

Roll no: _____ Name: _____

[Write in the respective spaces provided. All programs are assumed to #include appropriate header files.]

1. Consider the C program shown to the right. The user supplies a non-negative value of n . Prove or disprove: The number of lines printed by the program is the Fibonacci number F_{n+1} . (Recall that the Fibonacci sequence starts with $F_0 = 0$ and $F_1 = 1$.)

<pre>void f (int n) { if (n < 2) { printf("Hi...\n"); exit(0); } else { if (!fork()) f(n-1); if (!fork()) f(n-2); } }</pre>	<pre>int main (int argc, char *argv[]) { int n; if (argc == 1) exit(1); n = atoi(argv[1]); f(n); exit(0); }</pre>
--	--

(10)

Solution False. The claim is true only for $n = 0, 1, 2$. Take $n = 3$. The call $f(3)$ creates two child processes. The first child calls $f(2)$, and eventually returns and forks another process to run $f(1)$. It follows that four lines are printed for $n = 3$, whereas $F_4 = 3$. Indeed, for all $n \geq 3$, the number of lines printed is more than F_{n+1} .

2. (a) You compile and run the adjacent C program in a terminal (in the foreground). Two processes are created. The parent process keeps on printing **P**, and the child process keeps on printing **C**. What happens if you type control-c in the terminal?

(2)

Solution Both the parent and the child processes terminate.

```
int main ()
{
    if (fork()) {
        while (1) {
            printf("P");
            fflush(stdout);
            sleep(1);
        }
    } else {
        while (1) {
            printf("C");
            fflush(stdout);
            sleep(1);
        }
    }
}
```

(b) Rewrite the program so that typing control-c in the terminal for the first time terminates the child process (but the parent process continues to print **P**), and typing control-c in the terminal for the second time terminates the parent process. Use appropriate signal handlers, and note that a signal handler can be redefined inside a signal handler. (8)

Solution The child uses no SIGINT handler. The parent first uses the handler **firstint**, and then **secondint**.

```

void secondint ( int sig )
{
    printf("\n");
    wait(NULL);
    exit(1);
}

void firstint ( int sig )
{
    signal(SIGINT, secondint);
    printf("\n");
}

int main ()
{
    if (fork()) {
        signal(SIGINT, firstint);
        while (1) {
            printf("P");
            fflush(stdout);
            sleep(1);
        }
    } else {
        while (1) {
            printf("C");
            fflush(stdout);
            sleep(1);
        }
    }
}

```

3. The Unix command **fortune** (without any argument) prints a random quotation (from a famous personality or from the fortune teller). Write a C program to do the following task. It enters a loop in which it keeps on printing the prompt **\$** to the screen and reading user inputs. If the user enters **s**, then a fortune is printed to the screen. If the user enters **f**, then a fortune is printed to the file **fortunes.txt**. If the user enters anything else, the loop is broken, and the program terminates. If the file **fortunes.txt** exists before running the program, it is overwritten. All the fortunes printed by the **f** directive in a run of the program are written one after another in **fortune.txt**. You must use some **exec** function (to run **fortune** without any command-line argument) and **dup** (for suitable redirections of **stdout**). You are forbidden to use **system** or pipes. (10)

Solution The **main** function is given below.

```

int main ()
{
    FILE *fp;
    char resp;
    int stdoutcpy, filecpy;

    stdoutcpy = dup(1);
    fp = (FILE *)fopen("fortunes.txt", "w");
    filecpy = fileno(fp);
    while (1) {
        printf("$ ");
        scanf("%c", &resp);
        while (getchar() != '\n') ;
        if (resp == 'f') {
            close(1);
            dup(filecpy);
        } else if (resp != 's') {
            break;
        }
        if (!fork()) execlp("fortune", "fortune", NULL);
        wait(NULL);
        printf("\n");
        close(1);
        dup(stdoutcpy);
    }
    fclose(fp);
    exit(0);
}

