: unvisited, visited, in-queue

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

1

13

- all nodes of G are initially marked unvisited
- 2 set tree T to v; enqueue $\langle v, v, 0 \rangle$ into Q_H
 - while (Q_H is not empty) do
- $(u, v, \ell) \leftarrow \mathsf{dequeue}(Q_H); \mathsf{mark} \ v \ \mathsf{as} \ \mathsf{visited}$
 - if $(u \neq v)$ add edge $\langle u, v \rangle$ to *T*; label *v* with ℓ

foreach neighbour x of v which is not visited

```
if (x is unvisited)
```

mark x as in-queue

enqueue $\langle v, x, \ell + w(v, x) \rangle$ into Q_H

else // $\langle ., x, q \rangle$ is in-queue

$$\mathsf{if} \ (q'[\leftarrow \ell + w(v,x)] < q) \ \langle,x,q\rangle \leftarrow \langle v,x,q'\rangle$$

endfor

done

- Edge weights assumed non-negative, except possibly those having v as the head;
- L4, requiring deletion from heap, iterates |V| times, contributing |V| lg |V|
- L9 or L11 happens O(|E|) times, contributing |E| lg |V| for either key insertion or decreasing key cost at O(lg |V|) time (with binary heaps)
- Overall time complexity is O((|V| + |E|) lg |V|) (with binary heaps)



Start at v of G(V, E); priority queue Q_H (or a min-heap Q_H) is used; node markings: unvisited, visited, in-queue

- all nodes of G are initially marked unvisited
- 2 set tree T to v; enqueue $\langle v, v, 0 \rangle$ into Q_H
 - while (Q_H is not empty) do
- 3 4 5

6

7

8

9

10

1

12

13

⟨*u*, *v*, *ℓ*⟩ ←dequeue(*Q*_{*H*}); mark *v* as visited if $(u \neq v)$ add edge $\langle u, v \rangle$ to T; label v with ℓ

foreach neighbour x of v which is not visited

```
if (x is unvisited)
```

mark x as in-queue

enqueue $\langle v, x, \ell + w(v, x) \rangle$ into Q_H

else // $\langle , x, q \rangle$ is in-queue

if $(q' [\leftarrow \ell + w(v, x)] < q) \langle x, q \rangle \leftarrow \langle v, x, q' \rangle$ endfor

done

- Edge weights assumed non-negative, except possibly those having v as the head;
- L4, requiring deletion from heap. iterates |V| times, contributing $|V| \lg |V|$
- L9 or L11 happens O(|E|) times, contributing $|E| \lg |V|$ for either key insertion or decreasing key cost at $O(\lg |V|)$ time (with binary heaps)
- Overall time complexity is $O((|V| + |E|) \lg |V|)$ (with binary heaps)
- Fibonacci heaps allow insert key and decrease key in O(1) time, reducing the time complexity to $O(|V| \lg |V| + |E|)$
- T is the shortest path (spanning) tree



Start at v of G(V, E); priority queue Q_H (or a min-heap Q_H) is used; node markings: unvisited, visited, in-queue

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1

12

13

- all nodes of G are initially marked unvisited
- 2 set tree T to v; enqueue $\langle v, v, 0 \rangle$ into Q_H
 - while (Q_H is not empty) do
 - $\langle u, v, \ell \rangle \leftarrow \text{dequeue}(Q_H); \text{ mark } v \text{ as visited}$
 - if $(u \neq v)$ add edge $\langle u, v \rangle$ to T; label v with ℓ

foreach neighbour x of v which is not visited

```
if (x is unvisited)
```

mark x as in-queue

enqueue $\langle v, x, \ell + w(v, x) \rangle$ into Q_H

else // $\langle , x, q \rangle$ is in-queue

$$\text{if } (q'[\leftarrow \ell + w(v, x)] < q) \ \langle, x, q \rangle \leftarrow \langle v, x, q' \rangle$$

endfor

done

- Edge weights assumed non-negative, except possibly those having v as the head;
- L4, requiring deletion from heap, iterates |V| times, contributing $|V| \lg |V|$
- L9 or L11 happens O(|E|) times, contributing $|E| \lg |V|$ for either key insertion or decreasing key cost at $O(\lg |V|)$ time (with binary heaps)
- Overall time complexity is $O((|V| + |E|) \lg |V|)$ (with binary heaps)
- Fibonacci heaps allow insert key and decrease key in O(1) time, reducing the time complexity to $O(|V| \lg |V| + |E|)$
- T is the shortest path (spanning) tree



Need to prove: Diikstra's algorithm finds minimal cost paths to the vertices in the ascending order CM and PB (IIT Kharagpur) Algorithms February 2, 2023 44/69

Shortest distance between each pair of vertices

- Dijkstra's algorithm can be run for each vertex in the graph
- Running time will be $O(|V|(|V| + |E|) \lg |V|)$ (with binary heaps), $O(|V|(|V| \lg |V| + |E|))$ with Fibonacci heaps
- Also, Dijkstra's algorithm fails with negative edge weights
- Another formulation builds the optimal solution through optimal solution of overlapping sub-problems – dynamic programming

45/69

February 2, 2023

Section outline

All shortest paths (Floyd-Warshall)

- Floyd-Warshall algorithm
- Floyd-Warshall example
- Distance between each pair of vertices through another

vertex

- General dynamic programming step for Floyd-Warshall algorithm
- Floyd-Warshall's algorithm
- Widest path problem
- Other FW problems



