

 $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ 



2





4



Lect 5 Goutam Biswas

2-Qubit Unitary Transformation

$$
X \otimes Y = \left[ \begin{array}{cccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & 0 & i & 0 \\ 0 & -i & 0 & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]
$$

 $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ The effect of  $X \otimes Y$  on a two qubit state  $|pq\rangle$ is same as  $|(Xp) \otimes (Yq)\rangle$ . We consider an example where  $p = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $q=\left[\begin{smallmatrix} &1\ &0& \end{smallmatrix}\right]$  .

Lect 5 Goutam Biswas

 $\int$ 



 $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ Negation of the 1st qubit and Y on the second qubit. This is same as -

#### 2-Qubit Unitary Transformation

$$
(X \otimes Y) |10\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & 0 & i & 0 \\ 0 & -i & 0 & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}
$$

$$
= \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ i \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.
$$

 $\int$ 

 $\overline{\phantom{0}}$ 





- This type of transformations do not create any new dependency (entanglement) of qubits.
- $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ • But there are 2-qubit transformations that cannot be expressed as <sup>a</sup> tensor product of two 1-qubit transformations.

 $\overline{\phantom{0}}$ 

# CNOT Gate

One of the most important of such transformations is CNOT. We have already shown (Boolean) that it cannot be expressed as <sup>a</sup> tensor product of two 1-qubit transformations.

$$
\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} p & q \\ r & s \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} ap & aq & bp & bq \\ ar & as & br & bs \\ cp & cq & dp & dq \\ cr & cs & dr & ds \end{bmatrix} \neq \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}
$$

 $d = 0$ , then  $dq = 0$  - not possible. If  $p = 0$ , then  $ap = 0$  - also not possible; so a contradiction.  $dp = 0$  implies that either  $d = 0$  or  $p = 0$ . If  $ap = 0$  - also not possible; so a contradiction.

11







- We have seen that the effect of  $X \otimes Y$  on a pair of qubits is an application of  $X$  on the first qubit and an application of  $Y$  on the second qubit. One action does not influence the other.
- $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ • On the other hand in case of CNOT, the first qubit influences the action on the second qubit - it is either identity or NOT.

 $\overline{\phantom{0}}$ 



\n- \n If 
$$
p = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix}
$$
, the new state comes from\n  $\begin{bmatrix} ac \\ ad \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ , and no change in the order of *c*, *d*.\n
\n- \n If  $p = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ , the new state comes from\n  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ bd \\ bc \end{bmatrix}$ , and the order of *c*, *d* are reversed.\n
\n

## CNOT versus  $X \otimes Y$

Consider the state  $|x\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle + |10\rangle).$ 

- $(X \otimes Y) \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\vert 00 \rangle + \vert 10 \rangle) \right) = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} (\vert 11 \rangle + \vert 01 \rangle).$
- CNOT  $|x\rangle = CNOT \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle + |10\rangle)\right) =$  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle + |11\rangle).$

**St**  $\int$ The initial state was not entangled. The state after the transformation  $X \otimes Y$  is also not entangled, but CNOT creates an entangled state.

#### CNOT versus  $X \otimes Y$

If we start with  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle + |11\rangle)$ , we get

- $(X \otimes Y) \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\vert 00 \rangle + \vert 11 \rangle) \right) = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} (- \vert 00 \rangle + \vert 11 \rangle).$
- $CNOT(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle + |11\rangle) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle + |10\rangle)$

The input state was entangled. The entanglement remains after the transformation  $X \otimes Y$ , but it is not there after CNOT.

 $\overline{\phantom{0}}$ 

 $\int$ 







20

 $\overline{\phantom{0}}$ 

 $\int$ 

Quantum Computing







23

# CNOT and Basis

- The 2-qubit CNOT gate behaves very similar to 2-bit Boolean gate, where the control bit remains unchanged and the other bit flips when the control bit is  $|1\rangle$ . This happens when the input state is in standard basis.
- $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ • But if the input state is not in standard basis, CNOT behaves differently.

