

1. (a) n balls $\rightarrow n$ bins

$$X_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i^{\text{th}} \text{ bin is empty} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\text{Let } X = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$$

\downarrow
no. of empty bins

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Pr}[X_i=1] \\ = \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^n \end{aligned}$$

$$E[X] = E\left[\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n E[X_i]$$

$$= n \text{Pr}[X_i=1]$$

$$= n \underbrace{\left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^n}_{\approx 1/e} \approx \frac{n}{e}$$

(b) m balls $\rightarrow n$ bins

Define X_i & X as in (a).

$$\text{Pr}[X_i=1] = \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^m$$

$$E[X] = n \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^m = n \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^{n \cdot \frac{m}{n}} \approx \frac{n}{e^{m/n}}$$

$$2. (a) \quad \text{Let } X - \mu = Y.$$

$$E[Y] = E[X] - \mu = 0$$

$$\text{Var}[Y] = E[(Y - E[Y])^2] = E[(X - \mu)^2] = \sigma^2$$

$$\Pr[X - \mu \geq s] = \Pr[Y \geq s]$$

$$= \Pr[Y + a \geq s + a]$$

$$\leq \Pr[(Y + a)^2 \geq (s + a)^2]$$

$$\leq \frac{E[(Y + a)^2]}{(s + a)^2}$$

$$= \frac{E[Y^2] + a^2}{(s + a)^2}$$

(since $E[Y] = 0$)

$$= \frac{\sigma^2 + a^2}{(s + a)^2}$$

(minimized for
 $a = \frac{\sigma^2}{s}$)

$$= \frac{\sigma^2 (s^2 + \sigma^2)}{(s^2 + \sigma^2)^2}$$

(substituting
 $a = \frac{\sigma^2}{s}$)

$$= \frac{\sigma^2}{s^2 + \sigma^2}$$

$$\Pr[X - \mu \geq t\sigma] \leq \frac{\sigma^2}{t^2\sigma^2 + \sigma^2} = \frac{1}{1 + t^2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (b) \quad & \Pr[|X - \mu| \geq t\sigma] \\
 &= \Pr[X - \mu \geq t\sigma] + \Pr[X - \mu \leq -t\sigma] \\
 &\leq \frac{2}{1+t^2}
 \end{aligned}$$

(c) The inequality in part (a) is better than Chebyshev's inequality as

$$\frac{1}{1+t^2} < \frac{1}{t^2}$$

But for 2-sided tail bounds, Chebyshev's inequality is always better than part (b) unless $t < 1$.

$$3. (a) \quad \Pr[X=0] \leq \Pr[|X - E[X]| \geq E[X]] \quad \text{discussed in class}$$

$$\text{Chebyshev's} \leftarrow \leq \frac{\text{Var}[X]}{E[X]^2}$$

$$\text{defn. of variance} \leftarrow = \frac{E[X^2] - E[X]^2}{E[X]^2}$$

$$(b) \Pr[X \neq 0] = \Pr[X \geq 1] \quad \rightarrow X \text{ is non-negative}$$

$$\text{Markov's } \leftarrow \leq E[X]$$

Cauchy-Schwartz Inequality

$$X, Y: \quad E[XY]^2 \leq E[X^2]E[Y^2]$$

Proof: Assume (w.l.g.) X, Y are non-negative r.v.s
Also assume $E[X^2]E[Y^2] > 0$ (for o.w, at least one of X, Y is 0 with prob. 1)

X', Y' : non-negative r.v.s s.t. $E[X'^2] = E[Y'^2] = 1$

$$\text{Then, } 0 \leq E[(X' - Y')^2] = 2 - 2E[X'Y']$$

$$\text{i.e., } E[X'Y'] \leq 1 \quad (*)$$

$$\text{Let } X' = \frac{X}{\sqrt{E[X^2]}} \quad \& \quad Y' = \frac{Y}{\sqrt{E[Y^2]}}$$

$$\text{From } (*), \text{ we have } E[X'Y']^2 = \frac{E[XY]^2}{E[X^2]E[Y^2]} \leq 1$$

from which the result follows.

