

1. (a)  $q \rightarrow p \equiv \neg q \vee p \equiv \neg(\neg p \wedge q)$ . So the given expression is equivalent to  $t \vee \neg t$ , where  $t := \neg p \wedge q$ .  
 (b) As in Part (a) the given expression is equivalent to  $t \wedge \neg t$ , where  $t := p \wedge \neg q$ .  
 (c) For  $p = F$  and  $r = T$ ,  $(p \wedge q) \vee r$  evaluates to  $T$ , whereas  $p \wedge (q \vee r)$  evaluates to  $F$ .
  
2. (a) Let  $q := \lfloor x/c \rfloor$ . We can write  $x/c = q + \epsilon$  with  $0 \leq \epsilon < 1$ . But then  $x = qc + r$ , where  $0 \leq r := c\epsilon < c$ .  
 (b) Take  $c := ab$  and write  $x = qab + r$ , where  $q = \lfloor x/(ab) \rfloor$  and  $0 \leq r < ab$ . But then  $x/a = qb + (r/a)$  and so  $\lfloor x/a \rfloor = qb + \lfloor r/a \rfloor$ , i.e.,  $\lfloor \lfloor x/a \rfloor / b \rfloor = q + \lfloor \lfloor r/a \rfloor / b \rfloor$ . Now  $\lfloor r/a \rfloor \leq r/a < ab/a = b$  and  $\lfloor r/a \rfloor \geq 0$ . Therefore,  $\lfloor \lfloor r/a \rfloor / b \rfloor = 0$ .
  
3.  $[\neg(1) \Rightarrow \neg(2)]$  Obvious.  
 $[\neg(2) \Rightarrow \neg(1)]$  Assume that  $f(i) \leq i$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Suppose that  $f(i) < i$  for some  $i$ . Since  $f$  is bijective,  $f(1), f(2), \dots, f(n)$  is a permutation of  $1, 2, \dots, n$ . But then  $1 + 2 + \dots + n = \sum_{i=1}^n f(i) < \sum_{i=1}^n i$ , which is absurd. So  $f(i) = i$  for all  $i$ , i.e.,  $f = \iota_A$ .  
 The implications  $\neg(1) \iff \neg(3)$  can be proved similarly.
  
4. (a) The inequalities clearly hold for  $n = 1$ . So take  $n \geq 2$  and assume that the inequalities hold for  $n - 1$ , i.e.,  $2f(n - 2) \leq f(n - 1) \leq 3f(n - 2) - 1$ . It then follows that  $2f(n - 1) + 2 \leq 6f(n - 2) \leq 3f(n - 1)$ . Now  $f(n) = 5f(n - 1) - 6f(n - 2) + 1$ , i.e.,  $f(n) \geq 5f(n - 1) - 3f(n - 1) + 1 = 2f(n - 1) + 1 \geq 2f(n - 1)$ . Also  $f(n) \leq 5f(n - 1) - (2f(n - 1) + 2) + 1 = 3f(n - 1) - 1$ .  
 (b) For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have  $f(n) \leq 3f(n - 1) - 1 \leq 3f(n - 1) \leq 3^2 f(n - 2) \leq 3^3 f(n - 3) \leq \dots \leq 3^n f(0) = 3^n$ . Similarly,  $f(n) \geq 2f(n - 1) \geq 2^2 f(n - 2) \geq 2^3 f(n - 3) \geq \dots \geq 2^n f(0) = 2^n$ .
  
5. Let me prove the assertions by induction on  $n$ . All the assertions are clearly true for  $n = 0$ . So let me prove only the inductive steps. The expressions in square brackets follow from the induction hypotheses.  
 (a)  $F_{2(n+1)+1} = F_{2n+3} = F_{2n+1} + F_{2n+2} = [1 + F_2 + F_4 + \dots + F_{2n}] + F_{2n+2} = 1 + F_2 + F_4 + \dots + F_{2(n+1)}$ .  
 (b)  $F_{2(n+1)+2} = F_{2n+4} = F_{2n+2} + F_{2n+3} = [F_1 + F_3 + \dots + F_{2n+1}] + F_{2n+3} = F_1 + F_3 + \dots + F_{2(n+1)+1}$ .  
 (c)  $\gcd(F_{n+1}, F_{n+2}) = \gcd(F_{n+1}, F_{n+2} - F_{n+1}) = \gcd(F_{n+1}, F_n) = \gcd(F_n, F_{n+1}) = [1]$ .
  
6. Let  $S := \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ . Since  $S$  has  $2^n - 1$  non-empty subsets and the sum  $\sum_{x \in A} x$  for a non-empty subset  $A$  of  $S$  can assume  $\leq 2^n - 2$  values (between 1 and  $2^n - 2$ ), by the pigeon-hole principle  $\sum_{a \in A'} a = \sum_{b \in B'} b$  for two distinct non-empty subsets  $A'$  and  $B'$  of  $S$ . Now throw away the common elements from  $A'$  and  $B'$ , i.e., take  $A := A' \setminus B' = A' \setminus (A' \cap B')$  and  $B := B' \setminus A' = B' \setminus (A' \cap B')$ . Then  $A$  and  $B$  are non-empty too and we continue to have  $\sum_{a \in A} a = \sum_{b \in B} b$ .
  
7. (a) For each value of  $x_2 \in \{0, 1, \dots, k\}$  we get a unique non-negative solution for  $x_1$ . For  $x_2 > k$  the value of  $x_1$  becomes negative (and so unacceptable).  
 (b) If  $x_3 > l$ , we cannot have non-negative solutions for both  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ . For  $x_3 = l \in \{0, 1, \dots, k\}$  we have  $x_1 + x_2 = 2(2k - 2l)$ , which has  $2k - 2l + 1$  solutions for  $(x_1, x_2)$  by Part (a). Thus the total number of solutions of the original equation is  $\sum_{l=0}^k (2k - 2l + 1) = (2k + 1) + (2k - 1) + \dots + 5 + 3 + 1 = (k + 1)^2$ .