 $\overline{\phantom{0}}$ 

#### CNOT On Hadamard Basis

The bases of <sup>a</sup> 2-qubit state space in Hadamard basis is  $\{|++\rangle, |+-\rangle, |-+\rangle, |--\rangle\}$ , where  $|+\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle + |1\rangle)$ and  $|-\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle - |1\rangle).$ 

$$
|++\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle + |1\rangle) \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle + |1\rangle)
$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{2}\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{2}\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$ 

 $\int$ 

25





$$
CNOT|++\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = |++\rangle.
$$

Similarly,

 $CNOT \ket{+-} = \ket{--}, \, CNOT \ket{-+} = \ket{-+}, \, CNOT \ket{--} = \ket{+-}.$ 

 $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$  $\int$ The second qubit remains unchanged, the first qubit flips when the second one is  $|-\rangle$ .



Alice can transmit two classical bits of information to Bob by sending only one qubit.

- Initially, Alice has the first qubit and Bob has the second qubit of an entangled pair of qubits -  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle + |11\rangle).$
- $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ • Alice (Bob) can only transform her (his) qubit.

 $\overline{\phantom{0}}$ 

#### Superdense Coding: An Application

 $\bigg($ Alice encodes her classical bit pairs 00, 01, 10, 11 as follows and sends to Bob.  $00 \mapsto (I \otimes I) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle + |11\rangle) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle + |11\rangle),$  $01 \mapsto (X \otimes I) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|00\rangle + |11\rangle) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|10\rangle + |01\rangle),$  $10 \mapsto (Z \otimes I) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|00\rangle + |11\rangle) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|00\rangle - |11\rangle),$  $11 \mapsto (iY \otimes I) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|00\rangle + |11\rangle) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (-|10\rangle + |01\rangle).$ Note that the second qubit is not touched. These transformations do not affect the entanglement.

 $\overline{\phantom{0}}$ 

### Superdense Coding: An Application

After receiving the first qubit from Alice, Bob performs the following transformation on the entangled qubit pairs.

1. Applies CNOT that transformations the pair as follows:

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle + |11\rangle) \mapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle + |10\rangle),
$$
  
\n
$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|10\rangle + |01\rangle) \mapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|11\rangle + |01\rangle),
$$
  
\n
$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle - |11\rangle) \mapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle + |10\rangle),
$$
  
\n
$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(-|10\rangle + |01\rangle) \mapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(-|11\rangle + |01\rangle).
$$

 $\int$ 



2. Applies 
$$
H \otimes I
$$
:  
\n
$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle + |10\rangle) \mapsto |00\rangle,
$$
\n
$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|11\rangle + |01\rangle) \mapsto |01\rangle,
$$
\n
$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle - |10\rangle) \mapsto |10\rangle,
$$
\n
$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(-|11\rangle + |01\rangle) \mapsto |11\rangle.
$$

 $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  $\int$ 3. Bob measures the pair and recovers the two classical bits.

## Superdense Coding: An Application

- The four qubit states produced by Alice are the orthonormal Bell basis -  $\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle + |11\rangle),\}$  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|10\rangle+|01\rangle),\,\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle-|11\rangle),$  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(-\ket{10}+\ket{01})\}.$
- $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ • So Bob can perform suitable measurement and identify them directly.

 $\int$ 



- Two qubits are involved, but Alice does not use the other qubit.
- Any third party may supply the entangled qubits to Alice and Bob.

 $\overline{\phantom{0}}$ 

 $\int$ 

#### Teleportation: An Application

Teleportation in <sup>a</sup> sense is reverse of superdense coding. Alice has <sup>a</sup> qubit in some unknown state  $|x\rangle = a |0\rangle + b |1\rangle$ , where  $|a|^2 + |b|^2 = 1$ . She wishes to transmit the state information to Bob using two Boolean bits through <sup>a</sup> classical channel, so that Bob can reconstruct the qubit.

 $\overline{\phantom{0}}$ 

 $\int$ 

#### Teleportation: An Application

- To start with, the First qubit of an entangled pair  $|y\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle + |11\rangle)$  is with Alice and the second qubit is with Bob.
- Alice starts with the 3-qubit state

$$
|x\rangle \otimes |y\rangle = (a|0\rangle + b|1\rangle) \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle + |11\rangle)
$$
  
= 
$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(a|000\rangle + a|011\rangle + b|100\rangle + b|111\rangle)
$$

 $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$ • She can transform the first two qubits and Bob can transform the third qubit.