46/69

Floyd-Warshall algorithm

• We are given a weighted digraph, edge weights may be negative



- Initially we know the shortest distance between each pair of vertices going through 0 other vertices
- If there is no edge between two vertices, the initial cost ∞
- The distance of a vertex from itself is 0
- Otherwise, it is the usual directed edge cost between two vertices
- These costs are denoted as $d_{i,i}^0$

Floyd-Warshall algorithm

• We are given a weighted digraph, edge weights may be negative



- Initially we know the shortest distance between each pair of vertices going through 0 other vertices
- If there is no edge between two vertices, the initial cost ∞
- The distance of a vertex from itself is 0
- Otherwise, it is the usual directed edge cost between two vertices
- These costs are denoted as d⁰_{i,i}
- At this stage the predecessor of a vertex j in the path $\pi_{i,j}^0$ from v_i to

$$v_j$$
 is: if $d_{i,j}^0 = \infty$ then $\pi_{i,j}^0 = \text{NIL}$, otherwise $\pi_{i,j}^0 = i$ (for v_i)

CM and PB (IIT Kharagpur)

Algorithms

Floyd-Warshall example





Distance between each pair of vertices through another vertex

- Next, find the shortest distance between each pair of vertices possibly going through vertex v₁
- If there is no improvement is going through v₁, the direct edge (if present) is used
- After this step the resulting cost $d_{i,j}^1$ is that of possibly going through v_1

• Thus,
$$d_{i,j}^1 = \min \left(d_{i,j}^0, d_{i,1}^0 + d_{1,j}^0 \right)$$

Distance between each pair of vertices through another vertex

- Next, find the shortest distance between each pair of vertices possibly going through vertex v₁
- If there is no improvement is going through v₁, the direct edge (if present) is used
- After this step the resulting cost $d_{i,j}^1$ is that of possibly going through v_1

• Thus,
$$d_{i,j}^1 = \min \left(d_{i,j}^0, d_{i,1}^0 + d_{1,j}^0 \right)$$

- With no improvement, path $\pi_{i,j}^1 \leftarrow \pi_{i,j}^0$ (same as before)
- For improvement through v_1 , in the path $\pi_{i,j}^1$, v_j will have the same predecessor that it has in the path $\pi_{1,j}^0$
- So, in case of improvement through v_1 , $\pi_{i,i}^1 \leftarrow \pi_{1,i}^0$



Floyd-Warshall example (contd.)





 In step k, we can find the shortest distance between each pair of vertices possibly going through v_k, using the paths possibly going through vertices in {v₁,..., v_{k-1}}



51/69

4 3 5 4 3 5 5

- In step k, we can find the shortest distance between each pair of vertices possibly going through v_k, using the paths possibly going through vertices in {v₁,..., v_{k-1}}
- For cost reduction going through v_k, d^k_{i,j} ← d^{k-1}_{i,k} + d^{k-1}_{k,j}, otherwise, d^k_{i,j} ← d^{k-1}_{i,j}



- In step k, we can find the shortest distance between each pair of vertices possibly going through v_k, using the paths possibly going through vertices in {v₁,..., v_{k-1}}
- For cost reduction going through v_k, d^k_{i,j} ← d^{k-1}_{i,k} + d^{k-1}_{k,j}, otherwise, d^k_{i,j} ← d^{k-1}_{i,j}

That way, solutions of possibilities (sub-problems) of going through vertices in $\{v_1, \ldots, v_{k-1}\}$ is used to compute the benefit of going through v_k – this is the essence of *dynamic programming*



51/69

- In step k, we can find the shortest distance between each pair of vertices possibly going through v_k, using the paths possibly going through vertices in {v₁,..., v_{k-1}}
- For cost reduction going through v_k, d^k_{i,j} ← d^{k-1}_{i,k} + d^{k-1}_{k,j}, otherwise, d^k_{i,j} ← d^{k-1}_{i,j}

That way, solutions of possibilities (sub-problems) of going through vertices in $\{v_1, \ldots, v_{k-1}\}$ is used to compute the benefit of going through v_k – this is the essence of *dynamic programming*

• Thus, new cost is min
$$\left(d_{i,j}^{k-1}, d_{i,k}^{k-1} + d_{k,j}^{k-1}
ight)$$

CM and PB (IIT Kharagpur)

51/69

- In step k, we can find the shortest distance between each pair of vertices possibly going through v_k, using the paths possibly going through vertices in {v₁,..., v_{k-1}}
- For cost reduction going through v_k, d^k_{i,j} ← d^{k-1}_{i,k} + d^{k-1}_{k,j}, otherwise, d^k_{i,j} ← d^{k-1}_{i,j}

That way, solutions of possibilities (sub-problems) of going through vertices in $\{v_1, \ldots, v_{k-1}\}$ is used to compute the benefit of going through v_k – this is the essence of *dynamic programming*

- Thus, new cost is min $\left(d_{i,j}^{k-1}, d_{i,k}^{k-1} + d_{k,j}^{k-1}\right)$
- For improvement through v_k , $\pi_{i,j}^k \leftarrow \pi_{k,j}^{k-1}$, otherwise $\pi_{i,j}^k \leftarrow \pi_{i,j}^{k-1}$

(B)

Floyd-Warshall example (contd.)





Floyd-Warshall example (contd.)





