Polygons and Visibility	Art Gallery Problem	Hardness & Approximation	Our Results 00000000000	Open Problems

Constant Approximation Algorithms for Guarding Simple Polygons using Vertex Guards

Pritam Bhattacharya

Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology, Kharagpur

pritam.bhattacharya@cse.iitkgp.ernet.in

December 7, 2018

Hardness & Approximation

Our Results

Visible Region from a Single Guard / Camera



Hardness & Approximation

Our Results

ヘロト ヘ週ト ヘヨト ヘヨト

3

Coverage by Multiple Guards / Cameras



P

Polygons and Visibility





Figure: Polygon without holes

Definition (Visibility of a Point)

Any point $z \in P$ is said to be *visible* from another point $g \in P$ if the line segment zg lies wholly in the interior of P.

Art Gallery Problem

The art gallery problem (AGP) is about finding the least number of guards that are necessary to ensure that an art gallery is fully guarded, assuming that a guard's field of view covers 360° as well as an unbounded distance.

Art Gallery Problem

The art gallery problem (AGP) is about finding the least number of guards that are necessary to ensure that an art gallery is fully guarded, assuming that a guard's field of view covers 360° as well as an unbounded distance.

An art gallery can be abstracted as an *n*-sided polygon P (with or without holes), and guards as special points within P.

Open Problems

Art Gallery Problem - Types of Guards

Different types of guards considered in Art Gallery Problem:

- (1) Point guards (stationary)
- (2) Perimeter guards (stationary)
- (3) Vertex guards (stationary)

Art Gallery Problem - Types of Guards

Different types of guards considered in Art Gallery Problem:

- (1) Point guards (stationary)
- (2) Perimeter guards (stationary)
- (3) Vertex guards (stationary)
- (4) Edge guards (mobile)
- (5) Segment guards (mobile)

Visibility Polygon of a Guard



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Sufficient Number of Guards

Theorem (Chvatal (1975), Fisk (1978))

For guarding a simple polygon with n vertices, $\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor$ point guards or vertex guards are sufficient and sometimes necessary.

Sufficient Number of Guards

Theorem (Chvatal (1975), Fisk (1978))

For guarding a simple polygon with n vertices, $\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor$ point guards or vertex guards are sufficient and sometimes necessary.



Figure: A polygon where $\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor$ point guards or vertex guards are necessary.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Open Problems

Art Gallery Problem - Computational Hardness

Definition (Decision Version of the Art Gallery Problem)

Given a polygon P and a number k as input, can the polygon P be guarded with k or fewer guards?

Art Gallery Problem - Computational Hardness

Definition (Decision Version of the Art Gallery Problem)

Given a polygon P and a number k as input, can the polygon P be guarded with k or fewer guards?

Hardness results known for the decision version of AGP:

- Proved to be NP-complete for vertex guards (Lee and Lin)
- Proved to be NP-hard for point guards (Aggarwal)
- Very recently proved to be ETR-complete (Abrahamsen, Adamaszek & Miltzow)
- Proved to be APX-hard (Eidenbenz, Stamm and Widmayer), implying that no PTAS can exist for AGP.
- Specifically for polygons with holes, AGP cannot be approximated to within a factor of Ω(In n) (Eidenbenz, Stamm and Widmayer).

Our Results

Open Problems

Art Gallery Problem - Approximation Algorithms

• Approximation algorithms are efficient algorithms that find approximate solutions to NP-hard optimization problems.

Art Gallery Problem - Approximation Algorithms

- Approximation algorithms are efficient algorithms that find approximate solutions to NP-hard optimization problems.
- They provide provable guarantees on the distance of the returned solution to the optimal one.

Art Gallery Problem - Approximation Algorithms

- Approximation algorithms are efficient algorithms that find approximate solutions to NP-hard optimization problems.
- They provide provable guarantees on the distance of the returned solution to the optimal one.
- Typically the returned solution is always guaranteed to be within a multiplicative factor of the optimal solution, and this multiplicative factor is referred to as the *approximation ratio*.

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

Motivation for our Work

Theorem (Ghosh, 1987)

By discretization of the polygon and a subsequent reduction to the Set Cover problem, we can obtain a $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$ -approximation algorithm for guarding polygons using vertex and edge guards.

Theorem (Ghosh, 1987)

Motivation for our Work

By discretization of the polygon and a subsequent reduction to the Set Cover problem, we can obtain a $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$ -approximation algorithm for guarding polygons using vertex and edge guards.

Theorem (Eidenbenz, Stamm & Widmayer, 1998)

For polygons with holes, there cannot exist a polynomial time algorithm for AGP with an approximation ratio better than $((1-\epsilon)/12) \ln n$ for any $\epsilon > 0$, unless $NP \subseteq TIME(n^{\mathcal{O}(\log \log n)})$.

Motivation for our Work

Theorem (Ghosh, 1987)

By discretization of the polygon and a subsequent reduction to the Set Cover problem, we can obtain a $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$ -approximation algorithm for guarding polygons using vertex and edge guards.