36


#### Teleportation: An Application

- 3. Alice measures the first two qubits in standard basis. The outcomes of measurement are  $|00\rangle$ ,  $|01\rangle$ ,  $|10\rangle$ , or  $|11\rangle$ with equal probability.
- $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ 4. Alice transmits two Boolean bits 00, 01, 10, 11 to Bob on classical channel, depending on the outcome of previous measurement.

 $\int$ 

## Teleportation: An Application

As <sup>a</sup> result of Alice's measurement, the projected state of the third qubit of Bob is  $(a |0\rangle + b |1\rangle), (a |1\rangle + b |0\rangle), (a |0\rangle - b |1\rangle),$  or  $(a |1\rangle - b |0\rangle).$ 

 $\overline{\phantom{0}}$ 

 $\int$ 

#### Teleportation: An Application

1. Bob receives the pair of bits and applies the following transformations on his qubit to bring it to the state of Alice's unknown qubit.



#### Controlled-U Transformation

- For every 1-qubit unitary transformation  $U$ , it is possible to implement <sup>a</sup> 2-qubit, controlled-U transformation,  $U^c$ , using CNOT gates and single qubit gates.
- $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ • We know that any single-qubit unitary transformation U can be decomposed as  $e^{i\alpha}AXBXC$ , where  $ABC = I$ .

41

 $\overline{\phantom{0}}$ 

Lect 5 Goutam Biswas

 $\int$ 





Observe that

$$
e^{i\pi/2} R_z(0) R_y(\pi/2) R_z(\pi)
$$
  
=  $i \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \cos \pi/4 & -\sin \pi/4 \\ \sin \pi/4 & \cos \pi/4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e^{-i\pi/2} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\pi/2} \end{bmatrix}$   
=  $i \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -i & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{bmatrix}$   
=  $i \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} -i & -i \\ -i & i \end{bmatrix} = H.$ 

43

#### Hadamard Gate an Example

So we have 
$$
H = e^{i\pi/2} AXBXC
$$
, where

$$
A = R_y(\pi/4), \nB = R_y(-\pi/4)R_z(-\pi/2), \nC = R_z(\pi/2),
$$

such that  $ABC = I$ .

Lect 5 Goutam Biswas

 $\int$ 

44

#### Controlled-Phase Shift

If  $U = e^{i\alpha} A X B X C$ , where  $ABC = I$ , then in  $U^c$  the first operation is controlled phase shift,  $(e^{i\alpha})^c$ .



#### C-Phase-shift

 $\sqrt{\frac{10}{a}}$  $|00\rangle \mapsto |00\rangle, |01\rangle \mapsto |01\rangle, |10\rangle \mapsto |1\rangle \otimes e^{i\alpha} |0\rangle,$ and  $|11\rangle \mapsto |1\rangle \otimes e^{i\alpha} |1\rangle.$ 

 $\int$ 

#### Controlled-Phase Shift

- We observe that  $|1\rangle \otimes e^{i\alpha} |x\rangle = e^{i\alpha} |1\rangle \otimes |x\rangle$ , where  $x \in \{0,1\}$ .
- $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ • We need a 1-qubit transform  $U_1$  so that  $U_1 |0x\rangle = |0x\rangle$  and  $U_1 |1x\rangle = e^{i\alpha} |1\rangle \otimes |x\rangle$ . So  $(e^{i\alpha})^c$  is implemented as  $U_1 \otimes I$ , where  $U_1 = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\alpha} \end{vmatrix} = e^{i\alpha/2} IR_z(\alpha).$

 $\overline{\phantom{0}}$ 

 $\int$ 



### Controlled-U Transformation

- If the control bit is  $|1\rangle$ , the state of the data bit is  $U d = (e^{i\alpha} A X B X C) d$ .
- If the control bit is  $|0\rangle$ , the state of the data bit is  $Id = (ABC)d$ .
- The circuit is as follows:

 $\overline{\phantom{0}}$ 

 $\int$ 



## Controlled-H Transformation:  $H^c$

As we know  $H = e^{i\pi/2}R_z(0)R_y(\pi/2)R_z(\pi) = e^{i\pi/2}AXBXC.$ So we can construct controlled-H  $(H<sup>c</sup>)$  gate.