э
Floyd-Warshall example (contd.)





э

Floyd-Warshall example (contd.)





э

Floyd-Warshall example (contd.)





Faulty Floyd-Warshall example with -ve cost cycle

$ \begin{array}{c} 4 \\ 4 \\ 4 \\ 1 \\ 3 \\ -2 \\ 5 \\ 1 \\ -3 \\ -2 \\ 1 \end{array} $						
0	0	1	2	3	4	5
0	$\langle 0, 0 \rangle$	$\langle 3, 0 \rangle$	$\langle \infty, \phi \rangle$	$\langle \infty, \phi \rangle$	$\langle 2, 0 \rangle$	$\langle \infty, \phi \rangle$
1	$\langle 3, 1 \rangle$	$\langle 0, 1 \rangle$	$\langle 3, 1 \rangle$	$\langle \infty, \phi \rangle$	$\langle \infty, \phi \rangle$	$\langle -2, 1 \rangle$
2	$\langle \infty, \phi \rangle$	$\langle 3, 2 \rangle$	$\langle 0, 2 \rangle$	$\langle 1, 2 \rangle$	$\langle \infty, \phi \rangle$	$\langle \infty, \phi \rangle$
3	$\langle \infty, \phi \rangle$	$\langle \infty, \phi \rangle$	$\langle 1, 3 \rangle$	$\langle 0, 3 \rangle$	$\langle 3, 3 \rangle$	$\langle 1, 3 \rangle$
4	$\langle 2, 4 \rangle$	$\langle \infty, \phi \rangle$	$\langle \infty, \phi \rangle$	$\langle 3, 4 \rangle$	$\langle 0, 4 \rangle$	$\langle 4, 4 \rangle$
5	$\langle \infty, \phi \rangle$	$\langle 1, 5 \rangle$	$\langle \infty, \phi \rangle$	$\langle 1, 5 \rangle$	$\langle 4, 5 \rangle$	$\langle 0, 5 \rangle$



∃ → < ∃ →</p>

э

Faulty Floyd-Warshall example (contd.)



Note the presence of negative cost entries in the diagonal elements

CM and PB (IIT Kharagpur)

Algorithms



Floyd-Warshall's algorithm

- $d_{i,j}^0 = D_{i,j}$ if v_j is adjacent to v_i , otherwise ∞
- **2** $\pi_{i,i}^0 = i$ if v_j is adjacent to v_i , otherwise NIL
- If or k = 1 to n do
- If or i = 1 to n do

for
$$j = 1$$
 to n do
if $\left(d \left(\leftarrow d_{i,k}^{k-1} + d_{k,j}^{k-1} \right) < d_{i,j}^{k-1} \right)$
 $d_{i,j}^k \leftarrow d; \pi_{i,j}^k \leftarrow \pi_{k,j}^{k-1}$

else

$$d_{i,j}^k \leftarrow d_{i,j}^{k-1}; \pi_{i,j}^k \leftarrow \pi_{i,j}^{k-1}$$

- endfor
- endfor
- endfor

6

6

7 8

9 10

- Time complexity: $\Theta(n^3)$
- Space complexity:
 Θ(n²)

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > <

February 2, 2023



59/69

э

Floyd-Warshall's algorithm

- $d_{i,j}^0 = D_{i,j}$ if v_j is adjacent to v_i , otherwise ∞
- 2 $\pi_{i,i}^0 = i$ if v_j is adjacent to v_i , otherwise NIL
- If or k = 1 to n do
 - for i = 1 to n do

for
$$j = 1$$
 to n do
if $\left(d \left(\leftarrow d_{i,k}^{k-1} + d_{k,j}^{k-1} \right) < d_{i,j}^{k-1} \right)$
 $d_{i,j}^k \leftarrow d; \pi_{i,j}^k \leftarrow \pi_{k,j}^{k-1}$

else

$$d_{i,j}^k \leftarrow d_{i,j}^{k-1}; \pi_{i,j}^k \leftarrow \pi_{i,j}^{k-1}$$

- endfor
- endfor
- endfor

6

6

7

8

9

10

1

- Time complexity: $\Theta(n^3)$
- Space complexity:
 ⊖(n²)
- Works with negative edge weights
- *d*^k_{i,j} < 0 in the presence of negative weight cycles



Algorithms

Widest path problem

Definition (All widest paths)

- Finding a path between all pairs of vertices in a weighted graph to maximise the weight of the minimum weight edge in the path
- Also known as the bottleneck shortest path problem or the maximum capacity path problem

1 $d_{i,i}^0 = D_{i,i}$ if $\langle v_i, v_j \rangle \in E$, otherwise 0 2 $\pi_{i,i}^0 = i$ if $\langle v_i, v_j \rangle \in E$, otherwise NIL **(3)** for k = 1 to *n* do for i = 1 to n do 4 5 for i = 1 to n do if $\left(d\left(\leftarrow\min\left(d_{i,k}^{k-1}, d_{k,i}^{k-1}\right)\right) > d_{i,j}^{k-1}\right)$ 6 $d_{i,i}^k \leftarrow d; \pi_{i,i}^k \leftarrow \pi_{k,i}^{k-1}$ 7 8 else $d_{i,i}^k \leftarrow d_{i,i}^{k-1}; \pi_{i,i}^k \leftarrow \pi_{i,i}^{k-1}$ 9 10 endfor endfor endfor э

