### **RICE'S**

### THEOREMS

### Abhijit Das Sudeshna Kolay

Department of Computer Science and Engineering Indian Institute of Technology Kharagpur

March 29, 2022

### **Properties of RE Languages**

- Class of all r.e. languages:  $RE = \left\{ \mathscr{L}(M) \mid M \text{ is a Turing machine} \right\}.$
- Each member of RE is specified by a Turing machine.
- Unrestricted grammars can also be used to specify r.e. languages.
- A **property** of r.e. sets is a map  $P : \text{RE} \rightarrow \{T, F\}$ .
- Example: Emptiness is a property defined as  $P_{EMP}(L) = \begin{cases} T & \text{if } L = \emptyset, \\ F & \text{if } L \neq \emptyset. \end{cases}$
- Properties too are specified by Turing machines.
- Example: The emptiness property is specified by any member of

$$P_{EMP} = \Big\{ M \mid \mathscr{L}(M) = \emptyset \Big\}.$$

## **Examples of Properties**

- Finiteness property: Any member of  $\{M \mid \mathscr{L}(M) \text{ is finite}\}$ .
- Regularity property: Any member of  $\{M \mid \mathscr{L}(M) \text{ is regular}\}$ .
- Context-free property: Any member of  $\{M \mid \mathscr{L}(M) \text{ is context free}\}$ .
- Acceptance of a string: Any member of  $\{M \mid 01011000 \in \mathscr{L}(M)\}$ .

• Full-ness property: Any member of 
$$\{M \mid \mathscr{L}(M) = \Sigma^*\}$$
.

- We specify a property by a *single Turing machine*, the language of which has that property.
- Properties are properties of *r.e. sets*, *not* of Turing machines.
- A property must be independent of the representative machine.

# **Non-Examples**

- Any member of  $\{M \mid M \text{ has at least } 2022 \text{ states} \}$ .
  - We can design two TMs  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  both accepting  $\emptyset$ .
  - $M_1$  has less than 2022 states.
  - $M_2$  has 2022 or more states.
  - If  $\emptyset$  is represented by  $M_1$ , the property is false for  $\emptyset$ .
  - If  $\emptyset$  is represented by  $M_2$ , the property is true for  $\emptyset$ .
- Any member of  $\{M \mid M \text{ is a total TM}\}$ .
- Any member of  $\{M \mid M \text{ rejects } 01011000 \text{ and halts} \}$ .
- Any member of  $\{M \mid M \text{ ever goes to the right of the input}\}$ .
- Any member of

 $\{M \mid M \text{ has the least number of states among all machines accepting } \mathscr{L}(M) \}.$ 

- Trivial properties
  - The constant map  $RE \rightarrow \{T, F\}$  taking all  $L \in RE$  to T.
  - The constant map  $RE \rightarrow \{T, F\}$  taking all  $L \in RE$  to F.
- Any other property is called non-trivial.
- Example of trivial property:  $\mathscr{L}(M)$  is recursively enumerable.
- Example of non-trivial property:  $\mathscr{L}(M)$  is recursive.
- Monotone properties
  - Assume  $F \leq T$ .
  - Whenever  $A \subseteq B$ , we have  $P(A) \leq P(B)$ .
  - Examples of monotone properties:  $\mathscr{L}(M)$  is infinite,  $\mathscr{L}(M) = \Sigma^*$ .
  - Examples of non-monotone properties:  $\mathscr{L}(M)$  is finite,  $\mathscr{L}(M) = \emptyset$ .

## **Rice's Theorem (Part 1)**

#### Theorem

No non-trivial property P of r.e. languages is decidable. In other words, the set

$$\Pi = \left\{ N \mid P(\mathcal{L}(N)) = T \right\}$$

is not recursive.

Proof

- Let *P* be a non-trivial property of r.e. languages.
- Suppose  $P(\emptyset) = F$ .
- Since *P* is non-trivial, there exist  $L \in \text{RE}$ ,  $L \neq \emptyset$ , such that P(L) = T.
- Let *K* be a Turing machine with  $\mathscr{L}(K) = L$ .
- We make a reduction from HP to  $\Pi$ .
- If  $P(\emptyset) = T$ , we take K with  $\mathscr{L}(K) = L \neq \emptyset$  and P(L) = F. This establishes  $\overline{HP} \leq_m \Pi$ .

