

NLP for Social Media

Lecture 3: Normalization with the Noisy Channel

Monojit Choudhury

Microsoft Research Lab, monojitc@microsoft.com

What will we learn?

- Noisy-channel approach to normalization
- Language Modeling
- Channel Modeling

Spell-checking or edit-distance based approaches does not work well for orthographic normalization of SM data.
What model or approach might work?

The Translation Metaphor



$$S^* = \delta(T) = \underset{S}{\operatorname{argmax}} \operatorname{Pr}(S|T)$$

$$= \underset{S}{\operatorname{argmax}} \operatorname{Pr}(T|S)\operatorname{Pr}(S)$$

Channel Model

Language Model

Intuition behind the NC model

T: 2day I lost my fne

Use the channel model to find the set of possible Standard language words $\{S_1, S_2, S_3, \dots\}$ such that $Pr(T|S) > p$

TL token (SL token)

Decoder output ($-\log(P(w|t))$)

2day (today)

today (3.02), stay (11.46), away (13.13), play (13.14), clay (13.14)

fne (phone)

fine (3.52), phone (5.13), funny (6.26), fined (6.51), fines (6.72)

Intuition behind the NC model

T: 2day I lost my fine

Generate candidates:

- *S1: today I lost my fine*
- *S2: today I lost my phone*
- *S3: stay I lost my fine*
- *S4: stay I lost my phone*

Compute and rank by
 $P(T|S)P(S)$

TL token (SL token)

Decoder output ($-\log(P(w|t))$)

2day (today)

today (3.02), stay (11.46), away (13.13), play (13.14), clay (13.14)

fne (phone)

fine (3.52), phone (5.13), funny (6.26), fined (6.51), fines (6.72)

Some commonly made assumptions

$$S^* = \operatorname{argmax} P(T|S)P(S)$$

$$= \operatorname{argmax} [\prod_{i=1}^n P(t_i | s_i)] P(S)$$

$$= \operatorname{argmax} [\prod_{i=1}^n P(t_i | s_i)] [\prod_{i=1}^n P(s_i | s_{i-1} s_{i-2} s_{i-3} \dots)]$$

$$= \operatorname{argmax} \prod_{i=1}^n [P(t_i | s_i) P(s_i | s_{i-1} s_{i-2} s_{i-3} \dots)]$$

$$= \operatorname{argmax} \prod_{i=1}^n [P(t_i | s_i) P(s_i | s_{i-1} s_{i-2})]$$

Word-by-word normalization

Word choice depends only on the history

Markov assumption: limited history



Noisy Channel Model is extensively used in

- Speech Recognition
 - Machine Translation
 - Transliteration
 - Paraphrasing
 - Parts-of-speech Tagging
- (and a variety of sequence labeling problems)

What will we learn?

- Noisy-channel approach to normalization
- **Language Modeling**
- Channel Modeling

N-gram Language Model

$$S^* = \operatorname{argmax} \prod_{i=1}^n [P(t_i | s_i) P(s_i | s_{i-1} s_{i-2})]$$

your ?? (bigram)

at your ?? (trigram)

task at your ?? (4-gram)

task at your ?? convenience

Please finish this task at your earliest convenience

How do you learn the n-gram probabilities?

Estimating N-gram Probabilities

$$S^* = \operatorname{argmax} \prod_{i=1}^n [P(t_i | s_i) P(s_i | s_{i-1} s_{i-2})]$$

- From text corpus (of S) that closely resembles the language usage of the application domain.
- Larger the N , better the LM, provided we have an appropriately large corpus to estimate the probabilities.
- Estimating probabilities for unseen N-grams:
 - Fallback to lower N-grams, till unigram.
 - Smoothing

Smoothing

$$S^* = \operatorname{argmax} \prod_{i=1}^n [P(t_i | s_i) P(s_i | s_{i-1} s_{i-2})]$$

- Zero probabilities are dangerous
- **Smoothing** is the process of estimating the probabilities of unseen events, based on the distribution of seen events. It eliminates zero probabilities.

$$P(s_i | s_{i-1} s_{i-2}) = \frac{\operatorname{count}(s_{i-2} s_{i-1} s_i)}{\operatorname{count}(s_{i-2} s_{i-1})}$$

d is the number of unique trigrams seen that begin with $s_{i-2} s_{i-1}$

This is called Add-one Smoothing (and more generally Additive Smoothing)

Evaluating Language Models

- **Task completion:** Good models yield good performance for the end-application
 - Speech Recognition
 - Machine Translation
 - Dialogue Modeling
 - Text Prediction, etc.
- **Perplexity:** A measure of how well a LM learnt from the training corpus C1 is able to predict the distribution of the words (or n-grams) observed in the test corpus C2.