February 2, 2023

60/69

Other FW problems

Definition (All narrowest paths)

Finding a path between all pairs of vertices in a weighted graph to minimise the weight of the maximum weight edge in the path

Definition (All safest paths)

Given a graph with direct survival probabilities between vertices, find the least dangerous path between pairs of vertices

Definition (All reachable paths)

Given a 0/1 weighted graph for direct connectivity between vertices, find the reachable pairs of vertices



Section outline

Minimum cost spanning tree

- Spanning tree variety
- Kruskal's algorithm
- Analysis of Kruskal's algorithm

- Optimality of Kruskal's algorithm
- Prim's algorithm
- Analysis of Prim's algorithm
- Optimality of Prim's algorithm



62/69

∃ → < ∃ →</p>

Spanning tree variety

Spanning tree variety

Some of the spanning trees seen so far:

- Spanning tree from a depth first traversal
- Spanning tree from a breadth first traversal
- Shortest path spanning tree from Dijkstra's single source shortest paths algorithm

Spanning tree variety

Some of the spanning trees seen so far:

- Spanning tree from a depth first traversal
- Spanning tree from a breadth first traversal
- Shortest path spanning tree from Dijkstra's single source shortest paths algorithm
- We now seek to find a spanning tree that minimises the sum total of the edge costs – called the minimum cost spanning tree or just minimum spanning tree (MST)
- Kruskal's, Prim's, Boruvka's and hybrid Boruvka-Prim algorithms for building MSTs of connected undirect graphs are considered



< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > <

Kruskal's algorithm

- create a min heap H of the edges
- 2 initialise $T \leftarrow \phi$; edge count $c \leftarrow 0$

3 while
$$(H \neq \phi \land c < |V| - 1)$$

do

- extract min cost edge $e \in H$
- if (*e* does not cause

a cycle in T)

• add e to T; $c \leftarrow c + 1$

🧿 done



Kruskal's algorithm

- Create a min heap H of the edges
- 2 initialise $T \leftarrow \phi$; edge count $c \leftarrow 0$

3 while
$$(H \neq \phi \land c < |V| - 1)$$

do

- extract min cost edge $e \in H$
- if (*e* does not cause

a cycle in T)

• add e to T; $c \leftarrow c + 1$

🧿 done



Algorithms

Kruskal's algorithm

- create a min heap H of the edges
- 2 initialise $T \leftarrow \phi$; edge count $c \leftarrow 0$

3 while
$$(H \neq \phi \land c < |V| - 1)$$

do

- extract min cost edge $e \in H$
- if (*e* does not cause

a cycle in T)

• add e to T; $c \leftarrow c + 1$

🧿 done



Algorithms

64/69

Kruskal's algorithm

- create a min heap H of the edges
- 2 initialise $T \leftarrow \phi$; edge count $c \leftarrow 0$

3 while
$$(H \neq \phi \land c < |V| - 1)$$

do

- extract min cost edge $e \in H$
- if (*e* does not cause

a cycle in T)

o add e to T; $c \leftarrow c + 1$

🧿 done





Kruskal's algorithm

- **1** create a min heap *H* of the edges
- 2 initialise $T \leftarrow \phi$; edge count $c \leftarrow 0$

3 while
$$(H \neq \phi \land c < |V| - 1)$$

do

- extract min cost edge $e \in H$
- if (*e* does not cause

a cycle in T)

• add e to T; $c \leftarrow c + 1$

🧿 done



Algorithms

Kruskal's algorithm

- **1** create a min heap *H* of the edges
- 2 initialise $T \leftarrow \phi$; edge count $c \leftarrow 0$

3 while
$$(H \neq \phi \land c < |V| - 1)$$

do

- extract min cost edge $e \in H$
- if (*e* does not cause

a cycle in T)

• add e to T; $c \leftarrow c + 1$

🧿 done



Kruskal's algorithm

- **1** create a min heap *H* of the edges
- 2 initialise $T \leftarrow \phi$; edge count $c \leftarrow 0$

3 while
$$(H \neq \phi \land c < |V| - 1)$$

do

- extract min cost edge $e \in H$
- if (*e* does not cause

a cycle in T)

• add e to T; $c \leftarrow c + 1$

🧿 done



64/69

Algorithms

Kruskal's algorithm

- create a min heap H of the edges
- 2 initialise $T \leftarrow \phi$; edge count $c \leftarrow 0$

3 while
$$(H \neq \phi \land c < |V| - 1)$$

do

- extract min cost edge $e \in H$
- if (*e* does not cause

a cycle in T)

o add e to T; $c \leftarrow c + 1$

🧿 done





Algorithms

Kruskal's algorithm

- **1** create a min heap *H* of the edges
- 2 initialise $T \leftarrow \phi$; edge count $c \leftarrow 0$

3 while
$$(H \neq \phi \land c < |V| - 1)$$

do

- extract min cost edge $e \in H$
- if (*e* does not cause

a cycle in T)

• add e to T; $c \leftarrow c + 1$

🧿 done





Kruskal's algorithm

- **1** create a min heap *H* of the edges
- 2 initialise $T \leftarrow \phi$; edge count $c \leftarrow 0$

3 while
$$(H \neq \phi \land c < |V| - 1)$$

do

- extract min cost edge $e \in H$
- if (*e* does not cause

a cycle in T)