## **Rice's Theorem:** The Reduction $HP \leq_m \Pi$

- **Input:** *M* # *w* (an instance of HP)
- **Output:** A Turing machine N such that  $P(\mathscr{L}(N)) = T$  if and only if M halts on w.
- Behavior of *N* on input *v*:
  - Copy *v* to a separate tape.
  - Write *w* to the first tape, and simulate *M* on *w*.
  - If the simulation halts:
    - Simulate K on v.
    - Accept if and only if K accepts v.
- If *M* halts on *w*,  $\mathscr{L}(N) = \mathscr{L}(K) = L$ .
- If *M* does not halt on *w*,  $\mathscr{L}(N) = \emptyset$ .
- P(L) = T and  $P(\emptyset) = F$ .

#### Theorem

No non-monotone property P of r.e. languages is semidecidable. In other words, the set

$$\Pi = \left\{ N \mid P(\mathscr{L}(N)) = T \right\}$$

is not recursively enumerable.

### Proof

• *P* is non-monotone. So there exist r.e. languages  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  such that

 $L_1 \subseteq L_2, \qquad P(L_1) = T, \qquad P(L_2) = F.$ 

- Take Turing machines  $K_1, K_2$  such that  $\mathscr{L}(K_1) = L_1$  and  $\mathscr{L}(K_2) = L_2$ .
- We supply a reduction from  $\overline{\text{HP}}$  to  $\Pi$ .
- The reduction algorithm embeds the information of *M*, *w*, *K*<sub>1</sub>, and *K*<sub>2</sub> in the finite control of *N*.

# **Rice's Theorem: Part 2: The Reduction** $\overline{\mathbf{HP}} \leq_m \Pi$

- **Input:** *M* # *w*.
- **Output:** A Turing machine N such that  $P(\mathscr{L}(N)) = T$  if and only if M does *not* halt on w.
- Behavior of *N* on input *v*:
  - Copy *v* from the first tape to the second tape, and *w* from the finite control to the third tape.
  - Run three simulations in parallel (one step of each in round-robin fashion)

 $K_1$  on v on the first tape,  $K_2$  on v on the second tape, M on w on the third tape.

- Accept if and only if one of the following conditions hold:
  - (1)  $K_1$  accepts v,
  - (2) *M* halts on *w*, and  $K_2$  accepts *v*.
- *M* does not halt on  $w \Rightarrow N$  accepts by  $(1) \Rightarrow \mathscr{L}(N) = \mathscr{L}(K_1) = L_1$ .
- If *M* halts on *w*, *N* accepts if either  $K_1$  or  $K_2$  accepts *v*. In this case,  $\mathscr{L}(N) = \mathscr{L}(K_1) \cup \mathscr{L}(K_2) = L_1 \cup L_2 = L_2$  (since  $L_1 \subseteq L_2$ ).

- 1. Prove/Disprove: No non-trivial property of r.e. languages is semidecidable.
- 2. Use Rice's theorems to prove that neither the following languages nor their complements are r.e.
  - (a) REG = { $M \mid \mathscr{L}(M)$  is regular}.
  - (b) CFL = { $M \mid \mathscr{L}(M)$  is context-free}.
  - (c) REC = { $M \mid \mathscr{L}(M)$  is recursive}.
- **3.** [*Generalization of Rice's theorem for pairs of r.e. langauges*] Consider the set of pairs of r.e. languages:  $RE^2 = \{(L_1, L_2) | L_1, L_2 \in RE\}$ .
  - (a) Define a property of pairs of r.e. languages.
  - (b) How do you specify a property of a pair of r.e. languages?
  - (c) Which properties of pairs of r.e. languages should be called non-trivial?
  - (d) Prove that every non-trivial property of pairs of r.e. languages is undecidable.

## **Tutorial Exercises**

- **4.** Use the previous exercise to prove that the following problems about pairs of r.e. languages are undecidable.
  - (a)  $\mathscr{L}(M) = \mathscr{L}(N)$ .
  - (b)  $\mathscr{L}(M) \subseteq \mathscr{L}(N)$ .
  - (c)  $\mathscr{L}(M) \cap \mathscr{L}(N) = \emptyset$ .
  - (d)  $\mathscr{L}(M) \cap \mathscr{L}(N)$  is finite.
  - (e)  $\mathscr{L}(M) \cap \mathscr{L}(N)$  is regular.
  - (f)  $\mathscr{L}(M) \cap \mathscr{L}(N)$  is context-free.
  - (g)  $\mathscr{L}(M) \cap \mathscr{L}(N)$  is recursive.
  - (h)  $\mathscr{L}(M) \cup \mathscr{L}(N) = \Sigma^*$ .
  - (i)  $\mathscr{L}(M) \cup \mathscr{L}(N) = \emptyset$ .
  - (j)  $\mathscr{L}(M) \cup \mathscr{L}(N)$  is finite.
  - (k)  $\mathscr{L}(M) \cup \mathscr{L}(N)$  is recursive.
- 5. Generalize Rice's theorem, Part 2, for pairs of RE sets.