

# CS60088 Foundations of Cryptography, Spring 2014–2015

## Mid-Semester Test

18–February–2015

CSE-107, 2:00–4:00pm

Maximum marks: 35

Roll no: \_\_\_\_\_ Name: \_\_\_\_\_

[ Write your answers in the question paper itself. Be brief and precise. Answer all questions. ]

1. Let  $n = pq$  be an RSA modulus (with suitably large primes  $p$  and  $q$ ), and  $e$  and  $d$  the encryption and decryption keys of a party.

(a) Let  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_n$  be a message. Prove that there exists a positive integer  $k$  such that the  $k$ -fold encryption of  $m$  gives  $m$  itself, that is,  $m^{e^k} \equiv m \pmod{n}$ . (5)

*Solution* Since  $m, m^e, m^{e^2}, m^{e^3}, \dots \pmod{n}$  belong to a finite set, there must exist positive integers  $i$  and  $j$  with  $i < j$  such that  $m^{e^i} \equiv m^{e^j} \pmod{n}$ . The  $i$ -fold decryption of this gives  $m \equiv (m^{e^i})^{d^i} \equiv (m^{e^j})^{d^i} \equiv m^{e^{j-i}} \pmod{n}$ .

(b) Let  $\text{periodicity}(m, e)$  denote the smallest positive integer  $k$  for which  $m^{e^k} \equiv m \pmod{n}$ . Prove that  $\text{periodicity}(m, e)$  divides  $\phi(\phi(n))$ . (5)

*Solution* Let  $k = \text{periodicity}(m, e)$ . Since  $e \in \mathbb{Z}_{\phi(n)}^*$ , Euler's theorem gives  $e^{\phi(\phi(n))} \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(n)}$ , that is,  $m^{e^{\phi(\phi(n))}} \equiv m \pmod{n}$ . If  $m^{e^l} \equiv m \pmod{n}$ , we have  $m^{e^l} \equiv m^{e^k e^{l-k}} \equiv (m^{e^k})^{e^{l-k}} \equiv m^{e^{l-k}} \equiv m \pmod{n}$ . Proceeding in this way, we can show that  $m^{e^r} \equiv m \pmod{n}$ , where  $r = \phi(\phi(n)) \bmod k$ . By definition,  $k$  is the smallest positive integer for which  $m^{e^k} \equiv m \pmod{n}$ . Therefore,  $r$  must be zero.

(c) In this part, assume that  $p$  and  $q$  are safe primes, that is,  $p = 2p' + 1$  and  $q = 2q' + 1$  for some primes  $p'$  and  $q'$ . Assume further that an oracle exists that, upon the input of  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_n$  and  $e \in \mathbb{Z}_{\phi(n)}^*$ , returns  $\text{periodicity}(m, e)$ . Demonstrate how this oracle can be used to factor  $n$  in probabilistic polynomial time (without the knowledge of  $d$ ). (5)

*Solution* We invoke the oracle on several messages  $m$  and encryption exponents  $e$ . We must choose all encryption exponents  $e$  coprime to  $\phi(n) = 4p'q'$ . Randomly chosen odd values of  $e$  are expected to be coprime to  $\phi(n)$  with very high probability. Each invocation of the oracle returns a divisor of  $\phi(\phi(n))$ . After a few iterations, we expect that the lcm of these divisors equals  $\phi(\phi(n))$ . Notice that  $|\phi(\phi(n))| = |n| - 2$ , so it is easy to detect when  $\phi(\phi(n))$  is computed.

We now have two equations in  $p'$  and  $q'$ . First, we have

$$n = pq = (2p' + 1)(2q' + 1).$$

Second, we have  $\phi(n) = (p - 1)(q - 1) = 4p'q'$ , that is,

$$\phi(\phi(n)) = 2(p' - 1)(q' - 1).$$

Solving these two equations reveals  $p'$  and  $q'$  and subsequently  $p$  and  $q$  too.

**(Remark:** A single invocation of the oracle can never reveal  $\phi(\phi(n))$ . As in Part (b), we can prove that  $\text{periodicity}(m, e)$  divides  $\text{ord}_{\phi(n)}(e)$ , and  $\phi(n)$  being equal to  $4p'q'$ , the group  $\mathbb{Z}_{\phi(n)}^*$  is not cyclic.)