• add e to T; $c \leftarrow c + 1$

🧿 done





Kruskal's algorithm

- **1** create a min heap *H* of the edges
- 2 initialise $T \leftarrow \phi$; edge count $c \leftarrow 0$

3 while
$$(H \neq \phi \land c < |V| - 1)$$

do

- extract min cost edge $e \in H$
- if (*e* does not cause

a cycle in T)

o add e to T; $c \leftarrow c + 1$

🧿 done



64/69

- create a min heap H of the edges
- 2 initialise $T \leftarrow \phi$; edge count $c \leftarrow 0$
- 3 while $(H \neq \phi \land c < |V| 1)$

do

- extract min cost edge $e \in H$
- if (e does not cause

a cycle in T)

add e to T; $c \leftarrow c + 1$

one

4 B 6 4 B 6

э

- Heap creation time is O(|E|) in L1
- create a min heap H of the edges
- initialise $T \leftarrow \phi$; edge count $c \leftarrow 0$

3 while (
$$H
eq \phi \land c < |V| - 1$$
)

do

- extract min cost edge $e \in H$
- if (*e* does not cause

a cycle in T)

- add *e* to *T*; $c \leftarrow c + 1$
- one



• Total time to execute L4 is $O(|E| \lg |E|)$ ($\in O(|E| \lg |V|)$, as $|E| \in O(|V|^2)$)

法国际法国际

- create a min heap H of the edges
- initialise $T \leftarrow \phi$; edge count $c \leftarrow 0$

3 while (
$$H \neq \phi \land c < |V| - 1$$
)

do

- extract min cost edge $e \in H$
- if (*e* does not cause

a cycle in T)

add *e* to *T*; $c \leftarrow c + 1$

one

- Heap creation time is O(|E|) in L1
- All edges may be removed from H in L4
- Total time to execute L4 is O(|*E*| lg |*E*|)
 (∈ O(|*E*| lg |*V*|), as |*E*| ∈ O(|*V*|²))
- Cycle creation may be checked (at L5) by starting a DF traversal at one vertex of the edge *e* and monitoring whether the other end of *e* is reached

Each check will take O(|V| - 1) time

65/69

- create a min heap H of the edges
- 2 initialise $T \leftarrow \phi$; edge count $c \leftarrow 0$

- extract min cost edge $e \in H$
- if (*e* does not cause a cycle in *T*)
 - add *e* to *T*; $c \leftarrow c + 1$

🔰 done

- Heap creation time is O(|E|) in L1
- All edges may be removed from H in L4
- Total time to execute L4 is O(|E| lg |E|)
 (∈ O(|E| lg |V|), as |E| ∈ O(|V|²))
- Cycle creation may be checked (at L5) by starting a DF traversal at one vertex of the edge *e* and monitoring whether the other end of *e* is reached

Each check will take O(|V| - 1) time

 This may have to be done for each edge leading to an aggregate cost of O(|E|(|V|-1)) time



65/69

- create a min heap H of the edges
- 2 initialise $T \leftarrow \phi$; edge count $c \leftarrow 0$

- extract min cost edge $e \in H$
- if (*e* does not cause a cycle in *T*)
 - add *e* to *T*; $c \leftarrow c + 1$
- 🔰 done

- Heap creation time is O(|E|) in L1
- All edges may be removed from H in L4
- Total time to execute L4 is O(|*E*| lg |*E*|)
 (∈ O(|*E*| lg |*V*|), as |*E*| ∈ O(|*V*|²))
- Cycle creation may be checked (at L5) by starting a DF traversal at one vertex of the edge *e* and monitoring whether the other end of *e* is reached

Each check will take O(|V| - 1) time

- This may have to be done for each edge leading to an aggregate cost of O(|E|(|V|-1)) time
- Using DSUF, cycle checking is done in $O(|V| \lg^* |V|) \approx O(|V|)$ time

65/69

Let *T* be MST of G(V, E) found by KMST, and assume (wrongly) that there exists another minimum spanning tree *S*, such that W(S) < W(T)

- **1** Let e_k be the least cost edge in T but not in S; $\{e_1, \ldots, e_{k-1}\} \subset T, S$
- 2 Consider adding edge e_k to S
 - This creates a cycle *C* in *S*, containing *e_k*
 - Cycle C contains an edge e not in T

3

Let *T* be MST of G(V, E) found by KMST, and assume (wrongly) that there exists another minimum spanning tree *S*, such that W(S) < W(T)

- **1** Let e_k be the least cost edge in T but not in S; $\{e_1, \ldots, e_{k-1}\} \subset T, S$
- Consider adding edge e_k to S
 - This creates a cycle *C* in *S*, containing *e_k*
 - Cycle C contains an edge e not in T, otherwise T has cycle C

3

February 2, 2023

Let *T* be MST of G(V, E) found by KMST, and assume (wrongly) that there exists another minimum spanning tree *S*, such that W(S) < W(T)

- **1** Let e_k be the least cost edge in T but not in S; $\{e_1, \ldots, e_{k-1}\} \subset T, S$
- 2 Consider adding edge e_k to S
 - This creates a cycle *C* in *S*, containing *e_k*
 - Cycle C contains an edge e not in T, otherwise T has cycle C
- If we drop e and add e_k in S we get a spanning tree S' where
 - cost of *e_k* <= cost of *e*, for otherwise, *e* would have been chosen in preference to *e* in *T* by KMST without getting a cycle
 - S' is now one edge closer to T than S



