ITERATIVE COMPRESSION



Slides by Prof. Neeldhara Misra



Is there a vertex cover of size at most k?





Is there a vertex cover of size at most k?







Is there a vertex cover of size at most k?



A vertex cover of size (k+1).



Is there a vertex cover of size at most k?



A vertex cover of size (k+1).



Is there a vertex cover of size at most k?



A vertex cover of size (k+1).



Is there a vertex cover of size at most k?



A vertex cover of size (k+1).

Let us "guess" how a vertex cover of size at most k interacts with this one.



Is there a vertex cover of size at most k?



A vertex cover of size (k+1).

Let us "guess" how a vertex cover of size at most k interacts with this one.



Is there a vertex cover of size at most k?



A vertex cover of size (k+1).

Let us "guess" how a vertex cover of size at most k interacts with this one.



Is there a vertex cover of size at most k?



A vertex cover of size (k+1).



Is there a vertex cover of size at most k?



A vertex cover of size (k+1).

Can there be an edge between two red vertices?



Is there a vertex cover of size at most k?



A vertex cover of size (k+1).



Is there a vertex cover of size at most k?



A vertex cover of size (k+1).

Now we need to make up for the work that the red vertices were doing.



Is there a vertex cover of size at most k?







Is there a vertex cover of size at most k?







Is there a vertex cover of size at most k?





Is there a vertex cover of size at most k?







Is there a vertex cover of size at most k?





Is there a vertex cover of size at most k?





The first k+2 vertices in G.























Compress again... rinse, repeat.



Is there a subset of vertices S of size at most k that intersects all the edges?



We have a $O^*(2^k)$ algorithm for Vertex Cover.



Is there a subset of vertices S of size at most k that intersects all the edges?



Question



A graph on n vertices, m edges and an integer k.

Is there a subset of at most k vertices whose removal makes the graph acyclic?

nput



A Feedback Vertex Set of size (k+1).

Let us "guess" how a FVS of size at most k interacts with this one.

A graph on n vertices, m edges and an integer k.

Is there a subset of at most k vertices whose removal makes the graph acyclic?

nput



A graph on n vertices, m edges and an integer k.

Question

Is there a subset of at most k vertices whose removal makes the graph acyclic?

nput





Question

Is there a subset of at most k vertices whose removal makes the graph acyclic?





Question

Is there a subset of at most k vertices whose removal makes the graph acyclic?





A vertex with two neighbors in the same component is forced.



Question

Is there a subset of at most k vertices whose removal makes the graph acyclic?

Apat




Question

Is there a subset of at most k vertices whose removal makes the graph acyclic?

NPut





...a leaf with at least two neighbors in different components. FEEDBACK VERTEX SET



Is there a subset of at most k vertices whose removal makes the graph acyclic?





The leaf merges two components when we don't include it. FEEDBACK VERTEX SET



Is there a subset of at most k vertices whose removal makes the graph acyclic?





The number of components "on top" decreases. FEEDBACK VERTEX SET

Is there a subset of at most k vertices whose removal makes the graph acyclic?

Input



Start with a leaf.

Two neighbors in one component: forced. At most one neighbor above: preprocess. At least two neighbors, all in different components above: branch.



Is there a subset of at most k vertices whose removal makes the graph acyclic?



Is there a subset of at most k vertices whose removal makes the graph acyclic?

Input



Let t denote the number of components among the red vertices. Let w = (k+t).

Is there a subset of at most k vertices whose removal makes the graph acyclic?

nput



Let t denote the number of components among the red vertices. Let w = (k+t).

> Include v... k drops by 1 Exclude v... t drops by at least 1

Is there a subset of at most k vertices whose removal makes the graph acyclic?

Input



Let t denote the number of components among the red vertices. Let w = (k+t).

> Include v... k drops by 1 Exclude v... t drops by at least 1

Either way, w drops by at least one.

Is there a subset of at most k vertices whose removal makes the graph acyclic?

nput



Either way, w drops by at least one.

Is there a subset of at most k vertices whose removal makes the graph acyclic?

nput



Either way, w drops by at least one.

Running time: $2^w = 2^{(k+t)}$

Is there a subset of at most k vertices whose removal makes the graph acyclic?

nput



Either way, w drops by at least one.

Running time: $2^{w} = 2^{(k+t)} \le 2^{k+k} \le 4^{k}$

Is there a subset of at most k vertices whose removal makes the graph acyclic?

nput



Either way, w drops by at least one.

Running time: $2^{w} = 2^{(k+t)} \le 2^{k+k} \le 4^{k}$

Overall Running Time...

Question

Is there a subset of at most k vertices whose removal makes the graph acyclic?

nput



Running time: $2^{w} = 2^{(k+t)} \le 2^{k+k} \le 4^{k}$

Overall Running Time...

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \binom{k}{i} 4^{k} = 5^{k}$$



A tournament T on n vertices and an integer k.

Is there a subset of k arcs that can be reversed to make the tournament acyclic?



This implies an $O(5^k)$ algorithm for FVS.



A tournament T on n vertices and an integer k.

Is there a subset of k arcs that can be reversed to make the tournament acyclic?



This implies an $O(5^k)$ algorithm for FVS.



Is there a subset of at most k vertices whose removal makes the graph bipartite?

nput



A Odd Cycle Transversal of size (k+1).

Let us "guess" how a OCT of size at most k interacts with this one.

Question

Is there a subset of at most k vertices whose removal makes the graph bipartite?

nput



Question

Is there a subset of at most k vertices whose removal makes the graph bipartite?

nput

Question

Is there a subset of at most k vertices whose removal makes the graph bipartite?

nput



Is there a subset of at most k vertices whose removal makes the graph bipartite?







Is there a subset of at most k vertices whose removal makes the graph bipartite?

Input





Is there a subset of at most k vertices whose removal makes the graph bipartite?

Input





Is there a subset of at most k vertices whose removal makes the graph bipartite?

nput





Is there a subset of at most k vertices whose removal makes the graph bipartite?

nput





Is there a subset of at most k vertices whose removal makes the graph bipartite?









Can we remove at most b vertices so that the resulting graph has a bipartition extending this pre-coloring?



Can we remove at most b vertices so that the resulting graph has a bipartition extending this pre-coloring?

Reading Assignment

- OCT parameterized by solution size k has a O^{*}(3^k) FPT algorithm.
- Using the Iterative Compression framework, it would be enough to solve the Disjoint OCT problem in $O^*(2^k)$ time.
- This means that it would be enough to solve the pre-coloring preserving deletion to bipartition problem given in the previous slide in polynomial time! How can this be done?

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ● ●



TAKE AWAY...

Show that the problem has a hereditary property

> Solve the "compression" question, or even a "Disjoint" version.

Show that the problem has a hereditary property

> Solve the "compression" question, or even a "Disjoint" version.

Is a framework that can be setup quite easily.
Show that the problem has a hereditary property

> Solve the "compression" question, or even a "Disjoint" version.

Is a framework that can be setup quite easily.

The compression algorithm can be fairly non-trivial.