

Some Comments on the Security of RSA

Debdeep Mukhopadhyay

Assistant Professor
Department of Computer Science and
Engineering
Indian Institute of Technology Kharagpur
INDIA -721302

Objectives

- **Computing $\Phi(n)$ is no easier than factoring n .**
- **Computing the Decryption exponent is no easier than factoring n .**
- **Bit Security of RSA.**

Computing $\Phi(n)$

- For if n and $\Phi(n)$ are known, and n is the product of two primes p, q :

– then n can be factored by solving:

$$n=pq$$

$$\Phi(n)=(p-1)(q-1)$$

Combining we obtain:

$$p^2-(n-\Phi(n)+1)p+n=0$$

The roots are p and q .

Decryption Exponent

- If the decryption exponent is known, then n can be factored:

– we will see a randomized algorithm

– there is a deterministic algorithm published in Crypto 2004 by Alexander May if:

- a and b are of the same bit size
- $ab < n^2$
- Run Time: $O(\log^2 n)$

Importance of this result

- **This result is important from practical point of view:**
 - if b (the RSA secret key) is leaked, then changing it does not suffice
 - one needs to change the modulus n .
- **We shall study a Las Vegas Algorithm which can factor n with a probability of at least $\frac{1}{2}$**

Non-trivial Square Roots

- **How many roots does $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ has, where $n=pq$?**
- **There are 4 roots:**
 - Trivial Roots: $x = \pm 1 \pmod{n}$**
 - Other two are Non-trivial roots**

Example

$$n=403=13 \times 31$$

$y^2=1 \pmod{403}$ is equivalent to the system of equations:

$y^2=1 \pmod{13}$: there are two roots $x=+1, -1 \pmod{13}$

$y^2=-1 \pmod{31}$: there are two roots $x=+1, -1 \pmod{31}$

Example

- The root $x=92$ is a solution to:
 $x=+1 \pmod{13}$
 $x=-1 \pmod{31}$
- The root $x=311$ is a solution to:
 $x=-1 \pmod{13}$
 $x=+1 \pmod{31}$

Example

- If x is a non-trivial square root of 1 modulo n ,

thus $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$, but $x \not\equiv 1 \pmod{n}$

Then we compute the gcd of $(x+1, n)$
and $\gcd(x-1, n)$ to get the factors of n .

Here, $\gcd(93, 403) = 31$ and
 $\gcd(91, 403) = 13$.

The Algorithm

```
1. choose  $w$  at random such that  $1 \leq w \leq n - 1$ 
2. compute  $x = \gcd(w, n)$ 
3. if  $1 < x < n$  then quit (success:  $x = p$  or  $x = q$ )
4. compute  $a = A(b)$ 
5. write  $ab - 1 = 2^s r$ ,  $r$  odd
6. compute  $v = w^r \pmod{n}$ 
7. if  $v \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$  then quit (failure)
8. while  $v \not\equiv 1 \pmod{n}$  do
9.    $v_0 = v$ 
10.   $v = v^2 \pmod{n}$ 
11. if  $v_0 \equiv -1 \pmod{n}$  then
    quit (failure)
    else
      compute  $x = \gcd(v_0 + 1, n)$  (success:  $x = p$  or  $x = q$ )
```

Analysis: Termination

- **We do the analysis for w not being a multiple of p or q .**
- **w is relatively prime to n :**
 - **we compute successive squares:**
 $w^r, w^{2r}, w^{4r}, \dots, w^{(2^s)r}$
 - Now, since $ab-1=(2^s)r=0 \pmod{\Phi(n)}$,**
 $w^{(2^s)r}=1 \pmod{n}$. Hence the while loop terminates after at most s iterations.

Analysis: Correctness

- **At the end of the while loop we have found out a v_0 st.:**
 $(v_0)^2=1 \pmod{n}, v_0 \neq 1 \pmod{n}$
- **If $v_0=-1 \pmod{n}$, the algorithm fails.**
Otherwise v_0 is indeed a non-trivial square root of 1. Hence, $\gcd(v_0+1, n)$ is a factor of n .

