Design of Efficient Cryptographically Robust Substitution Boxes

---Search for an Efficient Secured Architecture

Debdeep Mukhopadhyay, Assistant Professor Dept of Computer Sc and Engg, IIT Madras

Outline of the Presentation

- □ What is an S-Box?
- Motivation to design S-Boxes
- Cellular Automata: A Finite State Machine
- □ Construction of an S Box
- □ Implementation of the proposed construction

Crypto

- □ **Cryptology** The art and science of making and breaking "secret codes"
- □ Cryptography making "secret codes"
- □ Cryptanalysis breaking "secret codes"
- \Box **Crypto** all of the above (and more)



Types of ciphers

- □ Symmetric Key Crypto:
 - Bob and Alice share the same key.
- □ Assymetric Key Crypto:
 - Alice encrypts with a public key
 - Bob decrypts with a secret key (private key)

Types of symmetric key algorithms

- Block Ciphers: Manipulates blocks of data.
 Say 128 bits at a time.
- Stream Ciphers: Manipulates streams of data, typically one bit at a time.
- □ We, shall be concentrating on

BLOCK CIPHERS...

Substitution and Transposition

- □ Substitution example
 - A B C D E F G ...
 - C D E F G H I ...
- □ Transposition example
 - HERE_IS_A_MESSAGE
 - HES_SG
 - E _ _ M S E
 - RIAEA_

Simple Substitution

Plaintext: fourscoreandsevenyearsago
Key:

PlaintextabcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyzCiphertextDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZABC

□ Ciphertext:

IRXUVFRUHDAGVHYHABHDUVDIR

□ Shift by 3 is "Caesar's cipher"

Block Ciphers



(Iterated) Block Cipher

- Plaintext and ciphertext consists of fixed sized blocks
- Ciphertext obtained from plaintext by iterating a round function
- Input to round function consists of key and the output of previous round
- Usually implementation friendly. Gives a high throughput.

Feistel Cipher

- □ Feistel cipher refers to a type of block cipher design, not a specific cipher
- □ Split plaintext block into left and right halves: Plaintext = (L_0, R_0)
- □ For each round i=1,2,...,n, compute

$$L_i = R_{i-1}$$
$$R_i = L_{i-1} \oplus F(R_{i-1}, K_i)$$

where F is round function and K_i is subkey

 $\Box \quad \text{Ciphertext} = (L_n, R_n)$

Feistel Cipher

- $\Box \quad \text{Decryption: Ciphertext} = (L_n, R_n)$
- □ For each round $i=n,n-1,\ldots,1$, compute

$$R_{i-1} = L_i$$
$$L_{i-1} = R_i \oplus F(R_{i-1}, K_i)$$

where F is round function and K_i is subkey

$$\square Plaintext = (L_0, R_0)$$

- □ Formula "works" for any function F
- But only secure for certain functions F

Data Encryption Standard

- □ DES developed in 1970's
- Based on IBM Lucifer cipher
- □ U.S. government standard
- DES development was controversial
 - NSA was secretly involved
 - Design process not open
 - Key length was reduced
 - Subtle changes to Lucifer algorithm

DES Numerology

- □ DES is a Feistel cipher
 - 64 bit block length
 - 56 bit key length
 - 16 rounds
 - 48 bits of key used each round (subkey)
- □ Each round is simple (for a block cipher)
- □ Security depends primarily on "S-boxes"
 - Each S-boxes maps 6 bits to 4 bits



DES S-box

□ 8 "substitution boxes" or S-boxes

- □ Each S-box maps 6 bits to 4 bits
- □ S-box number 1

input bits (0,5)

input bits (1,2,3,4)

| 0000 0001 0010 0011 0100 0101 0110 0111 1000 1001 1010 1011 1100 1101 1110 1111

 00
 |
 1110
 0100
 1101
 0010
 1111
 1011
 1000
 0011
 1100
 0110
 1100
 0101
 1000
 0111

 01
 |
 0000
 1111
 0110
 1101
 0010
 1101
 0001
 1010
 1100
 1011
 1001
 0101
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1011
 1001
 0101
 1001
 0001
 1011
 1011
 1011
 1011
 1011
 0010
 0101
 1001
 0101
 1011
 1011
 1011
 1011
 1011
 1011
 1011
 1011
 1011
 1011
 1011
 1011
 1011
 1011
 1011
 1011
 1011
 1011
 1011
 1011
 1011
 1011
 1011
 1011
 1011
 1011
 1011
 1011
 1011
 1011
 1011
 1011
 1011
 1011
 1011
 1011
 1011
 1011
 1011
 1011
 1011
 10111
 1011
 1011<

What is the design principle?

