## **Tutorial 8 Computability Theory**

## Recursive and R.E. Languages, (Un)Decidability, Reduction and Rice's Theorem

1. For a language *L* over the alphabet {0, 1}, define the following two languages:

 $\mathsf{HALF}_1(L) = \{x \mid x \in \Sigma^*, \text{ and there exists } y \in \Sigma^* \text{ such that } |x| = |y| \text{ and } xy \in L\}$  $\mathsf{HALF}_2(L) = \{x \mid x \in \Sigma^*, \text{ and there exists } y \in \Sigma^* \text{ such that } |x| = |y| \text{ and } yx \in L\}$ 

Prove/Disprove the following (for k = 1 and k = 2):

(a) If *L* is R.E. (recursively enumerable), then  $HALF_k(L)$  must be R.E..

(b) If *L* is recursive, then  $HALF_k(L)$  must be recursive.

2. Consider the following languages for (finite)  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ :

LOOP <sub>LE</sub>	=	$\{\mathcal{M} \mid \mathcal{M} \text{ is (the encoding of) a DTM that loops on at most k input strings}\}$
LOOP <sub>GE</sub>	=	$\{\mathcal{M} \mid \mathcal{M} \text{ is (the encoding of) a DTM that loops on at least k input strings}\}$
LOOP <sub>LT</sub>	=	$\{\mathcal{M} \mid \mathcal{M} \text{ is (the encoding of) a DTM that loops on less than k input strings}\}$
$LOOP_GT$	=	$\{\mathcal{M} \mid \mathcal{M} \text{ is (the encoding of) a DTM that loops on more than } k \text{ input strings}\}$
LOOP <sub>EQ</sub>	=	$\{\mathcal{M} \mid \mathcal{M} \text{ is (the encoding of) a DTM that loops on exactly k input strings}\}$

Determine whether the above languages (and the complements of these languages) are recursive, R.E., or non-R.E.

3. Consider the following languages for (finite)  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ :

 $\begin{aligned} \mathsf{HALT}_{\mathsf{LE}} &= \left\{ \mathcal{M} \mid \mathcal{M} \text{ is (the encoding of) a DTM that halts on at most } k \text{ input strings} \right\} \\ \mathsf{HALT}_{\mathsf{GE}} &= \left\{ \mathcal{M} \mid \mathcal{M} \text{ is (the encoding of) a DTM that halts on at least } k \text{ input strings} \right\} \\ \mathsf{HALT}_{\mathsf{LT}} &= \left\{ \mathcal{M} \mid \mathcal{M} \text{ is (the encoding of) a DTM that halts on less than } k \text{ input strings} \right\} \\ \mathsf{HALT}_{\mathsf{GT}} &= \left\{ \mathcal{M} \mid \mathcal{M} \text{ is (the encoding of) a DTM that halts on more than } k \text{ input strings} \right\} \\ \mathsf{HALT}_{\mathsf{EQ}} &= \left\{ \mathcal{M} \mid \mathcal{M} \text{ is (the encoding of) a DTM that halts on exactly } k \text{ input strings} \right\} \end{aligned}$ 

Determine whether the above languages (and the complements of these languages) are recursive, R.E., or non-R.E.

4. Let N be a Non-deterministic Turing machine (NTM). We say that N faces a dilemma if at some point in its working, it encounters a situation where the finite control is in the state p, the head scans the tape symbol a, and  $\delta(p, a)$  offers multiple (two or more) possibilities, where p is neither the accept nor the reject state. Consider the following two languages.

DILEMMA<sub> $\epsilon$ </sub> = { $N \mid N$  is an NTM which faces a dilemma at least once on input  $\epsilon$ }, DILEMMA<sub> $\star$ </sub> = { $N \mid N$  is an NTM which faces a dilemma at least once on each input}.

Answer the following.

- (a) Prove that DILEMMA $_{\epsilon}$  is R.E., but not recursive.
- (b) Prove that DILEMMA $_{\star}$  is non-R.E. (not recursively enumerable).

5. Show that the following language is decidable.

 $ODFA = \{M \mid M \text{ is a DFA not accepting any string with odd number of } 1's\}$ 

(*Hint*: For a DFA  $\mathcal{M}$ , the problem of whether or not  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{M}) = \phi$  is decidable.)

