

## School of Mathematical and Computational Sciences Indian Association for the Cultivation of Science

Master's/Integrated Master's-PhD Program/ Integrated Bachelor's-Master's Program/PhD Course Theory of Computation II: COM 5108

Quiz III (16 November 2023)

## Answer All Questions with Proper Justifications Marks: $6 \times 3 = 18$

- 1. What is the maximum possible number of configurations of an  $f(n) \ge \log n$  space bounded (work tape) TM, where n is the input length.
- 2. Let  $\phi(C_1, C_2, t)$  be a TQBF formula corresponding to a  $O(n^k)$  space bounded computation of a TM going from configuration  $C_1$  to configuration  $C_2$  in t steps. An intermediate configuration  $C_m$  is such that both  $C_1$  to  $C_m$  and  $C_m$  to  $C_2$  are reached in  $\frac{t}{2}$  steps. So we can write

 $\phi(C_1, C_2, t) = \exists C_m(\phi(C_1, C_m, t/2) \land \phi(C_m, C_2, t/2)).$ 

Explain why this scheme cannot give a polynomial size formula.

- 3. Let  $A = \{x \in \{0,1\}^*$ : number of 0's in x is twice the number of 1's in it}. Is  $A \in \mathbf{L}$ ?
- 4. We define the language

 $H_f = \{ \langle M, x \rangle: \text{ the DTM } M \text{ accepts the input } x \text{ in } f(|x|) \text{ steps} \}.$ 

We claim that  $H_f \notin \mathbf{DTIME}(f(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor))$ . We prove this by *diagonalization*. Suppose the TM  $M_f$  decides  $H_f$  within  $f(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor)$  number of steps. We construct the following TM  $D_f$  for diagonalization.

 $D_f$ : input:  $\langle M \rangle$ 

Simulate  $N_f$  on  $\langle M, M \rangle$ .

if  $N_f$  accepts, then reject else accept.

- (a) Find the running time of  $D_f$  on input of length  $n = |\langle M \rangle|$ .
- (b) Apply  $D_f$  on its own description  $< D_f >$  and give the argument for contradiction.
- 5.  $A_{cof} = \{ \langle M \rangle : \overline{L(M)} = \Sigma^* \setminus L(M) \text{ is finite} \} \in \Sigma_n^0$ . What is the least value of n? Give a definition of  $A_{cof}$  based on a recursive predicate.
- 6. People claim that  $\mathbf{PH} = \bigcup_{n \ge 0} \Sigma_n^p$  cannot have a complete language. If L is a **PH**-complete language it must belong to  $\Sigma_i^p$  for some *i*. Explain what can be the conclusion in that case?