

Context-free Languages and Push-down Automata

1. What context-free languages will be generated by the following two (separate) context-free grammars,  $G = (\{S, A, B\}, \{a, b, c\}, P, S)$ , where  $P$  consists of the following production rules?

$$\begin{array}{ll} (a) \quad S \rightarrow ASB \mid \varepsilon & (b) \quad S \rightarrow abScB \mid \varepsilon \\ \quad A \rightarrow a & \quad B \rightarrow bB \mid b \\ \quad B \rightarrow bb \mid b \end{array}$$

2. Define the context-free grammars for the following context-free languages. Are your defined CFGs ambiguous / non-ambiguous?

- $L_{2a} = \{a^i b^j c^k \mid i, j, k \geq 0, \text{ and } i = j \text{ or } i = k\}$
- $L_{2b} = \{a^i b^j c^k \mid i, j, k \geq 0, \text{ and } i + j = k\}$
- $L_{2c} = \{a^i b^j c^k \mid i, j, k \geq 0, \text{ and } i + k = j\}$
- $L_{2d} = \{a^i b^j c^k \mid i, j, k \geq 0, \text{ and } i + k < j\}$
- $L_{2e} = \{w \mid w \in a, b^* \text{ and } w \neq w^{rev}\} = \text{all non-palindromes over } \{a, b\}$

Now, design (separate) push-down automata which accepts all of the above CFL. Comment whether your PDA accepts by final state or empty stack.

3. Which of the following language(s) is/are context-free? Give justifications – For CFL, you need to give the CFG, otherwise you have to prove using Pumping Lemma for CFL.

- $L_{3a} = \{a^m b^n \mid m, n \geq 0, m = 2n\}$
- $L_{3b} = \{a^m b^n \mid m, n \geq 0, m \neq 2n\}$
- $L_{3c} = \{a^m b^n \mid n \geq 0, 3n \leq m \leq 5n\}$
- $L_{3d} = \{a^m b^n c^{m+n} \mid m, n \geq 1\}$
- $L_{3e} = \{a^m b^m c^{m+n} \mid m, n \geq 1\}$
- $L_{3f} = \{a^l b^m c^n \mid l \geq 0, l < m \text{ and } l < n\}$

4. Convert the following context-free grammars into equivalent CFG in Chomsky Normal Form. Then, proceed converting these Chomsky Normal Form CFGs into Greibach Normal Form CFGs.

$$\begin{array}{lll} (a) \quad S \rightarrow BSB \mid B \mid \varepsilon & (b) \quad S \rightarrow ABa \mid \varepsilon & (c) \quad S \rightarrow AbA \\ \quad B \rightarrow 00 \mid \varepsilon & \quad A \rightarrow aab & \quad A \rightarrow Aa \mid \varepsilon \\ & \quad B \rightarrow Ac & \end{array}$$

5. Given the following languages over the alphabet  $\{a, b\}$ , design one-state PDAs that accepts by empty stack (separate PDA for each one).

- $L_{5a} = (a + b)^* b$
- $L_{5b} = a(a + b)^* b$
- $L_{5c} = \text{all palindromes over } \{a, b\}$

Explore the following:

- (a) Since  $L_{5a}$  and  $L_{5b}$  are regular languages, can you directly present the left linear and the right linear grammar for them and then formally derive the NFAs from these grammars?
- (b) Can you also develop two (separate) DFAs for  $L_{5a}$  and  $L_{5b}$  directly? Then, from those DFAs, can you again formally derive the grammars for the same?

6. Prove that, the following context-free grammar,  $G = (\{S\}, \{a, b, c\}, P, S)$ , is ambiguous. Here, the production rules ( $P$ ) are given as:  $S \rightarrow aS \mid aSbS \mid c$ .

Construct a non-ambiguous grammar,  $G'$ , that derives the same language. Also prove  $\mathcal{L}(G) = \mathcal{L}(G')$ .

7. Consider the two CFGs  $G$  and  $G'$  with the start symbols  $S$  and  $S'$  and with the only productions:

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{Productions of } G : \quad S \rightarrow aS \mid B, \quad B \rightarrow bB \mid b \\ \text{Productions of } G' : \quad S' \rightarrow aA' \mid bB', \quad A' \rightarrow aA' \mid B', \quad B' \rightarrow bB' \mid \varepsilon \end{array}$$

Prove that,  $\mathcal{L}(G) \subset \mathcal{L}(G')$ , i.e.,  $\mathcal{L}(G)$  is strictly contained in  $\mathcal{L}(G')$ .