# Foundations of Algorithm Design and Machine Learning

Name: Kshitish Raj Roll No. : 24BM6JP25 Date : 06-02-2025 Lecture Slot: 8:00 hrs to 9:00 hrs

# Revision



### **Basic Complexity Class Relations**

- **P** ⊂ **NP** ∩ **Co-NP** → Problems solvable in polynomial time are a subset of both NP and Co-NP.
- **NPC**  $\subset$  **NP**  $\rightarrow$  NP-Complete (NPC) problems are a subset of NP.
- If  $NP \subseteq P$  (Unknown Case)  $\rightarrow$  Two possibilities:
  - 1.  $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{NP} \rightarrow$  Polynomial-time solvability implies verifiability.
  - 2.  $\mathbf{P} \neq \mathbf{NP} \rightarrow$  Some problems in NP cannot be solved in polynomial time.

# Definitions

- $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{Q} \rightarrow \text{Problem Q can be solved in } \mathbf{O}(\mathbf{n}^k) \text{ time.}$
- $NP = Q \rightarrow Problem Q's solution can be verified in O(n^k) time.$
- **Co-NP** =  $\mathbf{Q} \rightarrow$  Complement of Q belongs to NP.

# **NP-Completeness (NPC)**

A problem is **NP-Complete** if:

- 1. It belongs to NP.
- 2. All problems in NP can be polynomial-time reducible to it.

# **Reduction Concept**

- **Reduction (≤p)**: If a known **NP-Complete (NPC) problem** can be polynomially reduced to a **new problem**, then the new problem is at least as hard as the known NPC problem.
- Notation: Known NPC Problem ≤p New Problem

**Problems in P** (Solvable in polynomial time): **Shortest Path Problems in NP** (Solution can be verified in polynomial time): **Longest Path** 

# **Reduction Chain of NP-Complete Problems**

- 1. **SAT**  $\in$  NPC
- 2. **SAT**  $\leq$ **p 3-SAT** (Reduction from SAT to 3-SAT)
- 3. **3-SAT ≤p K-Clique** (Reduction from 3-SAT to K-Clique)
- 4. **3-SAT ≤p Hamiltonian Cycle** (Reduction from 3-SAT to Hamiltonian Cycle)

# **1. Reduction from SAT to 3-SAT:**

To reduce the SAT problem to the 3-SAT problem, we will transform a given Boolean formula in conjunctive normal form (CNF) into an equivalent 3-SAT formula, ensuring that each clause contains exactly three literals.

### **Construction of the 3-SAT Formula:**

### 1. Handling Clauses with Three or Fewer Literals:

- If a clause already contains exactly three literals, it remains unchanged.
- If a clause contains fewer than three literals, we introduce new dummy variables to extend it to three literals.

### **Examples:**

- $\circ~$  A clause with one literal, e.g., (x1), is transformed into (x1 V y V ¬y), where y is a new variable.
- A clause with one literal, e.g., (x1 V x2), is transformed into (x1 V y V  $\neg$ y), where y is a new variable.
- $\circ~$  A clause with two literals, e.g., (x1Vx2), is transformed into (x1 V x2 V y), where y is a new variable.

#### 2. Handling Clauses with More Than Three Literals:

• If a clause contains more than three literals, we break it into multiple clauses, each containing exactly three literals, by introducing new auxiliary variables.

### **Example:**

- Consider the clause (x1 V x2 V x3 V x4 V x5),
- We introduce new variables y1 and y2 and split the clause as follows:
  - (x1 ∨ x2 ∨ y1)
  - (¬y1 ∨ x3 ∨ y2)
  - (¬y2 ∨ x4 ∨ x5)

This transformation ensures that each new clause has exactly three literals while preserving the logical equivalence of the original formula.

### 3. Why This Works:

- The transformation preserves satisfiability:
  - If the original SAT formula is satisfiable, there exists an assignment of truth values that satisfies each clause. The introduced variables do not affect the truth assignment.
  - If the transformed 3-SAT formula is satisfiable, then the original formula is also satisfiable, as the newly introduced variables ensure logical consistency.
- Since this transformation is polynomial in size, it establishes that SAT reduces to 3-SAT in polynomial time.

Thus, this reduction proves that 3-SAT is at least as hard as SAT, demonstrating the equivalence of the two problems in terms of computational complexity.

# 2. Reduction from 3-SAT to K-Clique

### Goal:

Transform a **3-SAT formula** into a **graph** such that finding a **K-Clique** in the graph corresponds to solving the **3-SAT** problem.

# **Graph Construction**

- 1. Vertices (Variables and Literals)
  - Each **literal** (e.g., xi or ¬xi) in the **3-SAT formula** is represented as a **vertex** in the graph.
- 2. Clause Representation (Triangles)
  - For each **clause** (I1 V I2 V I3), create a **triangle** (fully connected set of three vertices) in the graph.
  - Each triangle contains the three literals in that clause.
- 3. Edges (Connecting Compatible Literals)
  - Edges are added between vertices that belong to different clauses if they are compatible (i.e., they do not contradict each other).
  - Incompatibility Condition: If two vertices represent complementary literals (e.g., xi and ¬xi), they are not connected.

### **K-Clique Formation**

- The clique size (K) is equal to the number of clauses in the 3-SAT formula.
- A K-Clique in this graph represents a truth assignment that satisfies all clauses.

### Why This Works

- If a K-Clique exists, it must contain one vertex from each clause, meaning that each clause has at least one true literal.
- If a **literal is in the clique**, its **complementary literal cannot be included**, ensuring a valid truth assignment.

### **Reduction Chain**

 3-SAT ≤p K-Clique → Finding a K-Clique in the constructed graph is equivalent to solving the 3-SAT problem.

# **Reduction from 3-SAT to Hamiltonian Cycle**

The goal is to **transform a 3-SAT formula into a directed graph** such that finding a **Hamiltonian cycle** in this graph corresponds to solving the **3-SAT** problem.

# **Graph Construction**

- 1. Vertices (Literals and Clauses Representation)
  - Each literal (xi or  $\neg$ xi) in the **3-SAT formula** is represented as a **vertex** in the graph.
  - Each clause ( $11 \lor 12 \lor 13$ ) is represented as a group of three vertices corresponding to its literals.
  - A start vertex (S) and an end vertex (T) are introduced to guide traversal.

### 2. Edges (Ensuring Logical Flow and Compatibility)

### • Within Each Clause:

- The three literals of a clause are arranged in a **cycle** (ensuring at least one literal per clause is selected).
- Between Clauses:
  - Directed edges connect **compatible literals** (i.e., those that do not contradict each other) across different clauses.
- Avoiding Contradictions:
  - If a literal xi is chosen, then its negation  $\neg xi$  should not be reachable.
- **Start and End Connections:** 
  - The start vertex (S) is connected to one literal from the first clause.
  - The end vertex (T) is connected to one literal from the last clause.

### Hamiltonian Cycle Formation

• A Hamiltonian cycle in this graph must pass through one literal from each clause, ensuring that at least one literal per clause is selected.

• The cycle must return to the **start vertex** without contradictions, implying a **valid truth assignment**.

# Why This Works

- If a **Hamiltonian cycle exists**, it must include **one vertex from each clause** and **avoid contradictions**.
- This ensures that there is a **truth assignment** satisfying the **3-SAT formula**.

# **Reduction Chain**

• **3-SAT ≤p Hamiltonian Cycle** → Finding a **Hamiltonian cycle** in the constructed graph is **equivalent** to solving the **3-SAT problem**.