# **Deadlock-free Packet Switching**

#### **CS60002: Distributed Systems**



Pallab Dasgupta Dept. of Computer Sc. & Engg., Indian Institute of Technology Kharagpur



#### **Store and forward deadlock**



#### Buffer-size = 5

Node *s* sending 5 packets to *v* through *t* Node *v* sending 5 packets to *s* through *u* 



#### Model

- The network is a graph G = (V, E)
- Each node has **B** buffers

#### Moves:

- Generation. A node *u* creates a new packet *p* and places it in an empty buffer in *u*. Node *u* is the source of *p*.
- Forwarding. A packet *p* is forwarded from a node *u* to an empty buffer in the next node *w* on its route.
- **Consumption.** A packet *p* occupying a buffer in its destination node is removed from the buffer.

## **Requirements**

The packet switching controller has the following requirements:

- 1. The consumption of a packet (at its destination) is always allowed.
- 2. The generation of a packet in a node where all buffers are empty is always allowed.
- 3. The controller uses only local information, that is, whether a packet can be accepted in a node *u* depends only on information known to *u* or contained in the packet

## **Solutions**

#### Structured solutions

- Buffer-graph based schemes
  - The destination scheme
  - The hops-so-far scheme
  - Acyclic orientation based scheme

#### Unstructured solutions

- Forward count and backward count schemes
- Forward state and backward state schemes

### **Buffer Graph**

- A buffer graph (for, *G*, *B*) is a directed graph *BG* on the buffers of the network, such that
  - 1. BG is acyclic (contains no directed cycle);
  - 2. *bc* is an edge of *BG* if *b* and *c* are buffers in the same node, or buffers in two nodes connected by a channel in G; and
  - 3. for each path  $\pi \in P$  there exists a path in *BG* whose image is  $\pi$ .
    - P is the collection of all paths followed by the packets this collection is determined by the routing algorithm.

## Suitable buffer and guaranteed path

Let *p* be a packet in node *u* with destination *v*.

- A buffer b in u is suitable for p if there is a path in BG from
  b to a buffer c in v, whose image is a path that p can follow
  in G.
- One such path in BG will be designated as the guaranteed path and nb(p, b) denotes the next buffer on the guaranteed path.
- For each newly generated packet p in u there exists a designated suitable buffer, fb(p) in u.

#### The buffer-graph controller

- 1. The generation of a packet *p* in *u* is allowed iff the buffer *fb*(*p*) is free. If the packet is generated it is placed in this buffer.
- 2. The forwarding of a packet *p* from a buffer in *u* to a buffer in *w* is allowed iff *nb(p, b)* (in *w*) is free. If the forwarding takes place *p* is placed in *nb(p, b)*.

The buffer-graph controller is a deadlock-free controller.

### **The Destination Scheme**

- Uses *N* buffers in each node *u*, with a buffer *b<sub>u</sub>*[*v*] for each possible destination *v* 
  - It is assumed that the routing algorithm forwards all packets with destination v via a directed tree  $T_v$  rooted towards v.

The buffer graph is defined by BG = (B, E), where  $b_u[v_1]b_w[v_2] \in E$  iff  $v_1 = v_2$  and uw is an edge of  $T_{v_1}$ .

There exists a deadlock-free controller for arbitrary connected networks that uses N buffers in each node and allows packets to be routed via arbitrarily chosen sink trees

#### **The Hops-so-far Scheme**

- Node *u* contains k + 1 buffers  $b_u[0], ..., b_u[k]$ .
- It is assumed that each packet contains a hop-count indicating how many hops the packet has made from its source

The buffer graph is defined by BG = (B, E), where  $b_u[i]b_w[j] \in E$  iff i + 1 = j and *uw* is an edge of the network.

There exists a deadlock-free controller for arbitrary connected networks that uses D+1 buffers in each node (where D is the diameter of the network), and requires packets to be sent via minimum-hop paths.

## **Acyclic Orientation based Scheme**

**Goal**: To use only a few buffers per node

- An acyclic orientation of G is a directed acyclic graph obtained by directing all edges of G
- A sequence  $G_{\eta}, ..., G_{B}$  of acyclic orientations of G is an *acyclic* orientation cover of size B for the collection P of paths if each path  $\pi \in P$  can be written as a concatenation of B paths  $\pi_{\eta}, ..., \pi_{B}$ , where  $\pi_{l}$  is a path in  $G_{i}$ .
  - A packet is always generated in node u in buffer  $b_u$ [1]
  - A packet in buffer  $b_u[i]$  that must be forwarded to node *w* is placed in buffer  $b_w[i]$  if the edge between *u* and *w* is directed towards *w* in  $G_i$ , and to  $b_w[i + 1]$  if the edge is directed towards *u* in  $G_i$ .

If an acyclic orientation cover for P of size B exists, then there exists a deadlock-free controller using only B buffers in each node.

### **Forward and Backward-count Controllers**

Forward-count Controller:

- For a packet p, let s<sub>p</sub> be the number of hops it still has to make to its destination (0 ≤ s<sub>p</sub> ≤ k)
- For a node u,  $f_u$  denotes the number of free buffers in u ( $0 \le f_u \le B$ )

The controller accepts a packet *p* in node *u* iff  $s_p < f_u$ .

If B > k then the above controller is a deadlock-free controller

#### **Backward-count Controller:**

For a packet p, let t<sub>p</sub> be the number of hops it has made from its source

The controller accepts a packet p in node u iff  $t_p > k - f_u$ .



### **Forward and Backward-state Controllers**

Forward-state Controller:

• For a node *u* define (as a function of the state of *u*) the state vector as  $(j_0, ..., j_k)$ , where  $j_s$  is the number of packets *p* in *u* with  $s_p = s$ .

The controller accepts a packet p in node u with state  $(j_0, ..., j_k)$  iff:

$$\forall i, 0 \leq i \leq s_p : i < B - \sum_{s=i}^k j_s$$

*If B > k then the above controller is a deadlock-free controller* 

#### **Backward-state Controller:**

Define the state vector as (*i*<sub>0</sub>, ..., *i*<sub>k</sub>), where *i*<sub>t</sub> is the number of packets in node *u* that have made *t* hops.

The controller accepts a packet p in node u with state  $(i_0, ..., i_k)$  iff:

$$\forall j, t_{p} \leq j \leq k : j > \sum_{t=0}^{j} i_{t} - B + k$$

# Forward-state versus Forward-count

- Forward-state controller is more liberal than the forward-count controller
- Every move allowed by the forward-count controller is also allowed by the forward-state controller