# MTH 222 Theory of Computation 

Name:

## Roll Number:

1. Which of the following statements are true? (Write True/False in the box provided.)
(a) $\mathcal{L}\left(\left((a b)^{*}(b a)^{*}\right) \cap\left((b a)^{*}(a b)^{*}\right)\right)=\{\epsilon\}$.
(b) $a b c d \in \mathcal{L}\left(\left(d^{*} c^{*} b^{*} a^{*}\right)^{*}\right)$.
(c) If $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ are regular languages (over some alphabet $\Sigma$ ), then so is their symmetric difference $\left(L_{1} \backslash L_{2}\right) \cup\left(L_{2} \backslash L_{1}\right)$.
(d) The language of a DFA (over $\Sigma$ ) in which the only final state is the start state is $\{\epsilon\}$.
(e) The language $\left\{\alpha \in\{a, b\}^{*} \mid \alpha\right.$ contains an odd number of $b$ 's $\}$ is regular.
2. Let $\Sigma:=\{a, b, \ldots, z\}$ be the Roman alphabet and $L$ the 'English' language, i.e., the (finite) language of all valid English words over $\Sigma$. Does there exist a DFA $D$ with 20 states such that $\mathcal{L}(D)=L$ ? (Remark: If you are stupefied by the incomprehensibleness of this exercise, ask for DDT to kill rodents.)

Solution There can not exist a DFA with 20 (or less) states that accepts $L$. To prove this assertion assume that there exists such a DFA $D$. The Merriam-Webster dictionary lists the following 20 -letter allowed English words:

```
compartmentalization
conventionalizations
counterrevolutionary
departmentalizations
electroencephalogram
incomprehensibleness
indistinguishability
institutionalization
intellectualizations
microminiaturization
```

Since each such word is a member of $L$, the pumping lemma for regular languages gives us strings $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \beta_{3} \in \Sigma^{*}$ with $\left|\beta_{2}\right| \geqslant 1$ such that $\beta_{1} \beta_{2}^{k} \beta_{3} \in L$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$. This means that $L$ is infinite, a contradiction.
3. Let $\Sigma:=\{a, b\}$ and $L:=\left\{\alpha \in \Sigma^{*} \mid \alpha\right.$ starts with $a a$ but does not end with $\left.a a\right\}$.
(a) Write a regular expression (over $\Sigma$ ) to represent $L$.

Solution $\quad a a(a \cup b)^{*} b(a \cup \epsilon)$.
(b) Design a DFA whose language is $L$.

## Solution


(c) Design an NFA (or $\epsilon$-NFA) whose language is $L$.

## Solution


4. Let $\Sigma$ be a given alphabet. An extended regular expression (ERE) over $\Sigma$ is a string $\alpha$ over $\Sigma \biguplus\left\{\emptyset, \epsilon,(),, \cup,^{*},+, ?,!, \cdot\right\}$ defined inductively as follows:
(1) $\phi, \epsilon$ and $a$ are ERE's (for each $a \in \Sigma$ ).
(2) If $\alpha$ is an ERE, then so is $(\alpha)$.
(3) If $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are ERE's, then so is $\alpha \beta$.
(4) If $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are ERE's, then so is $\alpha \cup \beta$.
(5) If $\alpha$ is an ERE, then so is $\alpha^{*}$.
(6) If $\alpha$ is an ERE, then so is $\alpha^{+}$.
(7) If $\alpha$ is an ERE, then so is $\alpha$ ?.
(8) If $\alpha$ is an ERE, then so is ! $\alpha$.
(9) - is an ERE.
(10) Nothing is an ERE unless it follows from (1)-(9) above.

Rules (1)-(5) bear the same meanings as for RE's. The informal meanings for (6)-(9) are as follows:
$\alpha^{+}$means one or more occurrence(s) of $\alpha$.
$\alpha$ ? means 0 or 1 occurrence of $\alpha$.
! $\alpha$ means $\beta \in \Sigma^{*}$ belongs to the language of $!\alpha$, if and only if $\beta \notin \mathcal{L}(\alpha)$.

- means the single occurrence of any element of $\Sigma$.
(a) Formally extend the definition of $\mathcal{L}$ for ERE's, i.e., for ERE's $\alpha$ and $\beta$ and $a \in \Sigma$ complete the following definitions:
$\mathcal{L}(\phi):=\phi . \mathcal{L}(\epsilon):=\{\epsilon\} . \mathcal{L}(a):=\{a\}$.
$\mathcal{L}((\alpha)):=\mathcal{L}(\alpha)$.
$\mathcal{L}(\alpha \beta):=\mathcal{L}(\alpha) \mathcal{L}(\beta)$.
$\mathcal{L}(\alpha \cup \beta):=\mathcal{L}(\alpha) \cup \mathcal{L}(\beta)$.
$\mathcal{L}\left(\alpha^{*}\right):=(\mathcal{L}(\alpha))^{*}$.
$\mathcal{L}\left(\alpha^{+}\right):=(\mathcal{L}(\alpha))(\mathcal{L}(\alpha))^{*}$.
$\mathcal{L}(\alpha ?):=\mathcal{L}(\alpha) \cup\{\epsilon\}$.
$\mathcal{L}(!\alpha):=\Sigma^{*} \backslash \mathcal{L}(\alpha)$.

```
L}(\cdot):=\Sigma. 
```