66/69

Let *T* be MST of G(V, E) found by KMST, and assume (wrongly) that there exists another minimum spanning tree *S*, such that W(S) < W(T)

- **1** Let e_k be the least cost edge in T but not in S; $\{e_1, \ldots, e_{k-1}\} \subset T, S$
- 2 Consider adding edge e_k to S
 - This creates a cycle *C* in *S*, containing *e_k*
 - Cycle C contains an edge e not in T, otherwise T has cycle C
- If we drop e and add e_k in S we get a spanning tree S' where
 - cost of *e_k* <= cost of *e*, for otherwise, *e* would have been chosen in preference to *e* in *T* by KMST without getting a cycle
 - S' is now one edge closer to T than S
- Now W(S') <= W(S); above steps can be reiterated until S' = T to terminate with W(T) = W(S') <= W(S)</p>
- This contradicts our initial assumption, that there can be another MST S with W(S) < W(T)</p>
- So, KMST finds an MST

- initialise $T \leftarrow v, v \in V$; edge count $n_e \leftarrow 0$, build H for all $x \in V, x \neq v, C(x) = \infty$
- 2 while $(n_e < |V| 1)$

3
$$\forall x | \langle v, x \rangle \in E, v \in T, x \notin T,$$

possibly reduce $C(x)$ in H
to $w(\langle v, x \rangle)$

• extract min cost vertex $v \in H$

$$(\equiv \langle x, v \rangle \in E, x \in T, v \notin T)$$

add
$$\langle x, v \rangle$$
 to T ; $n_e \leftarrow n_e + 1$



- initialise $T \leftarrow v, v \in V$; edge count $n_e \leftarrow 0$, build H for all $x \in V, x \neq v, C(x) = \infty$
- 2 while $(n_e < |V| 1)$

3
$$\forall x | \langle v, x \rangle \in E, v \in T, x \notin T,$$

possibly reduce $C(x)$ in H
to $w(\langle v, x \rangle)$

extract min cost vertex $v \in H$

$$(\equiv \langle x, v \rangle \in E, x \in T, v \notin T)$$

add
$$\langle x, v \rangle$$
 to T ; $n_e \leftarrow n_e + 1$



- initialise $T \leftarrow v, v \in V$; edge count $n_e \leftarrow 0$, build H for all $x \in V, x \neq v, C(x) = \infty$
- 2 while $(n_e < |V| 1)$

3
$$\forall x | \langle v, x \rangle \in E, v \in T, x \notin T,$$

possibly reduce $C(x)$ in H
to $w(\langle v, x \rangle)$

extract min cost vertex $v \in H$

$$(\equiv \langle x, v \rangle \in E, x \in T, v \notin T)$$

add
$$\langle x, v \rangle$$
 to T ; $n_e \leftarrow n_e + 1$



- initialise $T \leftarrow v, v \in V$; edge count $n_e \leftarrow 0$, build H for all $x \in V, x \neq v, C(x) = \infty$
- 2 while $(n_e < |V| 1)$

3
$$\forall x | \langle v, x \rangle \in E, v \in T, x \notin T,$$

possibly reduce $C(x)$ in H
to $w(\langle v, x \rangle)$

extract min cost vertex $v \in H$

$$(\equiv \langle x, v \rangle \in E, x \in T, v \notin T)$$

add
$$\langle x, v \rangle$$
 to T ; $n_e \leftarrow n_e + 1$


- initialise $T \leftarrow v, v \in V$; edge count $n_e \leftarrow 0$, build H for all $x \in V, x \neq v, C(x) = \infty$
- 2 while $(n_e < |V| 1)$
- 3 $\forall x | \langle v, x \rangle \in E, v \in T, x \notin T,$ *possibly* reduce C(x) in Hto $w(\langle v, x \rangle)$
- extract min cost vertex $v \in H$

$$(\equiv \langle x, v \rangle \in E, x \in T, v \notin T)$$

add
$$\langle x, v \rangle$$
 to T ; $n_e \leftarrow n_e + 1$



initialise $T \leftarrow v, v \in V$; edge count $n_e \leftarrow 0$, build H for all $x \in V, x \neq v, C(x) = \infty$

2 while
$$(n_e < |V| - 1)$$

3
$$\forall x | \langle v, x \rangle \in E, v \in T, x \notin T,$$

possibly reduce $C(x)$ in H
to $w(\langle v, x \rangle)$

extract min cost vertex $v \in H$ ($\equiv \langle x, v \rangle \in E, x \in T, v \notin T$)

add
$$\langle x, v \rangle$$
 to T ; $n_e \leftarrow n_e + 1$



initialise $T \leftarrow v, v \in V$; edge count $n_e \leftarrow 0$, build H for all $x \in V, x \neq v, C(x) = \infty$

2 while $(n_e < |V| - 1)$

3
$$\forall x | \langle v, x \rangle \in E, v \in T, x \notin T,$$

possibly reduce $C(x)$ in H
to $w(\langle v, x \rangle)$

extract min cost vertex $v \in H$ ($\equiv \langle x, v \rangle \in E, x \in T, v \notin T$)

add
$$\langle x, v \rangle$$
 to T ; $n_e \leftarrow n_e + 1$



initialise $T \leftarrow v, v \in V$; edge count $n_e \leftarrow 0$, build H for all $x \in V, x \neq v, C(x) = \infty$

