CS60001 Advances in Algorithms, Autumn 2008–09

Class test 1

Maximum marks: 40 September 12, 2008 Total time: 1 hour

Roll no:	Name:

[Write your answers in the question paper itself. Be brief and precise. Answer <u>all</u> questions.]

- 1. Let A be an array of n integers $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}$ (negative integers are allowed). Denote, by $A[i\ldots j]$, the subarray $a_i, a_{i+1}, \ldots, a_j$ for $i \leq j$. Also let $S_{i,j}$ denote the sum $a_i + a_{i+1} + \cdots + a_j$. Your task is to find out the maximum value of $S_{i,j}$ over all allowed indices i,j. Call this maximum value S. For example, for the array 1, 3, -7, 2, -1, 5, -1, -2, 4, -6, 3, this maximum sum is $S = S_{3,8} = 2 + (-1) + 5 + (-1) + (-2) + 4 = 7$. This example illustrates that the maximum sum may come from a subarray containing negative elements. Let us also allow j < i in the notation $A[i\ldots j]$. In this case, $A[i\ldots j]$ denotes the *empty* subarray (that is, a subarray that ends before it starts) with sum $S_{i,j} = 0$. Indeed, if all the elements of A are negative, then one returns A as the maximum subarray sum.
 - (a) Design a naive algorithm that computes $S_{i,j}$ for all the pairs i,j with $0 \le i \le j \le n-1$, and obtains the maximum of these computed sums. Your program must run in $O(n^2)$ time. Write a pseudocode for your algorithm. Also supply an argument that your algorithm has $O(n^2)$ running time. (10)

The above algorithm computes $S_{i,j}$ in a doubly nested loop. The inner loop is based upon the fact that $S_{i,i-1}=0$ and $S_{i,j}=S_{i,j-1}+a_j$ for $j\geqslant i$. Each iteration of the inner loop takes O(1) running time. The total number of iterations of the inner loop is $n+(n-1)+\cdots+2+1=n(n-1)/2$, which is $O(n^2)$.

Our plan is to arrive at an O(n)-time dynamic-programming algorithm to solve the maximum subarray sum problem.

(b) For $j \ge 0$, define E_j to be the maximum of all the values $S_{i,j}$ for $i=0,1,\ldots,j$. Thus, E_j represents the maximum subarray sum over all subarrays ending at index j. If no such subarray has positive sum, we take $E_j=0$ (this corresponds to the empty suffix). We also take $E_{-1}=0$. Prove that $E_j=\max(0,E_{j-1}+a_j)$ for $j\ge 0$.

Solution A maximum-sum suffix of $A[0 \dots j]$ is obtained by appending a_j to a maximum-sum suffix of $A[0 \dots j-1]$. However, if $a_j < 0$, we may have $E_{j-1} + a_j < 0$. In this case, $A[0 \dots j]$ does not have a non-empty suffix with positive sum.

(c) Let
$$S_{-1}=0$$
. For $j\geqslant 0$, define $S_j=\max_{i',j'}\left(\{S_{i',j'}\mid 0\leqslant i'\leqslant j'\leqslant j\}\cup\{0\}\right)$. Our task is to compute $S_{n-1}=S$. Prove that $S_j=\max(S_{j-1},E_j)$ for $j\geqslant 0$.

Solution When a new element a_j is considered, the maximum-sum subarray $A[i'\ldots j']$ of $A[0\ldots j]$ is to be searched from two pools—the first corresponding to j' < j and the second to j' = j. The first case refers to a maximum-sum subarray of $A[0\ldots j-1]$, whereas the second case refers to subarrays of $A[0\ldots j]$ that include a_j (that is, suffixes of $A[0\ldots j]$).

(d) Describe an O(n)-time algorithm for the computation of the maximum S. Write a pseudocode for your algorithm and also justify that your algorithm runs in linear time. Inefficient management of extra space will be penalized. (10)

```
Solution Initialize S=0 and E=0. For j=0,1,2,\ldots,n-1 { First, update E as E=\max(0,E+a_j). Then, update S as S=\max(S,E). } Output S.
```

There are exactly n interations of the loop, and each iteration requires only a constant amount of time.

(e) Suppose that the minimum sum $s = \min_{i,j} \left(\{ S_{i,j} \mid 0 \leqslant i \leqslant j \leqslant n-1 \} \cup \{0\} \right)$ is to be computed. Propose an O(n)-time algorithm for this minimum subarray sum problem. (5)

Solution Invoke the maximum subarray sum algorithm of Part (d) on the array A' with elements $a'_i = -a_i$.

(f) Modify the algorithm of Part (d) so that the indices i, j, for which $S_{i,j}$ is maximized, are computed (along with the maximum sum S). Your modification should continue to run in O(n) time. (10)

Solution We plan to store the indices i',j' corresponding to the maximum-sum subarray $A[i'\ldots j']$ of $A[0\ldots j]$. Moreover, we use the index k to store the maximum-sum suffix $A[k\ldots j]$ of $A[0\ldots j]$. If this suffix is empty, we take k=j+1.

```
Initialize S=0 and E=0.

Initialize the indices i'=0, j'=-1 and k=0.

For j=0,1,2,\ldots,n-1 {

First, update E and k as follows:

Compute T=E+a_j.

If T<0, update E=0 and k=j+1,

else update E=T (k remains the same).

Then, update S and i',j' as follows:

If E>S, update S=E, i'=k and j'=j.

(Nothing needs to be done if E\leqslant S.)

}

Output S,i',j'.
```

This algorithm is the same as that in Part (d) with the additional overhead of updating the indices I, J, K. This updating takes O(1) time in each iteration of the loop, so the running time of the algorithm remains O(n).