(b) Let $\Sigma:=\{a, b\}$. Find a regular expression (over $\Sigma$ ) whose language is the same as the language of the ERE $a a\left(\cdot^{*}\right)(!a)(a ?)$.

Solution $\quad a a(a \cup b)^{*}\left(\epsilon \cup b \cup(a \cup b)(a \cup b)(a \cup b)^{*}\right)(a \cup \epsilon)$. This simplifies to $a a(a \cup b)^{*}$. Note that by our definition $\mathcal{L}(!a)$ is $\Sigma^{*} \backslash\{a\}$ and not $\Sigma \backslash\{a\}$.
5. [ Bonus problem ] Give an informal description of the language accepted by the following NFA.


Solution The above NFA - call it $N$ - accepts valid binary representations of all non-negative integer multiples of 3 . In order to see how let us name the states as above. The automaton is at the start state $q_{0}$, if and only if it has not consumed any input. But the empty string is not a valid binary representation of any non-negative integer. So $q_{0}$ is not a final state. If $N$ reads 0 at the very beginning, it accepts the input string, if and only if no further symbols appear. Thus the integer 0 (a multiple of 3 ) is accepted in state $q_{1}$, whereas 0 at the beginning followed by any non-empty string causes the automaton to go to the 'stuck' position.

Now assume that $N$ reads a 1 at the beginning. This means that $N$ is handling a positive integer. In this case the automaton subsequently remains in the states $p_{0}, p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$, where being in $p_{i}$ indicates that the string read so far represents a positive integer of the form $3 k+i$ (for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$). When $N$ is in state $p_{i}$ and reads $a \in\{0,1\}$, it moves to the state $p_{j}$, where $j=(2(3 k+i)+a)$ rem $3=(2 i+a)$ rem 3 , where $c$ rem 3 denotes the remainder of division of $c$ by 3 . The indicated transitions can be easily verified to satisfy this formula.
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1. Which of the following statements are true? (Write True/False in the box provided.)
(a) The language of a DFA (over $\Sigma$ ) in which every state except the start state is final is $\Sigma^{+}$.
(b) $\mathcal{L}\left(\left(a b^{*} b a^{*}\right) \cap\left(b a^{*} a b^{*}\right)\right)=\{\epsilon\}$.
(c) $a b c d \in \mathcal{L}\left(\left(b^{*} a^{*}\right)^{*}\left(d^{*} c^{*}\right)^{*}\right)$.
(d) The language $\left\{\alpha \in\{a, b\}^{*} \mid \alpha\right.$ contains an even number of $a$ 's $\}$ is regular.
(e) If $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ are regular languages (over some alphabet $\Sigma$ ), then so is their exclusive or $\left(L_{1} \cup L_{2}\right) \backslash\left(L_{1} \cap L_{2}\right)$.
2. Let $L$ be a finite language over the binary alphabet $\{0,1\}$. Assume that $|L|=m$. Let $D$ be a DFA with $n$ states such that $\mathcal{L}(D)=L$. Show that $n \geqslant \log _{2}(m+1)$.

Solution Let $l$ be the length of the longest string in $L$. Since $L$ is given to be finite, $l$ is finite too. We also have $m \leqslant 1+2+2^{2}+\cdots+2^{l}=2^{l+1}-1$, i.e., $l+1 \geqslant \log _{2}(m+1)$. If $\alpha \in L$ is of length $l$ and if $l \geqslant n$, then by the pumping lemma we have strings $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \beta_{3} \in \Sigma^{*}$ such that $\left|\beta_{2}\right| \geqslant 1$ and $\beta_{1} \beta_{2}^{k} \beta_{3} \in L$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$implying that $L$ is infinite, a contradiction. Thus $l<n$, i.e., $n \geqslant l+1 \geqslant \log _{2}(m+1)$.
3. Let $\Sigma:=\{a, b\}$ and $L:=\left\{\alpha \in \Sigma^{*} \mid \alpha\right.$ ends with $b b$ but does not start with $\left.b b\right\}$.
(a) Write a regular expression (over $\Sigma$ ) to represent $L$.

Solution $\quad(b \cup \epsilon) a(a \cup b)^{*} b b$.
(b) Design a DFA whose language is $L$.