2 while (n_e < |V| − 1)</p>

3
$$\forall x | \langle v, x \rangle \in E, v \in T, x \notin T,$$

possibly reduce $C(x)$ in H
to $w(\langle v, x \rangle)$

extract min cost vertex $v \in H$ ($\equiv \langle x, v \rangle \in E, x \in T, v \notin T$)

add
$$\langle x, v \rangle$$
 to T ; $n_e \leftarrow n_e + 1$



initialise $T \leftarrow v, v \in V$; edge count $n_e \leftarrow 0$, build H for all $x \in V, x \neq v, C(x) = \infty$

2 while $(n_e < |V| - 1)$

3
$$\forall x | \langle v, x \rangle \in E, v \in T, x \notin T,$$

possibly reduce $C(x)$ in H
to $w(\langle v, x \rangle)$

extract min cost vertex $v \in H$ ($\equiv \langle x, v \rangle \in E, x \in T, v \notin T$)

add
$$\langle x, v \rangle$$
 to T ; $n_e \leftarrow n_e + 1$



initialise $T \leftarrow v, v \in V$; edge count $n_e \leftarrow 0$, build H for all $x \in V, x \neq v, C(x) = \infty$

2 while (n_e < |V| − 1)</p>

$$\begin{aligned} \Im & \forall x | \langle v, x \rangle \in E, v \in T, x \notin T, \\ \textit{possibly reduce } C(x) \text{ in } H \\ \text{to } w(\langle v, x \rangle) \end{aligned}$$

- extract min cost vertex $v \in H$ ($\equiv \langle x, v \rangle \in E, x \in T, v \notin T$)
- add $\langle x, v \rangle$ to T; $n_e \leftarrow n_e + 1$



initialise $T \leftarrow v, v \in V$; edge count $n_e \leftarrow 0$, build H for all $x \in V, x \neq v, C(x) = \infty$

2 while $(n_e < |V| - 1)$

3
$$\forall x | \langle v, x \rangle \in E, v \in T, x \notin T,$$

possibly reduce $C(x)$ in H
to $w(\langle v, x \rangle)$

- extract min cost vertex $v \in H$ ($\equiv \langle x, v \rangle \in E, x \in T, v \notin T$)
- add $\langle x, v \rangle$ to T; $n_e \leftarrow n_e + 1$



initialise $T \leftarrow v, v \in V$; edge count $n_e \leftarrow 0$, build H for all $x \in V, x \neq v, C(x) = \infty$

2 while $(n_e < |V| - 1)$

3
$$\forall x | \langle v, x \rangle \in E, v \in T, x \notin T,$$

possibly reduce $C(x)$ in H
to $w(\langle v, x \rangle)$

extract min cost vertex $v \in H$ ($\equiv \langle x, v \rangle \in E, x \in T, v \notin T$)

add
$$\langle x, v \rangle$$
 to T ; $n_e \leftarrow n_e + 1$



initialise $T \leftarrow v, v \in V$; edge count $n_e \leftarrow 0$, build H for all $x \in V, x \neq v, C(x) = \infty$

2 while $(n_e < |V| - 1)$

3
$$\forall x | \langle v, x \rangle \in E, v \in T, x \notin T,$$

possibly reduce $C(x)$ in H
to $w(\langle v, x \rangle)$

- extract min cost vertex $v \in H$ $(\equiv \langle x, v \rangle \in E, x \in T, v \notin T)$
- add $\langle x, v \rangle$ to T; $n_e \leftarrow n_e + 1$

) done



initialise $T \leftarrow v, v \in V$; edge count $n_e \leftarrow 0$, build H for all $x \in V, x \neq v, C(x) = \infty$

2 while $(n_e < |V| - 1)$

3
$$\forall x | \langle v, x \rangle \in E, v \in T, x \notin T,$$

possibly reduce $C(x)$ in H
to $w(\langle v, x \rangle)$

extract min cost vertex $v \in H$ ($\equiv \langle x, v \rangle \in E, x \in T, v \notin T$)

add
$$\langle x, v \rangle$$
 to T ; $n_e \leftarrow n_e + 1$



initialise $T \leftarrow v, v \in V$; edge count $n_e \leftarrow 0$, build H for all $x \in V, x \neq v, C(x) = \infty$

2 while $(n_e < |V| - 1)$

3
$$\forall x | \langle v, x \rangle \in E, v \in T, x \notin T,$$

possibly reduce $C(x)$ in H
to $w(\langle v, x \rangle)$

extract min cost vertex $v \in H$ ($\equiv \langle x, v \rangle \in E, x \in T, v \notin T$)

add
$$\langle x, v \rangle$$
 to T ; $n_e \leftarrow n_e + 1$



initialise $T \leftarrow v, v \in V$; edge count $n_e \leftarrow 0$, build H for all $x \in V, x \neq v, C(x) = \infty$

2 while $(n_e < |V| - 1)$

3
$$\forall x | \langle v, x \rangle \in E, v \in T, x \notin T,$$

possibly reduce $C(x)$ in H
to $w(\langle v, x \rangle)$

extract min cost vertex $v \in H$ ($\equiv \langle x, v \rangle \in E, x \in T, v \notin T$)

add
$$\langle x, v \rangle$$
 to T ; $n_e \leftarrow n_e + 1$



initialise $T \leftarrow v, v \in V$; edge count $n_e \leftarrow 0$, build H for all $x \in V, x \neq v, C(x) = \infty$

