

INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY KHARAGPUR

Stamp / Signature of the Invigilator

Indian Institute of Technology Kharagpur Department of Computer Science and Engineering

Instructions:

- Write your answers in the question paper itself. Be brief and precise. Answer *all* questions.
- Write the answers only in the respective spaces provided. The last two blank pages may be used for rough work or leftover answers.
- In case you may need more space/pages, please ask for additional sheets in the exam hall and attach the same with this booklet while submitting.
- If you use any theorem / result / formula covered in the class, just mention it, do not elaborate. (unless the same thing has been explicitly asked to derive / prove in the question)
- Write all the proofs in mathematically / logically precise language. Unclear and/or dubious statements would be severely penalized.

Q1. Let $\mathbb C$ denote the set of complex numbers and $\mathbb Z[i]$ the subset $\{a+ib \mid a,b \in \mathbb Z\}$ of $\mathbb C$. Elements of $\mathbb Z[i]$ are called *Gaussian integers*. For $z = x + iy \in \mathbb{C}$, we denote by |z| the magnitude of *z* and by arg*z* the argument of *z*. Thus, $z = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$ and $\arg z = \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{y}{x} \right)$ $\left(\frac{y}{x}\right)$. We take arg*z* in the interval $[0, 2\pi)$.

Define a relation ρ on $\mathbb C$ as follows. Take $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb C$. We say that $z_1 \rho z_2$ if and only if

- either (i) $|z_1| < |z_2|$,
- or (ii) $|z_1| = |z_2|$ and $\arg z_1 \le \arg z_2$.

Moreover, define another relation σ on $\mathbb C$ as $z_1 \sigma z_2$ if and only if $|z_1| = |z_2|$. Answer the following.

(a) Prove that ρ is a partial order on \mathbb{C} . (5) Solution:

(b) Prove that σ is an equivalence relation on \mathbb{C} . (3) Solution:

(c) What are the equivalence classes of σ ? (Provide a geometric description.) (3) Solution:

Q2. Consider the context-free grammar *G* over $\{a,b\}$, with start symbol *S*, non-terminals $\{S, A, B\}$, and the following productions.

 $S \rightarrow aaB \mid Abb$, $A \rightarrow a \mid aA$, $B \rightarrow b \mid bB$.

(a) What is the language, $\mathcal{L}(G)$, generated by G ? (2) Solution:

(b) Prove that this context-free grammar *G* is *ambiguous*. (3) Solution:

(c) Design an *unambiguous* context-free grammar for $\mathcal{L}(G)$. (3) Solution:

Q3. Let *M* be a Turing machine with one semi-infinite tape and two read/write heads. Each transition of *M* is determined by the current state *p* of the finite control, and the two symbols *a* and *b* scanned by the two heads. A transition of *M* is of the form $\delta(p, a, b) = (q, c, d, D_1, D_2)$ implying that the finite control goes to state *q*, the symbol *a* at the cell pointed by the first head is replaced by *c*, and the symbol *b* at the cell pointed by the second head is replaced by *d*. If both the heads point to the same tape cell $(a = b$ in this case), then the symbol at this cell is replaced by *c* (not by *d* unless $c = d$). Finally, the first head moves by one cell in direction D_1 (left or right), and the second head moves by one cell in direction D_2 (left or right).

Argue that this two-head Turing machine *M* can be simulated by a standard Turing machine *N* with one semi-infinite tape and with only one read/write head. (6)

- Q4. Consider the hierarchy of languages shown in the adjacent figure (right). Place each of the following languages, L_1, L_2, L_3, L_4, L_5 (given in Parts (a)-(e), respectively) at the proper place in the hierarchy, that is, state and deduce whether the language *Lⁱ* is:
	- Finite
	- Regular and Infinite
	- Context-Free but Not Regular
	- Recursive but Not Context-Free
	- R.E. (recursively enumerable) but Not Recursive
	- Non-R.E.

Provide clear and proper justifications/deductions for your judgments. No credits will be given for incorrect/incomplete/missing explanations, even though the truth value is correctly assigned.