AES Substitution

□ Assume 192 bit block, 4x6 bytes



- □ ByteSub is AES's "S-box"
- □ Can be viewed as nonlinear (but invertible) composition of some math operations.
- □ What is the logic behind the construction? What is it based on?

Design Issues and Modern Challenges

- We require large boolean functions : Typically operating on say 32 bits.
- □ Area required to implement
 - A Boolean function with n inputs –

Exponential in n

More complex if we require to generate more than one output simultaneously

Cryptographic Properties of boolean functions

- Balancedness
- □ Satisfy Strict Avalanche Criterion (SAC)
- □ High non-linearity
- High algebraic degree
 - Not only the component functions but also their linear combinations should have crypto merit.
- Robustness against linear and differential attacks

Balancedness

- □ The truth-table of the boolean function has an equal number of 0's and 1's.
- □ XOR is a balanced function.
- □ AND is an unbalanced function.
- □ So, we prefer XOR...

Non-linearity

- □ What is a linear function?
- \Box f is said to be linear wrt + if
 - f(x+y)=f(x)+f(y)

$$x = (x_1, x_2), y = (y_1, y_2), x \oplus y = ((x_1 \oplus x_2), (y_1 \oplus y_2))$$

Define, $f(x) = x_1 \oplus x_2$.

$$\therefore f(x \oplus y) = f(x_1 \oplus x_2, y_1 \oplus y_2)$$

$$= x_1 \oplus x_2 \oplus y_1 \oplus y_2$$

$$= f(x) \oplus f(y)$$

So, XOR is a linear function. But we want non-linear functions. So, we don't want XOR!

Computing Non-linearity.

x1	x2	x1x2	0	x1	x2	x1^x2
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	1	0	0	0	1	1
1	0	0	0	1	0	1
1	1	1	0	1	1	0

Non-linearity is the minimum distance from the truth tables of the linear equations. Here it is 1. So, non-linearity of AND is 1.

We present a technique to generate such S Boxes...

...efficiently

Cellular Automata (CA)- A Quick Glance

- Mathematical model for self-organizing statistical systems
- $\square Discrete lattice of cells (0 or 1)$
- Cells evolve according to a rule depending on local neighbours
- □ We shall employ 3 neighbourhood structure:
 - qi (t+1) = f (qi-1(t), qi(t), qi+1(t)), where f is a boolean function
 - We shall restrict f to be composed of only xor gates: Linear Cellular Automata



$\mathbf{Rule 150} \\ q = l \oplus s \oplus r$					$q = l \bigoplus r$				
l	S	r	\boldsymbol{q}		l	S	r	q	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
0	0	1	1		0	0	1	1	
0	1	0	1		0	1	0	0	
0	1	1	0	20	0	1	1	1	2
1	0	0	1	–	1	0	0	1	
1	0	1	0		1	0	1	0	
1	1	0	0		1	1	0	1	
1	1	1	1		1	1	1	0	

Evolution of Cellular Automata (CA)

- \Box For a k-cell CA, Y = T (X) where
 - X = k-bit input to the CA
 - Y = k-bit output of the CA
 - T = characteristic matrix $(k \times k)$ of the CA
- □ Evolution goes like X, T (X), T^2 (X),..., $T^{2^{k-2}}$ (X)
- □ A Group CA is one that forms cyclic group i.e. simply a cycle of length *l*:
 - $\bullet T^l(X)=X$
 - For group CA, |T| = 1
- Maximal length Group CA: All the non-zero states lie in a cyclic additive group

• $T^{2^{k-1}}(X) = X$ and so on....

Construction of $n \times k$ S-Boxes

- □ The n-bit input is split into two portions:
 - x of size k bits
 - y of size n-k bits
- \square 2^(n-k) k cell maximum length CA are used
 - Each CA transforms operates on x
 - Converts the k-bit input to a k-bit output
- $\Box \quad \text{Input, } z = (y, x)$
- □ Output, $Q(z) = \{ q_1(z), ..., q_k(z) \}$

A Schematic Diagram



Why k > n/2 ?