2. This exercise deals with a variant of ElGamal signatures. Let  $p$  be a suitably large prime with a primitive root  $g$  (that is,  $g$  is a generator of  $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$ ), and let the private and public keys of Alice be  $x$  and  $y$ , respectively (so we have  $y \equiv g^x \pmod{p}$ ). In order to sign a message  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{p-1}$ , Alice chooses  $k \in_U \mathbb{Z}_{p-1}$ , and computes  $r \equiv g^k \pmod{p}$  and  $s \equiv xr + km \pmod{p-1}$ . Alice's signature on  $m$  is the pair  $(r, s)$ .

(a) Show how the signature  $(r, s)$  on  $m$  can be verified. (5)

*Solution* For a valid signature, we have  $g^s \equiv (g^x)^r (g^k)^m \equiv y^r r^m \pmod{p}$ . Therefore, the verifier accepts the signature if and only if the congruence  $g^s \equiv y^r r^m \pmod{p}$  holds.

(b) Show how these modified ElGamal signatures can be existentially forged. (5)

*Solution* The forger chooses an  $r \equiv g^u y^v \pmod{p}$  for some  $u \in \mathbb{Z}_{p-1}$  and  $v \in \mathbb{Z}_{p-1}^*$ . Verification requires the congruence  $g^s \equiv y^r (g^u y^v)^m \pmod{p}$  be satisfied. So the forger can take  $s \equiv um \pmod{p-1}$ , and  $r + vm \equiv 0 \pmod{p-1}$ , that is, the forger first computes  $m \equiv -rv^{-1} \pmod{p-1}$ , and then obtains  $s \equiv um \equiv -urv^{-1} \pmod{p-1}$ .

3. Let  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$  be a suitably large prime, and  $g$  a generator of  $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$ . Assume that there exists an oracle which, upon the input of  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ , returns the third least significant bit  $x_2$  of  $x = \log_g a = (x_{l-1} \dots x_3 x_2 x_1 x_0)_2$  (where  $x \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, p-2\}$ ). We now design a polynomial-time algorithm to compute discrete logarithms in  $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$  to the base  $g$  by invoking this oracle multiple times.
- (a) Suppose that we want to compute  $x = \log_g a = (x_{l-1} \dots x_3 x_2 x_1 x_0)_2$ . Explain how  $x_0$  can be computed. (2)

*Solution* We compute the Legendre symbol  $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right)$ . If  $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = +1$ , then  $x_0 = 0$ . If  $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = -1$ , then  $x_0 = 1$ .

- (b) Explain how  $x_1$  can be computed by invoking the third-least-significant-bit oracle once. (3)

*Solution* Multiplying  $a$  by  $g^{1-x_0}$  lets us assume, without loss of generality, that  $x_0 = 1$ . We invoke the third-least-significant-bit oracle, supplying  $a^2 \pmod{p}$  as input. Let  $y = \log_g(a^2)$ . We claim that the third least significant bit of  $y$  is  $x_1$ .

If  $x < (p-1)/2$ , then  $y = 2x = (x_{l-1} \dots x_3 x_2 x_1 10)_2$ , so  $x_1$  is the third least significant bit of  $y$ . If  $x \geq (p-1)/2$ , then  $y = 2x - (p-1) = (x_{l-1} \dots x_3 x_2 x_1 10)_2 - (\dots 010)_2 = (\dots x_1 00)_2$ , that is,  $x_1$  is again the third least significant bit of  $y$ .

**(Remark:** If  $p \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$ , we take  $x_0 = 0$ .)

- (c) Explain how each of  $x_i, i \geq 2$ , can be computed by invoking the third-least-significant-bit oracle once. (5)

*Solution* For computing  $x_i, i \geq 2$ , assume that  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}$  are available. Take  $b \equiv ag^{-x_0 - 2x_1 - 2^2x_2 - \dots - 2^{i-1}x_{i-1}} \pmod{p}$ . We have  $\log_g b = (x_{l-1} \dots x_i 00 \dots 0)_2$ . Since  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , every quadratic residue in  $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$  has two square-roots, one of which is again a quadratic residue, and the other a quadratic non-residue. We successively take square root of  $b$  exactly  $i-2$  times. On each occasion, we take that square root which is a quadratic residue modulo  $p$  (this square root can be easily identified by a Legendre-symbol calculation). This eventually gives us  $c \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$  with  $z = \text{ind}_g c = (x_{l-1} \dots x_i 00)_2$ . Querying the third-least-significant-bit oracle, with  $c$  as input, gives us  $x_i$ .