 $\int$ 

# Multi-qubit Control

- We can generalise the single-control 2-qubit unitary transformation to multiply-controlled multi-qubit unitary transformation.
- $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  $\int$ • We have seen 3-bit reversible Boolean gates e.g. Toffoli gate and Fredkin gate, with two control-bits.

#### Multi-qubit Control

- Let  $U$  be a k-qubit unitary operator and there are *n*-control qubits.
- So we have a  $(n + k)$ -qubits unitary operator  $C^n(U)$  controlled by *n*-qubits.

$$
C^{n}(U) |x_{n+k-1} \cdots x_k\rangle |x_{k-1} \cdots x_0\rangle
$$
  
=  $|x_{n+k-1} \cdots x_k\rangle U^{x_{n+k-1} \cdots x_k} |x_{k-1} \cdots x_0\rangle$ ,  
*U* is applied on  $|x_{k-1} \cdots x_0\rangle$  if  
 $x_{n+k-1} = \cdots = x_k = 1$ .



### Multi-qubit Control

- We shall consider  $k = 1$  and  $n \geq 1$ .
- The circuit for  $n = 1$  can be used for  $n = 2$ by replacing the 1-qubit gates  $A, B, C$  and  $U_1$  by the corresponding control gates.

 $\overline{\phantom{0}}$ 

 $\int$ 





Each single-qubit control gate require two CNOT and four single-qubit unitary gates. So all together the requirement is  $4^2 = 16$ . single-qubit gates and  $2 + 2 \cdot 4 = 10$ , CNOT gates.

 $\int$ 

56



# $C^{k}(U)$  Gate count

The number of 1-qubit gates are  $4^k$  and the number of CNOT gates are  $2+2\cdot 4+\cdots+2\cdot 4^{k-1}=\frac{2}{3}(4^k-1).$ 

 $\overline{\phantom{0}}$ 

 $\int$ 

 $C^2(U)$  where  $U = V^2$ 

If the 1-qubit unitary operator  $U = V^2$  where V is also unitary, then

 $C^2(U) = (SWAP \otimes I)(I \otimes V^c)(SWAP \otimes I)(X^c \otimes I)$  $(I \otimes (V^{\dagger})^c)(X^c \otimes I)(I \otimes V^c)$ 

This scheme uses  $3 \times 4 = 12$  single-qubit gates and  $3 \times 2 + 2 = 8$  CNOT gates.

 $\overline{\phantom{0}}$ 

 $\int$ 



$$
C^2(U) \text{ where } U = V^2
$$

We apply the given sequence of transformations on  $|00d\rangle$ ,  $|01d\rangle$ ,  $|10d\rangle$  and  $|11d\rangle$ , where  $d \in \{0, 1\}.$ 

- 0.  $|00d\rangle \stackrel{I\otimes V^c}{\rightarrow} |00d\rangle \stackrel{X^c\otimes I}{\rightarrow} |00d\rangle \stackrel{I\otimes (V^{\dagger})^c}{\rightarrow} |00d\rangle \stackrel{X^c\otimes I}{\rightarrow} |00d\rangle$  $\overset{SWAP \otimes I}{\rightarrow} |00d\rangle \overset{I \otimes V^c}{\rightarrow} |00d\rangle \overset{SWAP \otimes I}{\rightarrow} |00d\rangle$
- $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  $\int$ 1.  $|01d\rangle \stackrel{I\otimes V^c}{\rightarrow} |01\rangle V |d\rangle \stackrel{X^c\otimes I}{\rightarrow} |01\rangle V |d\rangle \stackrel{I\otimes (V^{\dagger})^c}{\rightarrow} |01\rangle V^{\dagger}V |d\rangle$  $\mathcal{L} = |01d\rangle \stackrel{X^c \otimes I}{\rightarrow} |01d\rangle \stackrel{SWAP \otimes I}{\rightarrow} |10d\rangle \stackrel{I \otimes V^c}{\rightarrow} |10d\rangle \stackrel{SWAP \otimes I}{\rightarrow} |01d\rangle$