- 6. Recall that the halting problem for linear bounded automaton (LBA) is decidable. Prove by diagonalization that there exists a recursive set that is not accepted by any LBA.
- 7. Show, using reductions, that none of the following languages nor their complements are R.E.
  - (a)  $\mathsf{REG} = \{ \mathcal{M} \mid \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{M}) \text{ is a regular} \}$  (c)  $\mathsf{REC} = \{ \mathcal{M} \mid \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{M}) \text{ is a recursive} \}$
  - (b)  $CFL = \{ \mathcal{M} \mid \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{M}) \text{ is a context-free} \}$  (d)  $TOT = \{ \mathcal{M} \mid \mathcal{M} \text{ halts on all inputs} \}$

Now, use Rice's theorems to prove the same (as asked above).

8. Let  $f(x) = \begin{cases} 3x + 1, & \text{if } x \text{ is odd} \\ x/2, & \text{if } x \text{ is even} \end{cases}$  for any natural number x. Define C(x) as the sequence  $(x, f(x), f(f(x)), \dots)$  which terminates if and when it hits 1. For example, if x = 7, then

$$C(x) = (7, 22, 11, 34, 17, 52, 26, 13, 40, 20, 10, 5, 16, 8, 4, 2, 1)$$

Computer tests have shown that C(x) hits 1 eventually for x ranging from 1 to  $2^{68} \approx 2.95 \times 10^{20}$  (as of 2024). But, the question of whether C(x) ends at 1 for all  $x \in \mathbb{N}$  is not proven. This is believed to be true and known as the *Collatz conjecture*. Suppose that MP were decidable by a TM  $\mathcal{K}$ . Use  $\mathcal{K}$  to describe a TM that is guaranteed to prove or disprove Collatz conjecture.

- 9. Prove or Disprove: The language  $\{\mathcal{M} \mid \mathcal{M} \text{ is a DTM that runs in time } O(n^3)\}$  is undecidable.
- 10. For a language  $A \subseteq \Sigma^*$  (with  $|\Sigma| \ge 2$ ), define  $A^R = \{w^R \mid w \in A\}$ , where  $w^R$  denotes the reverse of the string w. Is it decidable, for a given TM  $\mathcal{M}$ , whether  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{M})^R$ ?
- 11. Prove/Disprove whether the following problems on a TM M are decidable for (finite)  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .
  - (a) Decide whether  $\mathcal{M}$  halts on some input within k steps.
  - (b) Decide whether  $\mathcal{M}$  halts on some input beyond k steps.
  - (c) Decide whether  $\mathcal{M}$  halts on all inputs within k steps.
  - (d) Decide whether  $\mathcal{M}$  halts on all inputs beyond k steps.
  - (e) Decide whether  $\mathcal{M}$  runs for at least  $k^k$  steps for input  $a^k$ .
  - (f) Decide whether  $\mathcal{M}$  runs for at most  $k^k$  steps for input  $a^k$ .
  - (g) Decide whether  $\mathcal{M}$  on input  $\epsilon$  moves left at least k times.
  - (h) Decide whether  $\mathcal{M}$  on a given input w moves left at least k times.
- 12. Is the problem whether a TM on any input re-enters the start state decidable or not? Prove.
- 13. Prove that the following languages are not recursive.
  - (a) TMB = { $M \# w \mid M$  writes the blank symbol at some point of time on input w}
  - (b) TMS = { $\mathcal{M} # w # \$ | \mathcal{M}$  writes the symbol  $\$ \in \Gamma$  at some point of time on input w}
- 14. Determine whether the following languages are recursive, R.E. or neither? Justify the answer.
  - (a)  $L_{GE} = \{ \mathcal{M} \mid \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{M}) \text{ contains at least 2024 elements} \}$
  - (b)  $L_{LE} = \{ \mathcal{M} \mid \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{M}) \text{ contains at most 2024 elements} \}$
  - (c)  $L_{EQ} = \{ \mathcal{M} \mid \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{M}) \text{ contains exactly 2024 elements} \}$