## Solution


(c) Design an NFA (or $\epsilon$-NFA) whose language is $L$.

## Solution


4. Let $\Sigma$ be a given alphabet. An extended regular expression (ERE) over $\Sigma$ is a string $\alpha$ over $\Sigma \biguplus\left\{\emptyset, \epsilon,(),, \cup,^{*},+, ?,!, \cdot\right\}$ defined inductively as follows:
(1) $\phi, \epsilon$ and $a$ are ERE's (for each $a \in \Sigma$ ).
(2) If $\alpha$ is an ERE, then so is $(\alpha)$.
(3) If $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are ERE's, then so is $\alpha \beta$.
(4) If $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are ERE's, then so is $\alpha \cup \beta$.
(5) If $\alpha$ is an ERE, then so is $\alpha^{*}$.
(6) $\cdot$ is an ERE.
(7) If $\alpha$ is an ERE, then so is $\alpha$ ?.
(8) If $\alpha$ is an ERE, then so is $\alpha^{+}$.
(9) If $\alpha$ is an ERE, then so is ! $\alpha$.
(10) Nothing is an ERE unless it follows from (1)-(9) above.

Rules (1)-(5) bear the same meanings as for RE's. The informal meanings for (6)-(9) are as follows:

- means the single occurrence of any element of $\Sigma$.
$\alpha$ ? means 0 or 1 occurrence of $\alpha$.
$\alpha^{+}$means one or more occurrence(s) of $\alpha$.
! $\alpha$ means $\beta \in \Sigma^{*}$ belongs to the language of $!\alpha$, if and only if $\beta \notin \mathcal{L}(\alpha)$.
(a) Formally extend the definition of $\mathcal{L}$ for ERE's, i.e., for ERE's $\alpha$ and $\beta$ and $a \in \Sigma$ complete the following definitions:
$\mathcal{L}(\phi):=\phi . \mathcal{L}(\epsilon):=\{\epsilon\} . \mathcal{L}(a):=\{a\}$.
$\mathcal{L}((\alpha)):=\mathcal{L}(\alpha)$.
$\mathcal{L}(\alpha \beta):=\mathcal{L}(\alpha) \mathcal{L}(\beta)$.
$\mathcal{L}(\alpha \cup \beta):=\mathcal{L}(\alpha) \cup \mathcal{L}(\beta)$.
$\mathcal{L}\left(\alpha^{*}\right):=(\mathcal{L}(\alpha))^{*}$.
$\mathcal{L}(\cdot):=\Sigma$.
$\mathcal{L}(\alpha ?):=\mathcal{L}(\alpha) \cup\{\epsilon\}$.
$\mathcal{L}\left(\alpha^{+}\right):=(\mathcal{L}(\alpha))(\mathcal{L}(\alpha))^{*}$.

$$
\mathcal{L}(!\alpha):=\Sigma^{*} \backslash \mathcal{L}(\alpha)
$$

(b) Let $\Sigma:=\{a, b\}$. Find a regular expression (over $\Sigma$ ) whose language is the same as the language of the $\operatorname{ERE}(b ?)(!b)\left(\cdot^{*}\right) b b$.

Solution $\quad(b \cup \epsilon)\left(\epsilon \cup a \cup(a \cup b)(a \cup b)(a \cup b)^{*}\right)(a \cup b)^{*} b b$. This simplifies to $(a \cup b)^{*} b b$. Note that by our definition $\mathcal{L}(!b)$ is $\Sigma^{*} \backslash\{b\}$ and not $\Sigma \backslash\{b\}$.
5. [ Bonus problem ] Give an informal description of the language accepted by the following DFA.


Solution The above DFA - call it $D$ - accepts valid binary representations of all non-negative integer multiples of 3 . In order to see how let us name the states as above. The automaton is at the start state $q_{0}$, if and only if it has not consumed any input. But the empty string is not a valid binary representation of any non-negative integer. So $q_{0}$ is not a final state. If $D$ reads 0 at the very beginning, it accepts the input string, if and only if no further symbols appear. Thus the integer 0 (a multiple of 3 ) is accepted in state $q_{1}$, whereas 0 at the beginning followed by any non-empty string causes the automaton to go to (and remain in) the non-final state $q_{2}$.

Now assume that $D$ reads a 1 at the beginning. This means that $D$ is handling a positive integer. In this case the automaton subsequently remains in the states $p_{0}, p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$, where being in $p_{i}$ indicates that the string read so far represents a positive integer of the form $3 k+i$ (for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$). When $D$ is in state $p_{i}$ and reads $a \in\{0,1\}$, it moves to the state $p_{j}$, where $j=(2(3 k+i)+a)$ rem $3=(2 i+a)$ rem 3 , where $c$ rem 3 denotes the remainder of division of $c$ by 3 . The indicated transitions can be easily verified to satisfy this formula.