2. 
$$
|10d\rangle \stackrel{I \otimes V^c}{\rightarrow} |10d\rangle \stackrel{X^c \otimes I}{\rightarrow} |11d\rangle \stackrel{I \otimes (V^{\dagger})^c}{\rightarrow} |11\rangle V^{\dagger} |d\rangle \stackrel{X^c \otimes I}{\rightarrow} |10\rangle V^{\dagger} |d\rangle \stackrel{SWAP \otimes I}{\rightarrow} |01\rangle V^{\dagger} |d\rangle \stackrel{I \otimes V^c}{\rightarrow} |01\rangle VV^{\dagger} |d\rangle = |01d\rangle \stackrel{SWAP \otimes I}{\rightarrow} |10d\rangle
$$

3. 
$$
|11d\rangle \stackrel{I \otimes V^c}{\longrightarrow} |11\rangle V |d\rangle \stackrel{X^c \otimes I}{\longrightarrow} |10\rangle V |d\rangle \stackrel{I \otimes (V^{\dagger})^c}{\longrightarrow} |10\rangle V |d\rangle
$$
  
\n $\stackrel{X^c \otimes I}{\longrightarrow} |11\rangle V |d\rangle \stackrel{SWAP \otimes I}{\longrightarrow} |11\rangle V |d\rangle \stackrel{I \otimes V^c}{\longrightarrow} |11\rangle V V |d\rangle =$   
\n $|11\rangle U |d\rangle \stackrel{SWAP \otimes I}{\longrightarrow} |11\rangle U |d\rangle$ 

 $\overline{\phantom{0}}$ 

 $\int$ 



An important 2-qubit gate is <sup>a</sup> SWAP gate. Its transition matrix is

 $\int$ 

 $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ 





65



The following gate is known as  $\sqrt{NOT}$  such that  $\sqrt{NOT} \cdot \sqrt{NOT} = NOT$ .

$$
\sqrt{NOT} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1+i & 1-i \\ 1-i & 1+i \end{bmatrix}.
$$

This gate can be used to implement CCNOT or Toffoli gate.

 $\overline{\phantom{0}}$ 

 $\int$ 



67



Note that in Boolean logic, <sup>a</sup> Toffoli gate cannot be constructed using one-bit or two-bit gates. But <sup>a</sup> quantum Toffoli gate can be constructed using 2-qubit gates.

 $\int$ 

68





≡





#### FREDKIN or Controlled-SWAP

 $\int$ 



#### Quantum Computing



72
## Fredkin Gate using CNOT and CCNOT

$$
|c_1a_1b_1\rangle = |c, a, b \oplus a\rangle
$$
  
\n
$$
|c_2a_2b_2\rangle = |c, a \oplus c(b \oplus a), b \oplus a\rangle
$$
  
\n
$$
|c_3a_3b_3\rangle = |c, a \oplus c(b \oplus a), (b \oplus a) \oplus (a \oplus c(b \oplus a))\rangle.
$$

• 
$$
c = 0
$$
,  $|c_3a_3b_3\rangle = |0, a, b\rangle$ .  
\n•  $c = 1$ ,  $|c_3a_3b_3\rangle = |1, b, a\rangle$  as  $a \oplus (b \oplus a) = b$  and  
\n $(b \oplus a) \oplus (a \oplus (b \oplus a)) = a$ .

Lect 5 Goutam Biswas

 $\int$ 

 $\overline{\phantom{0}}$ 



- The CCNOT gate can be replaced by two CNOT, two  $\sqrt{NOT}$  and one  $\sqrt{NOT}$  gate.
- So the Fredkin gate can be implemented using seven 2-qubit gates.
- $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ • This was impossible in classical Boolean logic.