```

4. Two programs `first.c` and `second.c` work as explained below. You compile the programs to the executable files named `first` and `second`, respectively. You first run `first` in a terminal, and then `second` in another terminal. No matter how much later you run `second` than `first`, the program `second` will first print "Hi from second" in its terminal, and then `first` will print "Hi from first" in its terminal. After the respective printing, each program will terminate. Write these two C programs. Use a semaphore for the synchronization. No other synchronization method will deserve any credit. No need to write the `#include`'s. (5 + 5)

first.c	second.c
<pre>int main () { int semid; key_t skey; struct sembuf P; skey = ftok("/home/", 'A'); semid = semget(skey, 1, 0777 IPC_CREAT); semctl(semid, 0, SETVAL, 0); P.sem_num = 0; P.sem_flg = 0; P.sem_op = -1; semop(semid, &P, 1); printf("Hi from first\n"); semctl(semid, 0, IPC_RMID, 0); exit(0); }</pre>	<pre>int main () { int semid; key_t skey; struct sembuf V; skey = ftok("/home/", 'A'); semid = semget(skey, 1, 0777 IPC_CREAT); V.sem_num = 0; V.sem_flg = 0; V.sem_op = 1; semop(semid, &V, 1); printf("Hi from second\n"); exit(0); }</pre>

5. The two C programs given below use the pthread API. Each of the two programs is meant for carrying out the task explained now. Let M be the main thread (the thread that runs `main()`), and T the other thread (the thread that runs `tmain()`). T is supposed to read the shared variable n from the user. After that, M is supposed to print the value of n that the user enters. Both the programs *may* encounter some problems that will not let them accomplish their desired tasks.

First program	Second program
<pre>pthread_mutex_t mtx = PTHREAD_MUTEX_INITIALIZER; int n; void *tmain (void *arg) { pthread_mutex_lock(&mtx); printf("T: n = "); scanf("%d", &n); pthread_mutex_unlock(&mtx); pthread_exit(NULL); } int main () { pthread_t t; pthread_create(&t, NULL, tmain, NULL); pthread_mutex_lock(&mtx); printf("M: n = %d\n", n); pthread_mutex_unlock(&mtx); pthread_exit(NULL); }</pre>	<pre>pthread_mutex_t mtx = PTHREAD_MUTEX_INITIALIZER; pthread_cond_t cnd = PTHREAD_COND_INITIALIZER; int n; void *tmain (void *arg) { pthread_mutex_lock(&mtx); printf("T: n = "); scanf("%d", &n); pthread_cond_signal(&cnd); pthread_mutex_unlock(&mtx); pthread_exit(NULL); } int main () { pthread_t t; pthread_create(&t, NULL, tmain, NULL); pthread_mutex_lock(&mtx); pthread_cond_wait(&cnd, &mtx); pthread_mutex_unlock(&mtx); printf("M: n = %d\n", n); pthread_exit(NULL); }</pre>

- (a) From the perspective of the user, what is the problem that the first program may face? (2)

Solution M prints an uninitialized value of n .

- (b) Why does the first program face the problem that you identified in Part (a)? (2)

Solution If M locks `mtx` first, then T does not get a chance to read n from the user until M releases `mtx`.

- (c) From the perspective of the user, what is the problem that the second program may face? (2)

Solution The program (M to be precise) hangs.

- (d) Why does the second program face the problem that you identified in Part (c)? (2)

Solution T locks `mtx` first, and reads n as intended. T then sends a signal on the condition variable `cnd` before eventually releasing `mtx`. After all these, M gets the opportunity to lock `mtx` and wait on `cnd`. But by that time, the signal on `cnd` sent by T is lost.

- (e) How can you write a correct program (using pthread synchronization primitives only) so that the two threads do their intended tasks? You do not need to write a program. Specify in words what the threads should do. Busy waits and `sleep()` (or similar calls) must not be used for synchronization. (2)

Solution A barrier B may be used. M initializes B to 2, and then creates T . Both M and T wait on B . M waits before printing n , whereas T waits after reading n from the user.