Perplexity of Language Model

- Entropy of a probability distribution:

$$H(p) = \sum_{\substack{\text{all events} \\ \text{in } p}} -p_i \log_2(p_i)$$

- Perplexity of a distribution:

$$Perp(p) = 2^{H(p)}$$

- Perplexity of LM (or probability model):

$$Perp(p, q) = 2^{-\sum_{\text{all } i} p_i \log(q_i)}$$

Estimated from
Test Data

Estimated from
Training data (LM)

Higher the entropy or
perplexity, higher the
unpredictability

Procuring Corpora for LM

$$S^* = \operatorname{argmax} \prod_{i=1}^n [P(t_i | s_i) P(s_i | s_{i-1} s_{i-2})]$$

- LM should be estimated from text corpus (of S) that closely resembles the language usage of the application domain.

How to get Standard Language Corpora corresponding to the Social Media Domain?

- Create by Manual normalization: requires time and effort
- Scrape from Social Media: no human annotation, but non-trivial
- Domain Adaptation: Interpolate between a domain specific and standard language domain.

What will we learn?

- Noisy-channel approach to normalization
- Language Modeling
- **Channel Modeling**

The Channel Model

$$S^* = \operatorname{argmax} \prod_{i=1}^n [P(t_i | s_i) P(s_i | s_{i-1} s_{i-2})]$$

$$P(t|s) = \prod_{j=1}^k P(\tau_j | \sigma_j),$$

where $t = \tau_1 \tau_2 \dots \tau_k$, $s = \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \dots \sigma_k$

- Problem: The characters of t and s may not have one-to-one correspondence.
- Solution: τ and σ could be defined as group of letters, rather than single letters
- How do you discover and split the words into meaningful segments of letters (aka character n-grams)?

Let's work out *tomorrow*

t	$\tau_1 \tau_2 \dots \tau_k$	$\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \dots \sigma_k$	t	$\tau_1 \tau_2 \dots \tau_k$	$\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \dots \sigma_k$
2moro			tomm		
tomoz			tomo		
tomoro			tomorow		
tomrw			2mro		
tom			morrow		
tomra			tomor		
tomorrow			tmorro		
tomora			moro		

Let's work out *tomorrow*

t	$\tau_1 \tau_2 \dots \tau_k$	$\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \dots \sigma_k$	t	$\tau_1 \tau_2 \dots \tau_k$	$\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \dots \sigma_k$
2moro	2 m o r o	to m o rr ow	tomm	t o mm $\$$	t o m orow
tomoz	t o m o z	t o m o rrow	tomo	t o m o $\$$	t o m o rrow
tomoro	t o m o r o	t o m o rr ow	tomorow	tomorow	tomorrow
tomrw	t o m r w	t o mo rro w	2mro	2 m r o	to mo rr ow
tom	t o m $\$$	t o m orow	morrow	$\$$ m o r r o w	to m o r r o w
tomra	t o m r a	t o mo rr ow	tomor	t o m o r	t o m o rrow
tomorrow	tomorrow	tomorrow	tmorro	t m o r r o	to m o r r ow
tomora	t o m o r a	t o m o rr ow	moro	$\$$ m o r o	to m o rr ow

Compute $P(\tau_j = "o" | \sigma_j = "ow")$

t	$\tau_1 \tau_2 \dots \tau_k$	$\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \dots \sigma_k$	t	$\tau_1 \tau_2 \dots \tau_k$	$\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \dots \sigma_k$
2moro	2 m o r o	to m o rr ow	tomm	t o mm \$	t o m orow
tomoz	t o m o z	t o m o rrow	tomo	t o m o \$	t o m o rrow
tomoro	t o m o r o	t o m o rr ow	tomorow	tomorow	tomorrow
tomrw	t o m r w	t o mo rro w	2mro	2 m r o	to mo rr ow
tom	t o m \$	t o m orow	morrow	\$(m o r r o w	to m o r r o w
tomra	t o m r a	t o mo rr ow	tomor	t o m o r	t o m o rrow
tomorrow	tomorrow	tomorrow	tmorro	t m o r r o	to m o r r ow
tomora	t o m o r a	t o m o rr ow	moro	\$(m o r o	to m o rr ow

