

Solutions

1. (a) 10322, (b) 160, (c) 153, (d) 496, (e) 590.

2. (a) $f(X)$, if reducible in $\mathbb{F}_5[X]$, admits a linear factor in $\mathbb{F}_5[X]$, i.e., a root in \mathbb{F}_5 . But $f(0) \equiv 4 \pmod{5}$, $f(1) \equiv 7 \equiv 2 \pmod{5}$, $f(2) \equiv 16 \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$, $f(3) \equiv 37 \equiv 2 \pmod{5}$ and $f(4) \equiv 76 \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$.

(b) In order to compute b^{-1} , I should compute the extended gcd of $f(X)$ with $b(X) = 2X^2 + 3$ in $\mathbb{F}_5[X]$. The following table lists the relevant computations:

i	$r_i = r_{i-2} \text{ rem } r_{i-1}$	$q_i = r_{i-2} \text{ quot } r_{i-1}$	$v_i = v_{i-2} - q_i v_{i-1}$
0	$X^3 + 2X + 4$	—	0
1	$2X^2 + 3$	—	1
2	$3X + 4$	$3X$	$2X$
3	1	$4X + 3$	$2X^2 + 4X + 1$

Therefore, $b^{-1} = 2\alpha^2 + 4\alpha + 1$ and so $ab^{-1} = (3\alpha^2 + 2\alpha + 1)(2\alpha^2 + 4\alpha + 1) = \alpha^4 + \alpha^3 + 3\alpha^2 + \alpha + 1 = (\alpha^4 + 2\alpha^2 + 4\alpha) + (\alpha^3 + 2\alpha + 4) + (\alpha^2 + 2) = \alpha^2 + 2$.

3. (a) The signing equation for the modified ElGamal scheme is $H(M) \equiv d\bar{t} + d'H(s) \pmod{p-1}$. Exponentiation gives the congruence $g^{H(M)} \equiv (g^d)^{\bar{t}} s^{H(s)} \pmod{p}$ to be checked for verification.

(b) If d is known, one can generate the signature (s, \bar{t}) on M in polynomial time. Conversely, suppose that an intruder chooses d' of her choice and somehow obtains the valid signature (s, \bar{t}) on M . If \bar{t} is invertible modulo $p-1$, she can compute $d \equiv (\bar{t})^{-1}[H(M) - d'H(s)] \pmod{p-1}$ in polynomial time.

(c) Precomputation of $d^{-1} \pmod{p-1}$ saves the time for computing a modular inverse during each signing operation. However, if s, t, \bar{t} are known, one has:

$$\begin{aligned} H(M) &\equiv dH(s) + d't \pmod{p-1}, \\ H(M) &\equiv d\bar{t} + d'H(s) \pmod{p-1}. \end{aligned}$$

This is a system of two linear congruences, and if $H(s)^2 - t\bar{t}$ is invertible modulo $p-1$, one can solve this system to obtain the unknown values d and d' .

4. (a) By Euler's criterion $\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) = (-1)^{(p-1)/2} = -1$, since $(p-1)/2 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$. Similarly for q .

(b) a has exactly two square roots modulo p , say $\pm u \pmod{p}$, and exactly two square roots $\pm v$ modulo q . Combining using CRT gives exactly four square roots (b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4) of a modulo n .

By Part (a) exactly one of u and $-u$ is a quadratic residue modulo p , and exactly one of v and $-v$ is a quadratic residue modulo q . Finally, note that b is a quadratic residue modulo n , if and only if b is a quadratic residue modulo both p and q .

(c) If Alice knows p and q , she can compute (in poly-time) the four square roots b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4 of a modulo n . Since b is a quadratic residue (x^2) modulo n , it is the unique square root of a which is a quadratic residue modulo n . Thus Alice succeeds in proving her identity.

On the other hand, suppose that an intruder can produce b for any given biquadratic residue (fourth power) a . By Parts (a) and (b) quadratic residues modulo n are biquadratic residues too; so the intruder can compute square roots of a modulo n for any $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$. By our assumption this is infeasible.

(d) Bob randomly locates $b' \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$ with $\left(\frac{b'}{n}\right) = -1$. This means that either $\left(\frac{b'}{p}\right) = -1$ or $\left(\frac{b'}{q}\right) = -1$, but not both. Bob sends $a := (b')^2 \pmod{n}$. Since quadratic residues modulo n are also biquadratic residues, $a \equiv x^4 \pmod{n}$ for some $x \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$. Alice returns $b \equiv x^2 \pmod{n}$. But then $\left(\frac{b}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{b}{q}\right) = 1$, i.e., b is congruent to b' modulo exactly one of p and q and not congruent to b' modulo the other prime. Thus $\gcd(b - b', n)$ is a non-trivial factor of n .

$h = mq + r$ for $0 < r < m$. Then $a^h = (a^m)^q a^r = a^r \neq e$ by the definition of m .