Partial Information of Plaintexts

- **Sometimes the attacker has modest goals:**
 - to achieve partial information of the plaintext: partial break
- **We shall consider two types of partial information of the plaintext bits:**
 - Jacobi of the plaintext
 - Parity of the lowest bit of the plaintext
 - Whether the plaintext is less than or more than $n/2$, where n is the RSA modulus.

Jacobi of the Plaintext

- $y = x^b \bmod n$, where x is the plaintext.
- Note that b is co-prime to $\Phi(n) = (p-1)(q-1)$, which is even:
 - thus b is odd.

From definition:

$$\left(\frac{y}{n}\right) = \left(\frac{x}{n}\right)^b$$

Note, $\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) = \pm 1$, thus $\left(\frac{x}{n}\right)^b = \left(\frac{x}{n}\right)$,
as b is odd.

**Thus RSA
leaks
some
information
of
the plaintext**

Parity(y) and Half(y)

- **Parity(y)** denotes the low order bit of **x**, that is **parity(y)=0**, if **x** is even and **parity(y)=1** if **x** is odd.
- **Half(y)=0**, if $0 \leq x < n/2$ and **half(y)=1** if $n/2 < x \leq n-1$.

Reductions

- **Existence of a polynomial time algorithm that computes half(y) $\Rightarrow$ Existence of a polynomial time algorithm for RSA decryption.**
- **RSA Hard $\Rightarrow$ Computing half(y) is hard.**

The Proof Idea

- Let there be an oracle HALF, which computes $\text{half}(y)$.
 - if $\text{half}(y)=0$, then $x \in [0, n/2)$
 - Now, $y=x^b \bmod n$. Compute,

$$y=2^b y \bmod n$$

$$=(2x)^b \bmod n$$
 - if $\text{half}(y)=0$, then $2x \in [0, n/2)$

$$\Rightarrow x \in [0, n/4) \cup [n/2, 3n/4)$$

Continuing in this fashion we obtain distinct boundaries of x . Then the actual x value, can be found out using binary search technique.

Algorithm

```

Algorithm: Oracle RSA Decryption( $n, b, y$ )
external HALF
 $k \leftarrow \text{floor}(\log_2 n)$ 
for  $i=0$  to  $k$ ,
{
   $h_i = \text{HALF}(n, b, y)$ 
   $y = y \times 2^b \pmod n$ 
}

Binary Search Routine  $\Rightarrow$ 
for  $i=0$  to  $k$ 
{
   $\text{mid} = (h_i + \text{lo})/2$ 
  if( $h_i == 1$ )
     $\text{lo} = \text{mid}$ 
  else
     $h_i = \text{mid}$ 
}
return  $\text{floor}(h_i)$ 
  
```

Parity ?

- **Computing parity(y) is polynomially equivalent to computing half(y):**
 - $\text{half}(y) = \text{parity}((y \times e_k(2)) \bmod n)$
 - $\text{parity}(y) = \text{half}((y \times e_k(2^{-1})) \bmod n)$

Proof Sketch

- $\text{Parity}((y \times e_k(2)) \bmod n)$
= $\text{Parity}(e_k(2x) \bmod n)$
= 0, if $2x$ is even
1, if $2x$ is odd
Now, $n=2t+1$, where t is an integer
and $0 \leq x < n/2 \Rightarrow 0 \leq x \leq t \Rightarrow 0 \leq 2x \leq 2t=n-1$
All these number are even
If, $n/2 \leq x \leq n-1 \Rightarrow t+1 \leq x \leq 2t \Rightarrow 2t+2 \leq 2x \leq 4t$
or, $n+1 \leq 2x \leq 2n-2$
Taking, modulo n we have: $1 \leq 2x \leq n-2$
All these numbers are odd. This proves first eqn.

Points to Ponder

- **Prove that the algorithm to factor n , from the decryption exponent succeeds with at-least $\frac{1}{2}$ probability.**
- **Prove the second relation to show that $\text{parity}(y)$ and $\text{half}(y)$ are polynomially equivalent.**

References

- **D. Stinson, Cryptography: Theory and Practice, Chapman & Hall/CRC**

Next Days Topic

- **Discrete Logarithm Problem (DLP)**