Compute $P(\tau_j = "o" | \sigma_j = "ow")$

t	$\tau_1 \tau_2 \dots \tau_k$	$\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \dots \sigma_k$	t	$\tau_1 \tau_2 \dots \tau_k$	$\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \dots \sigma_k$
2moro	2 m o r o	to m o rr ow	tomm	t o mm \$	t o m orrow
tomoz	t o m o z	t o m o rrow	tomo	t o m o \$	t o m o rrow
tomoro	t o m o r o	t o m o rr ow	tomorrow	tomorrow	tomorrow
tomrw	t o m r w	t o mo rro w	2mro	2 m r o	to mo rr ow
tom	t o m \$	t o m orrow	morrow	\$(m o r r o w	to m o r r o w
tomra	t o m r a	t o mo rr ow	tomor	t o m o r	t o m o rrow
tomorrow	tomorrow	tomorrow	tmorro	t m o r r o	to m o r r ow
tomora	t o m o r a	t o m o rr ow	moro	\$(m o r o	to m o rr ow

Estimating the channel model

$$S^* = \operatorname{argmax} \prod_{i=1}^n [P(t_i | s_i) P(s_i | s_{i-1} s_{i-2})]$$

Bayesian Approach:

$$P(t|s) = \sum_{\substack{\text{all possible} \\ \text{segmentations}}} \prod_{j=1}^k P(\tau_j | \sigma_j)$$

Maximum Likelihood/frequentist Approach:

$$P(t|s) = \max_{\substack{\text{all possible} \\ \text{segmentations}}} \prod_{j=1}^k P(\tau_j | \sigma_j)$$

Depending on which approach one chooses, one can accordingly estimate the probabilities from the data.

Data for Learning the Channel Model

- A corpus of Social Media text, and the corresponding standard language forms (normalized forms)
 - It is sufficient to have only word pairs (with frequency of occurrence).
- Corpus creation
 - Is usually a manual effort
 - Either controlled (more expensive but accurate) or crowdsourcing (cheap and fast, but noisy)
 - Can you scrape $\langle t,s \rangle$ pairs from social media text?
- Can you estimate the channel model without any word-pair data?

Different Avatars of the Noisy Channel Model

- Hidden-Markov Models to combine linguistic information with the NC model [Choudhury et al., 2007]
- Conditional Random Fields [Liu et al., 2012]

Handling data scarcity:

- Semi-supervised and unsupervised techniques
- Automatic extraction of training data [Hassan & Menzes, 2014]

Summary

- The translation metaphor, modeled as the **Noisy Channel**, provides a useful and potent approach for normalization.

$$S^* = \operatorname{argmax} \prod_{i=1}^n [P(t_i | s_i) P(s_i | s_{i-1} s_{i-2})]$$

- **Language Model** predicts the probability of a sequence of words (or other linguistic units) and can be estimated and interpolated from appropriate datasets.
- Word n-gram language models are simple, yet very useful.
- There are several ways to model and learn the **channel characteristics**: character n-gram based, HMMs, CRFs, etc.

Suggested Readings & References

For Language Modeling:

Daniel Jurafsky and James H. Martin. *Speech and Language Processing: An Introduction to Natural Language Processing, Computational Linguistics, and Speech Recognition*. 2009
<http://www.cs.colorado.edu/~martin/slp.html> (Ch 4: N-grams)

For Noisy Channel Model based Normalization:

AiTi Aw , Min Zhang , Juan Xiao and Jian Su. "A phrase-based statistical model for SMS text normalization", *COLING/ACL* 2006

Choudhury, Monojit, et al. "Investigation and modeling of the structure of texting language." *International Journal of Document Analysis and Recognition (IJ DAR)* 10.3-4 (2007): 157-174.

Kaufmann, Max, and Jugal Kalita. "Syntactic normalization of twitter messages." *ICON*, 2010.

Liu, Fei, Fuliang Weng, and Xiao Jiang. "A broad-coverage normalization system for social media language." *ACL* 2012.