(b) Let $l := \text{ord}_G(a^k)$. Since $k/\text{gcd}(m, k)$ is an integer, we have $(a^k)^{m/\text{gcd}(m, k)} = (a^m)^{k/\text{gcd}(m, k)} = e$, and so by Part (a) $l \mid m/\text{gcd}(m, k)$. Conversely, $a^{kl} = (a^k)^l = e$, i.e., $m \mid kl$, i.e., $m/\text{gcd}(m, k)$ divides $(k/\text{gcd}(m, k))l$. Since $\text{gcd}(m/\text{gcd}(m, k), k/\text{gcd}(m, k)) = 1$, we have $m/\text{gcd}(m, k) \mid l$.

6. (a) $\text{ord}_n(a)$ divides $\phi(n)$ and hence $ed - 1 = 2^s t$ too, i.e., $\text{ord}_n(a) = 2^{s'} t'$ for $0 \leq s' \leq s$ and $t' \mid t$. By Exercise 5(a) $\text{ord}_n(a^t) = 2^{s'} t' / \text{gcd}(2^{s'} t', t) = 2^{s'} t' / t' = 2^{s'}$.

(b) Let $v := v_2(p - 1)$, i.e., $p - 1 = 2^v r$ for some odd r . By definition $\text{ord}_p(g) = 2^v r$, and so $\text{ord}_p(g^k) = 2^v r / \delta$, where $\delta := \text{gcd}(2^v r, k)$. If k is odd, δ is odd and divides r , i.e., $\text{ord}_p(g^k) = 2^v (r/\delta)$. On the other hand, if k is even, δ is even too, and we can write $\delta = 2^{v'} r'$ for some $v' > 0$ and for some odd r' dividing r , so that $\text{ord}_p(g^k) = 2^{v-v'} (r/r')$. It then follows that $\text{ord}_p(a^t) = \begin{cases} 2^v & \text{if } k \text{ is odd,} \\ 2^{v-v'} & \text{if } k \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$

(c) Let $\text{ord}_p(a^t) = 2^\sigma$ and $\text{ord}_q(a^t) = 2^\tau$ with $\sigma \neq \tau$. We only consider $\sigma < \tau$ — the other case can be handled similarly. Consider the element $b := a^{2^\sigma t} = (a^t)^{2^\sigma} \pmod{n}$. By the choices of σ and τ we have $b \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ and $b \not\equiv 1 \pmod{q}$, i.e., $p \mid (b - 1)$ and $q \nmid (b - 1)$, so that $\text{gcd}(b - 1, n) = p$.

(d) Let $v := v_2(p - 1)$ and $w := v_2(q - 1)$. Let g be a primitive element modulo p and h a primitive element modulo q . Consider the sets

$$\begin{aligned} S_0 &:= \{g^k \pmod{p} \mid k = 0, 2, 4, \dots, p - 3\}, \\ S_1 &:= \{g^k \pmod{p} \mid k = 1, 3, 5, \dots, p - 2\}, \\ T_0 &:= \{h^k \pmod{q} \mid k = 0, 2, 4, \dots, q - 3\}, \\ T_1 &:= \{h^k \pmod{q} \mid k = 1, 3, 5, \dots, q - 2\}. \end{aligned}$$

We have $\#S_0 = \#S_1 = (p - 1)/2$ and $\#T_0 = \#T_1 = (q - 1)/2$. Also recall that $\phi(n) = (p - 1)(q - 1)$.

Case 1: $v = w$

Take $x \in S_0$ and $y \in T_1$. By the CRT we have a (unique) $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$ with $a \equiv x \pmod{p}$ and $a \equiv y \pmod{q}$. By Part (b) we have $v_2(\text{ord}_p(a^t)) < v_2(\text{ord}_q(a^t))$, i.e., in particular, $\text{ord}_p(a^t) \neq \text{ord}_q(a^t)$. This accounts for $[(p - 1)/2][(q - 1)/2] = \phi(n)/4$ elements $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$ with $\text{ord}_p(a^t) \neq \text{ord}_q(a^t)$. Choosing $x \in S_1$ and $y \in T_0$ similarly gives us a (disjoint) set of $\phi(n)/2$ such elements.

Case 2: $v < w$

Take $x \in S_0 \cup S_1$ and $y \in T_1$ and follow an argument as in Case 1.

Case 3: $v > w$

Take $x \in S_1$ and $y \in T_0 \cup T_1$.

(e) One repeats the following procedure for random $a \in \{1, 2, \dots, n - 1\}$, until one succeeds to factor n .

If $\text{gcd}(a, n) > 1$, this gcd is a non-trivial factor of n . So assume $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$. Compute $\text{gcd}(a^{2^\sigma t} - 1, n)$ for $\sigma = 0, 1, \dots, s - 1$. With probability $1/2$ we have $\text{ord}_p(a^t) \neq \text{ord}_q(a^t)$, and if so, some σ will give us a non-trivial factor of n by Part (c).