 $\overline{\phantom{0}}$ 

 $\int$ 

sum Computing  
\n
$$
H = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, S = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{bmatrix}, T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\pi/4} \end{bmatrix},
$$
\nand\n
$$
CNOT = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}
$$

any unitary transformation. These four gates can be used to approximate

 $\int$ 





 $|abc\rangle \stackrel{1}{\rightarrow} |ab\rangle H |c\rangle \stackrel{2}{\rightarrow} \cdots \stackrel{8}{\rightarrow} |ab\rangle X^a T^{\dagger} X^b T X^a T^{\dagger} X^b H |c\rangle,$ 

- $\rightarrow$  $\langle |a\rangle T^{\dagger} |b\rangle TX^a T^{\dagger}X^b TX^a T^{\dagger}X^b H |c\rangle$
- $\rightarrow$  $\ket{a} X^a T^{\dagger} \ket{b} HT X^a T^{\dagger} X^b T X^a T^{\dagger} X^b H \ket{c}$
- $\stackrel{11}{\rightarrow}$  $\ket{a} T^{\dagger} X^a T^{\dagger} \ket{b} HT X^a T^{\dagger} X^b T X^a T^{\dagger} X^b H \ket{c}$
- $\stackrel{12}{\rightarrow}$  $\ket{a} X^a T^{\dagger} X^a T^{\dagger} \ket{b} HT X^a T^{\dagger} X^b T X^a T^{\dagger} X^b H \ket{c}$
- $\stackrel{13}{\rightarrow}$   $T |a\rangle$   $SX^aT^{\dagger}X^aT^{\dagger} |b\rangle$   $HTX^aT^{\dagger}X^bTX^aT^{\dagger}X^bH |c\rangle$

77

 $\overline{\phantom{0}}$ 

 $\int$ 

 $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ 



- $a = 0$ :  $T |a\rangle = |0\rangle$ ,  $SX^aT^{\dagger}X^aT^{\dagger} |b\rangle = S(T^{\dagger})^2 |b\rangle = |b\rangle$ ,  $HT X^a T^{\dagger} X^b T X^a T^{\dagger} X^b H |c\rangle = |c\rangle.$ So,  $|0bc\rangle \stackrel{1...12}{\rightarrow} |0bc\rangle$ .
- $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  $\int$ •  $a = 1, b = 0$ :  $T |a\rangle = e^{i\pi/4} |1\rangle$ , if we take the phase-factor  $e^{i\pi/4}$  with the second term, we get  $e^{i\pi/4}SX^aT^{\dagger}X^aT^{\dagger}$   $|0\rangle = e^{i\pi/4}SXT^{\dagger}XT^{\dagger}$   $|0\rangle = |0\rangle$ .  $HT X^a T^{\dagger} X^b T X^a T^{\dagger} X^b H |c\rangle = HT X T^{\dagger} T X T^{\dagger} H |c\rangle =$  $|c\rangle$ . So,  $|10c\rangle \stackrel{1\cdots 12}{\rightarrow} |10c\rangle$ .

 $\overline{\phantom{0}}$ 

## CCNOT using  $H, S, T$  and CNOT

•  $a = 1 = b$ :  $T |a\rangle = e^{i\pi/4} |1\rangle$ , if we take the phase-factor  $e^{i\pi/4}$  with the second term, we get  $e^{i\pi/4}SX^aT^{\dagger}X^aT^{\dagger}1\rangle = e^{i\pi/4}SXT^{\dagger}XT^{\dagger}1\rangle = i|1\rangle.$ Transferring the <sup>p</sup>hase-factor i to the third qubit state we get,  $iHT X^a T^{\dagger} X^b T X^a T^{\dagger} X^b H |c\rangle =$  $iH(TXT^{\dagger}X)(TXT^{\dagger}X)H|c\rangle = iH(-iZ)H|c\rangle =$  $HZH |c\rangle = X |c\rangle.$ So,  $|11c\rangle \stackrel{1\cdots12}{\rightarrow} |11\overline{c}\rangle$ .

 $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$ • So the circuit behaves like a CCNOT gate.  $\int$ 

79



- The 1-qubit transformation  $U$  may be applied on the data-qubit when the control qubit is  $|0\rangle$ .
- The corresponding transformation matrix is

$$
U_{|0\rangle}^c=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}u_{11}&u_{12}&0&0\\u_{21}&u_{22}&0&0\\0&0&1&0\\0&0&0&1\end{array}\right]
$$

 $\int$ 

 $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ 

 $\overline{\phantom{0}}$ 