In case of justifying Recursive or R.E. languages, construct appropriate Turing machines and/or supply appropriate reductions (note that, halting may be a choice of Turing machines, so do not use Rice's theorem).

(a) $L_1 = \mathcal{L}(G)$ where grammar *G* is defined over $\{a, b\}$, with start symbol *S*, non-terminals $\{S, T\}$, and the following productions. (4)

 $S \rightarrow \varepsilon \mid abTS$, $T \rightarrow \varepsilon \mid Tb$.

*L*¹ is .

(b) The set L_2 of all strings $\alpha \in \{a, b, c\}^*$ containing an equal number of occurrences of *a*'s, *b*'s and $c's.$ (6)

*L*² is .

(c) The complement of L_2 (from Part-(b)), that is, $L_3 = \{a, b, c\}^* \setminus L_2$. (6)

*L*³ is .

(d) $L_4 = \{ M \mid M \text{ is a Turing machine that halts on exactly 2024 input strings} \}$

*L*⁴ is .

(e) $L_5 = \{ M \mid M \text{ is a Turing machine that halts on at least 2024 input strings} \}$

*L*⁵ is .

- Q5. *Prove* or *disprove* the following statements (Parts (a)–(e)). Give clear justifications. No credits will be given for incorrect/incomplete/missing explanations, even though the truth value is correctly assigned.
	- (a) Prove/Disprove: Let *C* be an NP-complete problem. If $C \in \text{co-NP}$, then NP = co-NP. (6) Solution:

(b) Prove/Disprove: An $O(n^k)$ reduction algorithm from *A* to *B* followed by a deterministic $O(n^k)$ algorithm for *B* yields an $O(n^k)$ deterministic algorithm for *A*. (Here *n* is the input size, and *k* is a positive integer constant > 1 .) (4) Solution:

(c) Prove/Disprove: The class P is closed under Kleene star, that is, if $L \in P$, then $L^* \in P$. (8) Solution:

(d) Prove/Disprove: The class NP is closed under concatenation, that is, if $L_1, L_2 \in \text{NP}$, then $L_1L_2 \in \text{NP}$. (6) Solution:

(e) Prove/Disprove: The class NP-complete is closed under union, that is, if *L*1, *L*² are NP-complete, then so is $L_1 \cup L_2$. (6)

Q6. *Prove* or *disprove* the following assertions (Parts (a)-(b)). Give clear justifications. No credits will be given for incorrect/incomplete/missing explanations, even though the truth value is correctly assigned.

You may make use of the assumption that $NP \neq co-NP$, if necessary. Clearly indicate where you require this assumption.

(a) Prove/Disprove: The following language is NP-complete.

SMALLCYCLE = $\left\{ \left\langle G \right\rangle \mid$ The longest cycle in the directed graph *G* is of length $\leq \left\lfloor \frac{n(G)}{2} \right\rfloor$ 2 $\vert \cdot \vert$

(Here, $n(G)$ denotes the number of vertices in *G* and $\lfloor \ \rfloor$ is the floor function.) (6) Solution:

(b) Prove/Disprove: The following language is NP-complete.

BIGCYCLE = $\left\{ \left\langle G \right\rangle \mid G \right\}$ is a directed graph having a cycle of length $\geq \left\lfloor \frac{n(G)}{2} \right\rfloor$ 2 $\vert \, \vert$

(Here, $n(G)$ denotes the number of vertices in *G* and $\lfloor \ \rfloor$ is the floor function.) (6) Solution:

Q7. An undirected graph is *k*-colorable if the vertices of the graph can be assigned a colour from a given fixed set of *k* colors such that no two adjacent vertices (sharing a common edge in between) receive the same color. Prove that, the language, $3\text{COLOR} = \{ \langle G \rangle \mid \text{ The undirected graph } G \text{ is } 3\text{-colorable} \},$ is PSPACE-complete if and only if PSPACE = NP.

(Note that, 3COLOR is known to be NP-complete.) (5)

Solution:

— Question Paper Ends Here —

— Additional Page 17 —

— Additional Page 18 —