Theorem (Eidenbenz, Stamm & Widmayer, 1998)

For polygons with holes, there cannot exist a polynomial time algorithm for AGP with an approximation ratio better than $((1-\epsilon)/12) \ln n$ for any $\epsilon > 0$, unless $NP \subset TIME(n^{\mathcal{O}(\log \log n)})$.

Conjecture (Ghosh, 1987)

There exist polynomial time algorithms with a constant approximation ratio for guarding polygons without holes using vertex guards or edge guards.

Our Results - Polygons Weakly Visible from an Edge

Definition (Weak Visibility Polygon)

A polygon P is said to be a *weakly visible* if it has a special edge uv such that, for every point p within P, p is visible from some point q on uv.



Figure: An example of a polygon weakly visible from an edge uv

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ = 目 - のへで

Our Results - Polygons Weakly Visible from an Edge

Definition (Weak Visibility Polygon)

A polygon P is said to be a *weakly visible* if it has a special edge uv such that, for every point p within P, p is visible from some point q on uv.

Our Results - Polygons Weakly Visible from an Edge

Definition (Weak Visibility Polygon)

A polygon P is said to be a *weakly visible* if it has a special edge uv such that, for every point p within P, p is visible from some point q on uv.

We obtained:

• A **6**-approximation algorithm, which has running time $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$, for guarding polygons that are weakly visible from an edge and contain no holes, using vertex guards.

Our Results - General Simple Polygons

u_{21} v_{15} v_{20} \widetilde{v}_{19} u_{17} v_{16} $V_{5,1}$ $V_{5,2}$ v_3 zv_{18} v17 v_2 u_5 u_{11} V3.1 $V_{4,1}$ v_5 u_{23} v_{23} v_{13} $v_{10} v_8$ $V_{5.3}$ u_{10} u_{30} v_{22} v_{11} $V_{3,2}$ u_{14} $V_{2,2}$ v_{25} v_{26} v_{14} v_{2} $V_{1,1}$ v_{30} $V_{4,2}$ $V_{3,3}$ v_{24} u_{24} u_{12} v_{12} v_{27} v_{28} v_{29} v_1 v_{31}

ヘロト ヘ週ト ヘヨト ヘヨト æ

Our Results 00000000000 Open Problems

Our Results - General Simple Polygons

Theorem

A set S of vertex (edge) guards for guarding all vertices of a simple polygon P can be computed in $\mathcal{O}(n^4)$ time, such that $|S| \leq 18 \times |G_{opt}|$, where G_{opt} is an optimal vertex (edge) guard cover for all vertices of P.

Our Results - General Simple Polygons



・ロト ・ 一下・ ・ モト ・ モト・ æ

Our Results 00000000000 Open Problems

Our Results - General Simple Polygons

Theorem

A set S of vertex (edge) guards for guarding all vertices of a simple polygon P can be computed in $\mathcal{O}(n^4)$ time, such that $|S| \leq 18 \times |G_{opt}|$, where G_{opt} is an optimal vertex (edge) guard cover for all vertices of P.

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ★ 国▶ ★ 国▶ - 国 - のへで

Our Results - General Simple Polygons



Figure: All vertices are visible from $p(u, z_k)$ or $p(v, z_k)$, but the triangle $x_1 x_2 x_3$ is invisible.

Our Results - General Simple Polygons



Figure: Multiple invisible cells exist within the polygon that are not visible from the guards placed at $p(u, z_k)$ and $p(v, z_k)$.

Our Results 000000000000 Open Problems

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日

Our Results - General Simple Polygons

Theorem

A set S of vertex (edge) guards for guarding the entire boundary of a simple polygon P can be computed in $\mathcal{O}(n^5)$ time, such that $|S| \leq 18 \times |G_{opt}|$, where G_{opt} is an optimal vertex (edge) guard cover for the entire boundary of P.

Our Results 00000000000000

Our Results - General Simple Polygons



Our Results

Open Problems

0000000000

Our Results - General Simple Polygons

Theorem

A set S of vertex (edge) guards for guarding the entire interior of a simple polygon P can be computed in $\mathcal{O}(n^5)$ time, such that $|S| \leq 27 \times |G_{opt}|$, where G_{opt} is an optimal vertex (edge) guard cover for the entire interior of P.

Our Results 00000000000

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

Open Problems

Future Directions and Open Problems

• Can we obtain similar approximation algorithms when using perimeter guards rather than vertex / edge guards?

Future Directions and Open Problems

- Can we obtain similar approximation algorithms when using perimeter guards rather than vertex / edge guards?
- What about the case where the polygon *P* under question can have vertices inserted or deleted dynamically?

Future Directions and Open Problems

- Can we obtain similar approximation algorithms when using perimeter guards rather than vertex / edge guards?
- What about the case where the polygon *P* under question can have vertices inserted or deleted dynamically?
- Can we obtain similar results if we consider one-reflection or k-modems visibility instead of direct visibility?

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● ○ ● ● ● ●

Thank you!