

Reduction in Λ

Reduction in Arithmetic Expression

We know the following **arithmetic expressions** are **equivalent**.

$$0 + 5, 2 \times 2 + 1, 5, 1 + 1 + 3 \times 1, 1 \times 2 + 1 + 2 \times 1, \dots$$

But there is something special about **5**.

- Every other expression can be **reduced** to it,
- but **5** cannot be reduced to anything else.
- **5** is the **reduced (normal)** element of the class.

Reduction is a Binary Relation

- Let \mathcal{E} be the collection of **arithmetic expressions** over \mathbb{N} and $\{+, \times, (,)\}$.
- The **reduction** ' \rightarrow ' may be viewed as a **binary relation** on \mathcal{E} i.e. $\rightarrow \subseteq \mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{E}$.
- Following is an example of **reduction**, \rightarrow :

$$(1 \times 2 + 1 + 2 \times 1, 2 + 1 + 2) \in \rightarrow$$

We in practice write: $1 \times 2 + 1 + 2 \times 1 \rightarrow 2 + 1 + 2$
i.e. $1 \times 2 + 1 + 2 \times 1$ is **reduced** to $2 + 1 + 2$ in one step.

Reflexive and Transitive Binary Relations

- A **binary relation** R over a set A is called **reflexive** if,

$$(a, a) \in A, \text{ for all } a \in A.$$

- A **binary relation** R over a set A is called **transitive** if,

$$(a, b), (b, c) \in A \Rightarrow (a, c) \in A, \text{ for all } a, b, c \in A.$$

Reflexive-Transitive Closure of a Binary Relation

- Let R be a **binary relation** over the set A .
- The **reflexive-transitive closure** of R is also a **binary relation** R^* over A such that,
 - $R \subseteq R^*$,
 - R^* is both **reflexive** and **transitive**.
 - R^* is the **smallest relation** satisfying the first two conditions.

Reflexive-Transitive Closure of a Binary Relation

Given a **binary relation** R over A , the basic idea of its **reflexive-transitive closure** R^* are the following,

- For all $a \in A$, $(a, a) \in R^*$ - R^* is **reflexive**, and
- $(a, b) \in R^*$, if there are $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in A$, such that $a = a_1$ and $b = a_n$, $(a_i, a_{i+1}) \in R$, for all a_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$.

a is **R^* -related** to b , if either $a = b$ or through some finite number of stages they are **R -related**.

Reflexive-Transitive Closure of Reduction

We can talk about **reduction** in finite number of steps if we take the **reflexive-transitive closure** of the **reduction relation** ' \rightarrow ' over \mathcal{E} .

$$\begin{aligned}1 \times 2 + 1 + 2 \times 1 &\rightarrow 2 + 1 + 2 \times 1 \\ &\rightarrow 2 + 1 + 2 \\ &\rightarrow 3 + 2 \\ &\rightarrow 5\end{aligned}$$

We write $1 \times 2 + 1 + 2 \times 1 \rightarrow^* 5$.

β -Reduction in Λ

The β -reduction of λ -terms is defined as follows.

$$\beta = \{((\lambda x.u)v, u[x = v]) : u, v \in \Lambda\}$$

We write one-step β -reduction as

$$(\lambda x.u)v \rightarrow_{\beta} u[x = v]$$

- $(\lambda x.u)v$ is called a β -redex as we can perform β -reduction on it.
- $u[x = v]$ is called the β -contractum of the previous β -redex.

Example of β -Reduction

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\lambda x y. x(yx))(\lambda a. aa)(\lambda ab. a) \\
 \rightarrow_{\beta} & (\lambda y. (\lambda a. aa)(y(\lambda a. aa)))(\lambda ab. a) \\
 \rightarrow_{\beta} & (\lambda a. aa)((\lambda ab. a)(\lambda a. aa)) \\
 \rightarrow_{\beta} & (\lambda a. aa)(\lambda b. (\lambda a. aa)) \\
 \rightarrow_{\beta} & (\lambda b. (\lambda a. aa))(\lambda b. (\lambda a. aa)) \\
 \rightarrow_{\beta} & \lambda a. aa
 \end{aligned}$$

R-T Closure of β -Reduction

The **reflexive-transitive closure** of ' \rightarrow_{β} ' is ' \rightarrow_{β}^* ' and we write

$$(\lambda xy.x(yx))(\lambda a.aa)(\lambda ab.a) \rightarrow_{\beta}^* \lambda a.aa$$

β -Reduction in Λ

- A λ -term is in β -normal form if it does not contain any β -redex.
- Some λ -term cannot be reduced to a normal form.

$$\begin{aligned}(\lambda x.xx)(\lambda y.yy) &\rightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda y.yy)(\lambda y.yy) \\ &\rightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda y.yy)(\lambda y.yy) \\ &\rightarrow_{\beta} \dots\end{aligned}$$

Church-Rosser Property

If two λ -terms are equal, then they can be **reduced** (\rightarrow_{β}^*) to a common term (upto renaming variables - α -equivalence).

$$u = v \Rightarrow \exists w, u \rightarrow_{\beta}^* w \text{ and } v \rightarrow_{\beta}^* w$$

A term can have **at most one** β -normal form i.e. if the computation **terminates** it always gives the **same value**.

Another Computation : Previous Example

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\lambda \mathbf{x}y.\mathbf{x}(y\mathbf{x}))(\lambda \mathbf{a}.aa)(\lambda ab.a) \\
 \rightarrow_{\beta} & (\lambda y.(\lambda \mathbf{a}.aa)(y(\lambda \mathbf{a}.aa)))(\lambda ab.a) \\
 \rightarrow_{\beta} & (\lambda \mathbf{y}.(y(\lambda a.aa))(y(\lambda a.aa)))(\lambda \mathbf{ab}.a) \\
 \rightarrow_{\beta} & ((\lambda ab.a)(\lambda a.aa))((\lambda \mathbf{ab}.a)(\lambda \mathbf{a}.aa)) \\
 \rightarrow_{\beta} & ((\lambda \mathbf{ab}.a)(\lambda \mathbf{a}.aa))(\lambda ba.aa) \\
 \rightarrow_{\beta} & (\lambda \mathbf{ba}.aa)(\lambda \mathbf{ba}.aa) \\
 \rightarrow_{\beta} & \lambda a.aa
 \end{aligned}$$

Both computation **terminate** with **identical result**.

Terminating & Nonterminating Computations

Termination

$$(\lambda a b. b)((\lambda a. a a)(\lambda a. a a)) \rightarrow_{\beta} \lambda b. b.$$

nonTermination

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda a b. b)((\lambda a. a a)(\lambda a. a a)) &\rightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda a b. b)((\lambda a. a a)(\lambda a. a a)) \\ &\rightarrow_{\beta} \dots \end{aligned}$$