

CS29003 ALGORITHMS LABORATORY

Assignment No: 4

Last Date of Submission: 21-August-2014

In this assignment, you implement a data structure called *treap*. A treap T is a binary tree with each node storing two values: a *key* (take it to be a positive integer) and a *priority* (a floating-point value in the range $[0,1)$). In addition, there are three pointers in each node: left, right and parent, with the usual meanings. The tree T is a binary search tree with respect to the key values. Moreover, the priority values must obey the max-heap ordering property. T is not assumed to be full, that is, the heap structure property is not enforced. We only require each node to store a priority value no less than the priority values of its two child nodes.

First, implement an *insert()* function for treaps. Let T be a treap, and we want to insert a key x with a priority y in T . Initially, we follow the standard BST insertion procedure to insert x in T . If x is already present in T , no change is made in T (even when the new priority y of x is different from its old priority). Now, we adjust the priority values along the unique path from the inserted leaf to the root node. Let p be a node on this path, and q its parent. If q is NULL, or the priority of q is not less than the priority of p , we are done. Otherwise, if p is the left child of q , we make a right rotation at q . Finally, if p is the right child of q , we make a left rotation at q . This single rotation restores both BST and heap orderings at q . However, heap ordering may be violated at the parent of q . So we continue our adjustment procedure further up in the tree.

Then, implement a *delete()* function for treaps. We start by locating the key x to be deleted. If T does not contain x , no change is made. So assume that x is present at a node p . If at least one child of p is NULL, delete p straightaway. This deletion does not call for restoration of heap ordering. However, if both the children of p are non-NULL, then we locate the immediate successor/predecessor r of p in T . We copy the data of r to p , and delete r . Now, the new priority at p may violate heap ordering. Since r was in the subtree rooted at p , the new priority of p cannot be larger than its old priority. Therefore, there is now a necessity to move the new priority value down the tree until heap ordering is restored (or the new priority value has reached a leaf node). Follow a procedure similar to heapify, and adjust heap ordering at each node by a left/right rotation.

Write a *main()* function that does the following tasks:

1. Start with an initially empty treap T .
2. Read the number n of keys to be inserted in T .
3. Read n (key, priority) pairs. These are inserted one by one in T . Print T after each insertion.
4. Read the number m of deletions.
5. Read m keys. These key values are deleted one by one from T , and T is printed after each deletion.

T should be printed as in Assignment 3 (data for a node followed by data for its two children in one line).

Sample Output

The following transcript shows one insertion followed by one deletion. The (key, priority) pairs are printed.

```
(58,0.935971) -> (38,0.731085), (90,0.651462)
(38,0.731085) -> (16,0.435779), (50,0.500000)
(16,0.435779) -> (NULL,-), (28,0.138100)
(28,0.138100) -> (NULL,-), (NULL,-)
(50,0.500000) -> (NULL,-), (53,0.282950)
(53,0.282950) -> (NULL,-), (NULL,-)
(90,0.651462) -> (86,0.287194), (NULL,-)
(86,0.287194) -> (73,0.201614), (NULL,-)
(73,0.201614) -> (NULL,-), (NULL,-)
Number of nodes = 9

+++ insert(63,0.993582)
(63,0.993582) -> (58,0.935971), (90,0.651462)
(58,0.935971) -> (38,0.731085), (NULL,-)
(38,0.731085) -> (16,0.435779), (50,0.500000)
(16,0.435779) -> (NULL,-), (28,0.138100)
(28,0.138100) -> (NULL,-), (NULL,-)
(50,0.500000) -> (NULL,-), (53,0.282950)
(53,0.282950) -> (NULL,-), (NULL,-)
(90,0.651462) -> (86,0.287194), (NULL,-)
(86,0.287194) -> (73,0.201614), (NULL,-)
(73,0.201614) -> (NULL,-), (NULL,-)
Number of nodes = 10

+++ delete(63)
(58,0.935971) -> (38,0.731085), (90,0.651462)
(38,0.731085) -> (16,0.435779), (50,0.500000)
(16,0.435779) -> (NULL,-), (28,0.138100)
(28,0.138100) -> (NULL,-), (NULL,-)
(50,0.500000) -> (NULL,-), (53,0.282950)
(53,0.282950) -> (NULL,-), (NULL,-)
(90,0.651462) -> (86,0.287194), (NULL,-)
(86,0.287194) -> (73,0.201614), (NULL,-)
(73,0.201614) -> (NULL,-), (NULL,-)
Number of nodes = 9
```

Historical Note: Treaps are introduced in 1989 by Aragon and Seidel. They define a treap as a BST with random priority values. When a new key is inserted, a uniformly random priority in the interval $[0,1)$ is assigned to it. They show that the rotations caused by these priority values produce a BST which has an expected height of $O(\log n)$. Two treaps with distinct sets of key values can be merged in expected logarithmic time. On the contrary, binary heaps are not efficiently mergeable.