

Collapse

$$p \approx q \iff \forall z \in \Sigma^* \left[\begin{array}{l} \hat{\delta}(p, z) \in F \\ \hat{\delta}(q, z) \in F \end{array} \right]$$

Quotient construction

→ equivalence classes treated as single states

DFA M to an equivalence relation \equiv_M on Σ^*

$$x \equiv_M y \iff \hat{\delta}(s, x) = \hat{\delta}(s, y)$$

MN relation \equiv on Σ^* for a language L

$$(1) \quad x \equiv y \iff \forall a \in \Sigma \ [xa \equiv ya] \quad \text{right congruence}$$

$$(2) \quad x \equiv y \implies (x \in L \iff y \in L) \quad \equiv \text{refines } L$$

$$(3) \quad \equiv \text{ is of finite index}$$



Construction: Given an MN relation \equiv for L , to construct a DFA $M_{\equiv} = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, s, F)$ such that $\mathcal{L}(M_{\equiv}) = L$.

$$Q = \{ [x] \mid x \in \Sigma^* \} \rightarrow \text{finite by (3)}$$

$$s = [\epsilon]$$

$$F = \{ [x] \mid x \in L \} \rightarrow \text{well-defined by (2)}$$

$$\delta([x], a) = [xa] \rightarrow \text{well-defined by (1)}$$

$$x \in \mathcal{L}(M_{\equiv}) \Leftrightarrow \hat{\delta}(s, x) \in F \Leftrightarrow \hat{\delta}([\epsilon], x) \in F \Leftrightarrow [x] \in F \Leftrightarrow x \in L$$

These two constructions are inverses of one another

$$\equiv \mapsto M \equiv \mapsto \equiv M \equiv$$

$$x \equiv_{M \equiv} y \iff \hat{\delta}([\epsilon], x) = \hat{\delta}([\epsilon], y)$$

$$\iff [x] = [y] \quad f: Q' \rightarrow Q$$

$$\iff x \equiv y \quad f([x]) = \hat{\delta}(s, x)$$

$$M \mapsto \equiv_M \mapsto M' = M \equiv_M$$

$$\begin{matrix} \text{"} \\ (Q, \Sigma, \delta, s, F) \end{matrix} \quad \curvearrowright \quad (Q', \Sigma, \delta', s', F')$$

$$M = (Q_M, \Sigma, \delta_M, s_M, F_M)$$

$$N = (Q_N, \Sigma, \delta_N, s_N, F_N)$$

M and N are called isomorphic if there exists a bijective map $f: Q_M \rightarrow Q_N$ such that

$$(1) \quad f(s_M) = s_N$$

$$(2) \quad f(F_M) = F_N$$

$$(3) \quad f(\delta_M(p, a)) = \delta_N(f(p), a)$$

$\forall p \in Q_M \text{ and } \forall a \in \Sigma$

Def: Let L be any language (not necessarily regular).

Define an equivalence relation \equiv_L as follows.

$$x \equiv_L y \iff \forall z \in \Sigma^* [xz \in L \iff yz \in L]$$

Theorem: \equiv_L is an MN' relation for L .

Proof: \equiv_L refines L . Take $z = \epsilon$ in the defn.

$$x \equiv_L y \Rightarrow [x \in L \iff y \in L]$$

\equiv_L satisfies right congruence $z = aw$

$$x \equiv_L y \Rightarrow \forall a \in \Sigma \forall w \in \Sigma^* [xaw \in L \iff yaw \in L]$$
$$\iff \forall a \in \Sigma [xa \equiv_L ya]$$

Does such a relation exist for L ?

Yes. Equality relation. \rightarrow The finest MN' relation for L .

We are interested in the coarsest MN' relation for L .
with as few equiv classes as possible

Theorem: \equiv_L is the coarsest MN' relation for L .

Proof: Let \equiv be any MN' relation for L .

$$\text{TST: } x \equiv y \Rightarrow x \equiv_L y$$

Generalize right congruence for \equiv $x \equiv y \Rightarrow \forall z \in \Sigma^*$
 $[xz \equiv yz]$

By refinement property

$$\boxed{\equiv \subseteq \equiv_L}$$

$$\Rightarrow x \equiv_L y$$

$$\Rightarrow \forall z \in \Sigma^* [xz \in L \Leftrightarrow yz \in L]$$

Myhill-Nerode theorem

Let $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$. Then the following are equivalent

- (1) L is regular
- (2) L has an MN relation
- (3) \equiv_L is of finite index.

(1) \Rightarrow (2) $L = \mathcal{L}(M)$ Consider \equiv_M

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Let \equiv be an MN relation for L

$\equiv \subseteq \equiv_L$ \equiv_L is not finer than \equiv

(3) \Rightarrow (1) Do the construction $\equiv_L \mapsto M \equiv_L$

Application 1

$M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, s, F)$ be a collapsed DFA
without unreachable states

$$L = \mathcal{L}(M)$$

Then $\equiv_M = \equiv_L$

Proof :

$$\begin{aligned} x \equiv_L y &\Leftrightarrow \forall z \in \Sigma^* [xz \in L \Leftrightarrow yz \in L] \\ &\Leftrightarrow \forall z \in \Sigma^* [\hat{\delta}(s, xz) \in F \Leftrightarrow \hat{\delta}(s, yz) \in F] \\ &\Leftrightarrow \forall z \in \Sigma^* [\hat{\delta}(\hat{\delta}(s, x), z) \in F \Leftrightarrow \hat{\delta}(\hat{\delta}(s, y), z) \in F] \\ &\Leftrightarrow \hat{\delta}(s, x) \approx \hat{\delta}(s, y) \Leftrightarrow \hat{\delta}(s, x) = \hat{\delta}(s, y) \Leftrightarrow x \equiv_M y \end{aligned}$$

Application 2

$\{a^n b^n \mid n \geq 0\}$ is not regular.

$i \neq j$ $a^i b^i \in L$ $a^j b^i \notin L$

$a^i b^j \notin L$ $a^j b^j \in L$

$a^i \not\equiv_L a^j$

$[a^0], [a^1], [a^2], [a^3], \dots$ are all distinct

\equiv_L is not of finite index

L is not regular.