$$\text{Let } Y = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } X \geq 1 \\ 0 & \text{o.w} \end{cases}$$

$$\Pr[X \neq 0] = \Pr[X \geq 1] = E[Y]$$

By Cauchy-Schwartz inequality,

$$E[Y]E[X^2] \geq E[YX]^2 = E[X]^2$$

i.e., $E[Y] = P_X[X=1] \geq \frac{E[X]^2}{E[X^2]}$

7. (a) Discussed in class

(b) Consider the i^{th} row $\vec{a}_i^T = (a_{i1}, a_{i2}, \dots, a_{im})$

of A .
Let k denote the no. of 1's in \vec{a}_i .

Case 1: $k \leq \sqrt{4m \ln n}$

Then irrespective of b ,

$$|\vec{a}_i^T \vec{b}| = |c_i| \leq \sqrt{4m \ln n}$$

Case 2: $k > \sqrt{4m \ln n}$

Then there are k non-zero terms in

$$C_i = \sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij} b_j$$

All the non-zero terms are independent
& each term is 1 or -1 with
probability $\frac{1}{2}$.

Using part (a), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(|C_i| > \sqrt{4m \ln n}) &\leq 2e^{-\frac{4m \ln n}{2k}} \\ &\leq \frac{2}{n^2} \quad (\text{since } k \leq m) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr[\|A\vec{b}\|_\infty > \sqrt{4m \ln n}] \\ &= \Pr\left[\bigcup_{i=1}^n (|C_i| > \sqrt{4m \ln n})\right] \\ &\leq n \cdot \frac{2}{n^2} = \frac{2}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

8. (a) Assume n is even.

Write $x \in \{0,1\}^n$ as (x^1, x^2)
where $x^1, x^2 \in \{0,1\}^{n/2}$

Let π be a permutation s.t.

$$\pi(x^1, 0^{n/2}) = (0^{n/2}, x^1) \text{ for all } x^1 \in \{0,1\}^{n/2}.$$

Length of the route from $(x^1, 0)$ to $(0^{n/2}, x^1) = n$

Number of possible choices for $x^1 = 2^{n/2}$

All the $2^{n/2}$ paths pass through $(0^{n/2}, 0^{n/2})$.

There are only n outgoing edges from $(0^{n/2}, 0^{n/2})$.

\therefore at least $2^{n/2}/n$ time steps required to send all the packets from vertices $(x^i, 0^{n/2})$.

(b) Refer to the textbook (Motwani-Raghavan) for a detailed discussion.

6. [Only a hint. If you cannot solve it before mid-semester, I will share the solution]

Y : no. of rounds before every bin is non-empty.

In the coupon collector's problem, we do not care whether a bin is empty or non-empty & in each round only 1 player throws a ball in a bin.

$E[Y]$ is certainly much smaller compared to the expected no. of rounds in the coupon collector's problem which is $O(n \log n)$

Given that n balls are thrown instead of 1 $E[Y] = O(\log n)$

It turns out that $E[Y]$ has a better upper bound.

[In what follows all logarithms are to base 2.]

Define a function $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ as

$$f(0) = 1$$
$$f(i+1) = 2^{f(i)} \text{ for } i \geq 1$$

For a fixed n , the smallest $k \in \mathbb{N}$ for which $\frac{n}{f(k)} < 1$ is the no. of times we take logarithm of n repeatedly for the result to be 0. That is

$$k = \log^* n = \begin{cases} 1 + \log^*(\log n) & \text{if } n > 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } n \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

Now show the following:

After round i and before round $i+1$, if M_i is the expected no. of empty bins then,

$$\frac{n}{f(i+1)} \leq \mu_i < \frac{n}{f(i)}$$

From this it follows that after
 $k = \log^* n$ rounds $\mu_k < 0$
i.e., all bins are non-empty.