2 while $(n_e < |V| - 1)$

3
$$\forall x | \langle v, x \rangle \in E, v \in T, x \notin T,$$

possibly reduce $C(x)$ in H
to $w(\langle v, x \rangle)$

extract min cost vertex $v \in H$ ($\equiv \langle x, v \rangle \in E, x \in T, v \notin T$)

add
$$\langle x, v \rangle$$
 to T ; $n_e \leftarrow n_e + 1$



- initialise $T \leftarrow v, v \in V$; edge count $n_e \leftarrow 0$, build H for all $x \in V, x \neq v, C(x) = \infty$
- 2 while $(n_e < |V| 1)$
- 3 $\forall x | \langle v, x \rangle \in E, v \in T, x \notin T,$ *possibly* reduce C(x) in Hto $w(\langle v, x \rangle)$
- extract min cost vertex v ∈ H
 (≡ ⟨x, v⟩ ∈ E, x ∈ T, v ∉ T)
 add ⟨x, v⟩ to T; n_e ← n_e + 1
- one

э

- initialise $T \leftarrow v, v \in V$; edge count $n_e \leftarrow 0$, build H for all $x \in V, x \neq v, C(x) = \infty$
- 2 while $(n_e < |V| 1)$
- 3 $\forall x | \langle v, x \rangle \in E, v \in T, x \notin T,$ *possibly* reduce C(x) in Hto $w(\langle v, x \rangle)$
- extract min cost vertex $v \in H$ ($\equiv \langle x, v \rangle \in E, x \in T, v \notin T$)

add
$$\langle x, v \rangle$$
 to *T*; $n_e \leftarrow n_e + 1$

one

- Testing x ∉ T in L3 done via marking vertices added to T
- Major contribution is from L3 (put *e* into *H*, by way of decrease key value) which can take O(|*E*| lg |*V*|) time (binary heap)

э

- initialise $T \leftarrow v, v \in V$; edge count $n_e \leftarrow 0$, build H for all $x \in V, x \neq v, C(x) = \infty$
- 2 while $(n_e < |V| 1)$
- 3 $\forall x | \langle v, x \rangle \in E, v \in T, x \notin T,$ *possibly* reduce C(x) in Hto $w(\langle v, x \rangle)$
- extract min cost vertex $v \in H$ ($\equiv \langle x, v \rangle \in E, x \in T, v \notin T$)

add
$$\langle x, v \rangle$$
 to T; $n_e \leftarrow n_e + 1$

one

- Testing x ∉ T in L3 done via marking vertices added to T
- Major contribution is from L3 (put e into H, by way of decrease key value) which can take
 O(|E| lg |V|) time (binary heap)
- L4 also done |V| 1 times contributes O(|V| lg |V|) time
- Overall time complexity is $O(|V| + |E|) \lg |V|$ (binary heap)



э

- initialise $T \leftarrow v, v \in V$; edge count $n_e \leftarrow 0$, build H for all $x \in V, x \neq v, C(x) = \infty$
- 2 while $(n_e < |V| 1)$
- 3 $\forall x | \langle v, x \rangle \in E, v \in T, x \notin T,$ *possibly* reduce C(x) in Hto $w(\langle v, x \rangle)$
- extract min cost vertex $v \in H$ $(\equiv \langle x, v \rangle \in E, x \in T, v \notin T)$

add
$$\langle x, v \rangle$$
 to T; $n_e \leftarrow n_e + 1$

🧿 done

5

- Testing x ∉ T in L3 done via marking vertices added to T
- Major contribution is from L3 (put e into H, by way of decrease key value) which can take
 O(|E| lg |V|) time (binary heap)
- L4 also done |V| 1 times contributes O(|V| lg |V|) time
- Overall time complexity is $O(|V| + |E|) \lg |V|$ (binary heap)
- Using a Fibonacci heap, the time complexity is O(|E| + |V| lg |V|) as decrease key value in a Fibonacci heap takes O(1) time (amortised)

Optimality of Prim's algorithm

The optimality of Prim's algorithm is proven by induction with the induction hypothesis:

There is always an MST having each intermediate spanning tree created by Prim's algorithm

- Let T_i be the intermediate spanning tree after adding edge (x_i, v_i) to T; x_i is a vertex in T_{i-1}
- A graph can have several spanning trees of the minimum weight; let S_i be an MST tree such that T_i is a subgraph of S_i;
- S_1 definitely exists (base case is satisfied)
- Let there be spanning trees $S_1 \supseteq T_1, \ldots, S_k \supseteq T_k$
- So Let S_{k+1} not exist, so let $S'_k = S_k \cup \{\langle x_{k+1}, v_{k+1} \rangle\}, x_{k+1} \in T_k$
- S'_k will have a cycle containing $\langle u, v \rangle \neq \langle x_{k+1}, v_{k+1} \rangle$, $u \in T_k$, $v \notin T_k$
- Cost of edge $\langle u, v \rangle$ ≥ cost of edge $\langle x_{k+1}, v_{k+1} \rangle$, for otherwise PMST would have selected $\langle u, v \rangle$ earlier to $\langle x_{k+1}, v_{k+1} \rangle$

Thus, $W(S'_{k} \setminus \langle u, v \rangle) < W(S_{k})$ so $S'_{k} \equiv S_{k+1}$, contradicting claim CM and PB (IIT Kharagpur) Algorithms February 2, 2023 69/69