- 15. Determine whether the following languages are recursive, R.E. or neither? Justify the answer.
  - (a)  $L_{AM} = \{ \mathcal{M} \mid \mathcal{M} \text{ accepts at most 2024 input strings} \}$
  - (b)  $L_{AL} = \{ \mathcal{M} \mid \mathcal{M} \text{ accepts at least 2024 input strings} \}$
  - (c)  $L_{AA} = \{ \mathcal{M} \mid \mathcal{M} \text{ accepts all strings of length } \leq 2024 \}$
  - (d)  $L_{AS} = \{ \mathcal{M} \mid \mathcal{M} \text{ accepts some strings of length} \ge 2024 \}$
  - (e)  $L_{NA} = \{ \mathcal{M} \mid \mathcal{M} \text{ does not accept all strings of length} \geq 2024 \}$
  - (f)  $L_{NS} = \{ \mathcal{M} \mid \mathcal{M} \text{ does not accept some string of length} \le 2024 \}$
- 16. For two TMs ( $\mathcal{M}$ ,  $\mathcal{N}$ ), determine whether the following are *decidable*, *semi-decidable*, or *not*.
  - (a) M takes more steps than N on input  $\epsilon$ .
  - (b)  $\mathcal{M}$  does not take more steps than  $\mathcal{N}$  on input  $\epsilon$ .
- 17. Let  $nsteps(\mathcal{M}, w)$  denote the number of steps taken by  $\mathcal{M}$  on w. If  $\mathcal{M}$  loops on w, take  $nsteps(\mathcal{M}, w) = \infty$ . If  $\mathcal{N}$  also loops on v, take  $nsteps(\mathcal{M}, w) = nsteps(\mathcal{N}, v)$ . Prove whether the following languages are *Recursive*, or *R.E. but not Recursive*, or *non-R.E*.
  - (a)  $L_1 = \{ \mathcal{M} \# \mathcal{N} \mid \operatorname{nsteps}(\mathcal{M}, \epsilon) < \operatorname{nsteps}(\mathcal{N}, \epsilon) \}$
  - (b)  $L_2 = \{ \mathcal{M} \# \mathcal{N} \mid \operatorname{nsteps}(\mathcal{M}, \epsilon) \leq \operatorname{nsteps}(\mathcal{N}, \epsilon) \}$
  - (c)  $L_3 = \{ \mathcal{M} \# \mathcal{N} \mid \mathsf{nsteps}(\mathcal{M}, w) < \mathsf{nsteps}(\mathcal{N}, v) \text{ for some } w, v \}$
  - (d)  $L_4 = \{ \mathcal{M} \# \mathcal{N} \mid \operatorname{nsteps}(\mathcal{M}, w) < \operatorname{nsteps}(\mathcal{N}, v) \text{ for all } w, v \}$
- 18. Using reductions, prove that the following languages are not recursive (undecidable).
  - (a)  $L_a = \{\mathcal{M} \# \mathcal{N} \mid \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{N})\}$ (b)  $L_b = \{\mathcal{M} \# \mathcal{N} \mid \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{M}) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{N})\}$ (c)  $L_b = \{\mathcal{M} \# \mathcal{N} \mid \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{M}) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{N})\}$ (c)  $L_f = \{\mathcal{M} \# \mathcal{N} \mid \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{M}) \cap \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{N}) \text{ is context-free}\}$ (c)  $L_b = \{\mathcal{M} \# \mathcal{N} \mid \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{M}) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{N})\}$ (c)  $L_b = \{\mathcal{M} \# \mathcal{N} \mid \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{M}) \cap \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{N}) \text{ is context-free}\}$
  - (c)  $L_c = \{ \mathcal{M} \# \mathcal{N} \mid \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{M}) \cap \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{N}) = \phi \}$  (g)  $L_g = \{ \mathcal{M} \# \mathcal{N} \mid \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{M}) \cap \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{N}) \text{ is recursive} \}$
  - (d)  $L_d = \{ \mathcal{M} \# \mathcal{N} \mid \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{M}) \cap \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{N}) \text{ is finite} \}$  (h)  $L_h = \{ \mathcal{M} \# \mathcal{N} \# \mathcal{P} \mid \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{M}) \cap \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{N}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{P}) \}$
- 19. Prove/Disprove: No non-trivial property of recursively enumerable languages is semidecidable.
- 20. [Generalization of Rice's Theorem for Pairs of R.E. Languages] Consider the set of pairs of R.E. languages:  $RE^2 = \{(L, L') | L, L' \in RE\}$ . Answer the following.
  - (a) Define a property of pairs of R.E. languages.
  - (b) How do you specify a property of a pair of R.E. languages?
  - (c) Which properties of pairs of R.E. languages should be called non-trivial?
  - (d) Prove that every non-trivial property of pairs of R.E. languages is undecidable.
- 21. Use the previous exercise to prove that the following problems about pairs of R.E. languages are undecidable.
  - (a)  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{N})$
  - (b)  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{M}) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{N})$
  - (c)  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{M}) \cap \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{N}) = \phi$
  - (d)  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{M}) \cap \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{N})$  is finite
  - (e)  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{M}) \cap \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{N})$  is context-free
- (f)  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{M}) \cap \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{N})$  is recursive
- (g)  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{M}) \cup \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{N}) = \Sigma^*$
- (h)  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{M}) \cup \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{N}) = \phi$
- (i)  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{M}) \bigcup \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{N})$  is finite
- (j)  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{M}) \cup \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{N})$  is recursive

22. Generalize Rice's theorem, Part 2 (monotone-property related), for pairs of R.E. languages.