- Total distinct CA transformations available
 = 2^k 1 (cycle length of a maximal length CA)
- □ Total CA required in the construction = $2^{(n-k)}$
- □ Hence,
 - $2^{k} 1 > 2^{(n-k)}$ $\leftrightarrow 2^{k} > 2^{(n-k)}$ $\leftrightarrow k > n-k$ $\leftrightarrow k > n/2$

Set of CA Transformations

- \Box If characteristic matrix of the CA is Tk (k X k),
 - Set of transformations, S

 $\Box \quad \{ \text{ I, Tk, \ldots, Tk^{2k-2}} \}$

- $\Box \quad Tk^{2k-1} = I$
- Properties of set S:
 - 1. All the transformations in the set S are distinct
 - 2. The set S is closed under addition modulo 2
 - 3. All the matrices are invertible
 - 4. The rows of any 2 elements in set S are pairwise distinct (follows from 2 and 3)

Mathematical Formulation

İS

□ Linear transformations can be represented as kxk matrices:

$$Li = \begin{pmatrix} li1\\ ...\\ lik \end{pmatrix}, 0 \le i \le 2^{n-k} - 1$$

 \square Mathematically, the output k-bit vector Q(z)

$$Q(z) = \bigoplus_{\sigma=0}^{2^{n-k}-1} D_{\sigma}(y) L_{\sigma}(x)$$

$$D_{\sigma}(y) = (i_{1} \oplus y_{1})(i_{2} \oplus y_{2})...(i_{n-k} \oplus y_{n-k}),$$

$$\sigma = (i_{1}i_{2}...i_{n-k}), y = (y_{1}y_{2}...y_{n-k})$$

Cryptographic Properties

- □ For each component function $q_i(z)$
 - Non linearity is at least $2^{n-1} 2^{k-1}$, k > n/2
 - It is balanced
 - □ Same is true for any non-zero linear combinations
 - Algebraic degree is (n-k+1)
 - Mapping $Q(z) = \{ q_1(z), \dots, q_k(z) \}$ is regular from V_n to V_k
- □ Number of mappings generated is $P_{2^{n-k}}^{2^k-1}$

Strict Avalanche Criterion

- □ Boolean function f on Vn satisfies SAC iff $f(x) \oplus f(x \oplus \alpha)$ is balanced for all $\alpha \in Vn$
- $\Box \quad \text{Original construction } Q(z) \text{ does not satisfy SAC}$
- $\Box \quad \text{For } z' = Wz,$
 - $\Box \quad Q(Wz) \text{ satisfies SAC}$
 - W is a non-degenerate n x n matrix with entries from GF(2)



VLSI Design of the Architecture

- □ Input y denotes the CA to be selected
 - NB: All the CA are the same machine in different states of evolution (the clock cycles are different)
 - y determines the number of cycles, s, the CA is to be applied
 - A mapping, g, from y to s is required=> Q(z)=T^{g(y)}(x)
 (Alternate expression of the construction)
- □ Domain of g is V_{n-k} , while range is Vk
- □ One to many mapping (as, $k \ge n/2$)
 - No deterministic hardware possible

Restricted Design Architecture

- □ Restrict the clock cycles to $2^{(n-k)}$
- $\square Mapping becomes (n-k) to (n-k)$
- Permutation is done by using XORing with a secret k, s
- Value of s for a given y, will depend on the secret key, key of n-k bits
- □ Number of possible permutations 2^{n-k}
- Cryptographic properties remain the same, as this is an equivalent representation.

Restricted Design Architecture

- Each CA is to be cycled s times i.e. T needs to be multiplied s times
- Square and multiply algorithm is used for better performance
- □ Output is obtained in O(n-k) time



Hardware Complexity

- \Box (n-k) flip-flops
- \Box O(n²) 2 input XOR gates.
- \square 2 to 1 MUXes : k(n-k)
- **\Box** Time Complexity : O(n-k)

Example : 8x5 mapping

- □ n=8, k>4=5
- Choose a 5 cell maximal length CA with rule set {150, 150, 90, 90, 150}.

T =	1	1	0	0	0
	1	1	1	0	0
	0	1	0	1	0
	0	0	1	0	1
	0	0	0	1	1



Cryptographic Properties

- Non-linearity is 112 which is very high (maximum for 8 variables 120)
- Degree of each function is 4
- All non-zero combinations are balanced and have non-linearity of 112.
- Robustness against Differential Cryptanalysis is 0.848, bias in the Linear Approximation Table is 16.
- Each boolean function satisfies SAC

Experimental Results

Dimension	XOR	MUX	Flip-Flop	Time (clk cycles)
8 x 5	26	15	3	3
10 x 6	54	24	4	4
16 x 9	208	63	7	7
24 x 13	691	141	11	11

Observation: Growth of the resources is polynomial with dimension

Some Key References

- Systematic Generation of cryptographically robust S Boxes, Jennifer Seberry, Xian Zhang, Yuliang Zheng, 1st conference on Computer and Comm Security, USA, 93.
- Perfect Non linear S Boxes, Kaisa Nyberg, 1998, Springer Verlag.

Small and compact designs survive...



Thank You Questions?